1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND
3	
4	
5	AMY COHEN, et al., :
6	Plaintiffs, ORIGINAL
7	vs. : Case No. : 92-CV-0197-JJM-LDA
8	BROWN UNIVERSITY, CHRISTINA : PAXSON, as successor to :
9	VARTAN GREGORIAN, and : JACK HAYES, as successor to :
10	DAVID ROACH, :
11	Defendants. :
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF CHRISTINA PAXSON,
17	taken via Zoom video conference, by the Plaintiff,
18	before Sonya M. Wright (appearing via Zoom),
19	commencing at 8:00 a.m. CST, Friday, August 14,
20	2020.
21	
22	
23	
24	AMY COOPER - FIDELITY VIDEO SERVICES, INC.
25	SONYA M. WRIGHT - CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

1	APPEARANCES
2	For the Plaintiffs: (Via Zoom)
3	
4	LORI BULLOCK, ESQ. Newkirk Zwagerman, PLC
5	521 East Locust, Suite 300 Des Moines, Iowa 50309
6	(515)883-2000 lbullock@newkirklaw.com
7	
	LYNETTE LABINGER, ESQ. American Civil Liberties Union and
8	Foundation of Rhode Island and Public Justice 128 Dorrance Street, Suite 400
9	Providence, Rhode Island 02903 (401)465-9565
10	111@1abingerlaw.com
11	ARTHUR H. BRYANT, ESQ.
12	Bailey & Glasser, LLP 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 660
13	Oakland, California 94612 (510)507-9972
14	abryant@baileyglasser.com
15	For the Defendants: (Via Zoom)
16	
	ROBERTA A. KAPLAN, ESQ. MATTHEW CRAIG, ESQ.
17	Kaplan, Hecker & Fink, LLP 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110
18	New York, New York 10118 (212)763-0883
19	mcraig@kaplanhecker.com
20	ROBERT C. CORRENTE, ESQ.
21	Whelan, Corrente & Flanders, LLP 100 Westminster Street, Suite 710
22	Providence, Rhode Island 02903 (401)270-1333
23	rcorrente@whelancorrente.com
24	Also present: Eileen Goldgeier
25	
23	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	WITNESS: CHRISTINA PAXSON PAGE
3	Examination By Ms. Labinger10
4	EXHIBITS PAGE FIRST REFERENCED
5	1 - 5/28/20 statement from Paxson to Brown18
6	Community, 6 pages
7	2 - 6/6/20 statement by Paxson to Brown21 Community, 8 pages
9	3 - Email chain ending with 6/5/20 email from23 Paxson to Mencoff (26044-26045)
10	4 - 6/9/20 email from Paxson to Mencoff35 (26190-26192)
11	5 - Email from Paxson to Mundt, 1/5/2044 (26993-26996)
13	6 - Committee on Excellence in Athletics,45 3/10/20 (533-535)
14 15	7 - Email chain ending with 1/6/20 email from47 Mencoff to Paxson (26991-26992)
16	8 - Committee on Excellence in Athletics56 Meeting, 4/17/19 (619, 624, 625)
17	9 - Document titled "Two scenarios" (493)62
18	10 - Excellence in Athletics Corporation64
19	Committee on Campus Life, 5/14/20 (509-532)
20	11 - Excellence in Athletics Corporation Meeting .86 5/21/20 (536-559)
21	12 - Memorandum to Estes from Paxson 6/4/2092
22	(606-607)
23	13 - Email chain ending with 5/21/20 from Hayes98 to Paxson (26536-26537)
25	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)
2	
3	14 - Email chain ending with 5/21/20 from Hayes .100 to Paxson (26538)
4	· · · ·
5	15 - Email chain ending with 6/7/20 from Paxson .110 to Mencoff (25964-25967)
6	16 - Email from Paxson to Paxson, 6/4/20116 (608-609)
7	17 - Email from Flores to Joutz, 6/8/20117
8	(770-771)
9	18 - Presentation "Title IX in Athletics"129 3/12/20 (586-605)
10	REPORTER'S NOTE:
11	
12	Exhibits were marked electronically and attached to the electronic transcript.
13	<pre>(ph) indicates a phonetic spelling. [sic] indicates the text is as stated.</pre>
14	Quoted text is as stated by the speaker.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record beginning the
- 2 video deposition of Christina Paxson requested by
- 3 the plaintiffs in the matter of Amy Cohen, et al.,
- 4 plaintiffs, versus Brown University, et al.,
- 5 defendants, in the United States District Court, the
- 6 District of Rhode Island, Case Number
- 7 92-CV-0197-JJM-LDA.
- 8 Today's date is August 14th, 2020, and the
- 9 approximate time is 8:03 a.m. Central Time. This
- 10 deposition is being conducted via Zoom video
- 11 conference in remote locations. My name is Amy
- 12 Cooper, certified legal videographer of Fidelity
- 13 Video Services, Incorporated, West Des Moines, Iowa.
- 14 Counsel will please identify themselves for the
- 15 record.
- 16 MS. LABINGER: I'm Lynette Labinger, one
- of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, and I will be
- 18 conducting today's deposition with the assistance of
- 19 Lori, who will be managing the exhibits.
- MS. BULLOCK: Lori Bullock, one of the
- 21 plaintiffs' attorneys as well.
- MR. BRYANT: Arthur Bryant, one of the
- 23 plaintiffs' attorneys. I'll just be attending and
- 24 observing.
- MS. KAPLAN: For defendants, Roberta

- 1 Kaplan of Kaplan Hecker representing President
- 2 Paxson, and I'm here with my colleague Matthew
- 3 Craig.
- 4 MS. GOLDGEIER: I'm Eileen Goldgeier, vice
- 5 president and general counsel for Brown.
- 6 MR. CORRENTE: Robert Corrente, also
- 7 counsel for Brown.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Did you hear Robert
- 9 Corrente?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes, we did.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. LABINGER: And he's in the room with
- 13 you, Doctor?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, he is in the room with
- 15 me and he is trying to get connected to Zoom, and
- hopefully he'll be in the meeting soon.
- MS. KAPLAN: I have one question for the
- 18 court reporter. In terms of the video recording, I
- 19 assume that you're just recording President Paxson,
- 20 like as in a regular deposition?
- 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah. This is Amy, the
- 22 videographer. Yes, I'm only recording the witness.
- MS. KAPLAN: Thank you.
- 24 MS. LABINGER: And when the screen is
- 25 shared for an exhibit, will that be shown as well?

1 **VIDEOGRAPHER:** Yes. 2 MS. KAPLAN: Thanks for raising that, 3 Did you guys email the exhibits? Lynette. 4 MS. BULLOCK: Yeah. You should have 5 gotten an email from my paralegal. 6 MS. KAPLAN: Let me look. It was a really big PDF 7 MS. LABINGER: 8 file so hopefully it went through. 9 MS. KAPLAN: Yeah, I've got it. Bob and 10 President Paxson, do you have it? 11 THE WITNESS: I didn't get anything. 12 MR. CORRENTE: I just got it at 9:01. 13 MS. LABINGER: Well, we would prefer that the witness gets the documents as they're presented 14 15 to her. They're for your -- as a courtesy to you. 16 MS. KAPLAN: Yeah, but we still need to 17 print them because she wants to be able to see the 18 whole document rather than just the page on the screen. Why don't you give us five minutes and have 19 20 her print them and we can start. 21 MS. LABINGER: We can stay on the line and 22 do some preliminaries while someone else is printing 23 them. 24 MS. KAPLAN: Bob and President Paxson --25 that's what I'm checking. Is that possible?

- 1 THE WITNESS: We can print things in my
- 2 office right here, but I don't have anything in my
- 3 inbox.
- 4 MS. LABINGER: You wouldn't. It would
- 5 have gone to your lawyers.
- 6 MR. CORRENTE: I'll forward mine to the
- 7 president.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. Let me get them.
- 9 MS. LABINGER: I'm just going to put some
- 10 paper in my printer because it's 126 pages.
- 11 MS. KAPLAN: I'm okay with doing
- 12 preliminaries while it's printing, but let's just
- 13 make sure it's actually printing.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well, it's not printing
- 15 because I don't have it and I'm in my office so if
- 16 someone can forward it to my --
- 17 MS. KAPLAN: Sure. I'll forward it to you
- 18 right now.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: While we're waiting for the
- 21 printer, should we establish on the record that
- 22 Sonya can administer remotely?
- MS. BULLOCK: Yeah.
- MS. LABINGER: We need the deponent back
- 25 on view before we do that.

- THE WITNESS: And the problem is I'm the
- 2 one who's printing so we're going to have to wait
- 3 just a minute.
- 4 MS. KAPLAN: Just got sent out of my
- 5 outbox, President Paxson.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. Eileen, the other
- 7 thing is can you print in your office?
- 8 MS. KAPLAN: I sent it to Eileen as well.
- 9 MS. GOLDGEIER: I can't from this office.
- 10 THE WITNESS: It hasn't come in yet. It
- 11 must be huge.
- MS. KAPLAN: Yeah, it's big.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Do you want to get started
- 14 and give it, like, three minutes and then I can see
- 15 if it's come in and I can get it started printing?
- MS. BULLOCK: Yeah. I'll be running the
- 17 exhibits so I'm happy to scroll down slowly so that
- 18 you can see the entire document if it doesn't get
- 19 printed here, you know.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. Perfect.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: We'll get it printed one way
- 22 or -- you know, old ladies like President Paxson and
- 23 I prefer to see things on paper.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- MS. KAPLAN: We'll manage to get it done,

- 1 I promise you.
- 2 MS. BULLOCK: So we will stipulate for the
- 3 record that the parties have agreed that due to
- 4 COVID and the Rhode Island federal court order, this
- 5 deposition is being conducted remotely and the
- 6 witness is being sworn in remotely.
- 7 MS. KAPLAN: We agree with that.
- 8 VIDEOGRAPHER: Officially the oath will
- 9 now be administered by Sonya Wright, certified
- 10 shorthand reporter of Susan Frye Court Reporting,
- 11 Des Moines, Iowa.
- 12 COURT REPORTER: Would you raise your
- 13 right hand, please. Do you solemnly swear or affirm
- 14 that the testimony you're about to give will be the
- 15 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
- 16 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 17 CHRISTINA PAXSON,
- 18 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn,
- 19 testified under oath as follows:
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. LABINGER:
- 22 Q. Good morning. My name is Lynette
- 23 Labinger. As I mentioned, I'm one of the attorneys
- 24 for the plaintiffs in this case. And can you
- 25 clarify, are there any other attorneys or other

- 1 persons in the room with you, President Paxson, at
- 2 this time?
- 3 A. Yes. Bob Corrente is in the room with me.
- 4 Q. And you understand that we're here on a
- 5 motion by the women athletes at Brown claiming that
- 6 Brown is not complying with the Cohen consent order;
- 7 is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you understand that as president of
- 10 Brown, you became a named defendant in the lawsuit
- 11 once you took the position as president?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MS. KAPLAN: Object to form, but you can
- 14 answer.
- MS. LABINGER: Did you want to, Lori, make
- 16 a stipulation about objections? You had a --
- MS. BULLOCK: Yeah. Yesterday, Robbie, we
- 18 stipulated pursuant to typical form in Rhode Island,
- 19 all objections are hereby reserved, except for form,
- 20 but we'd ask that you make the nature of your
- 21 objection known at the time. It also makes it just
- 22 cleaner for the record.
- MS. KAPLAN: Okay. So I had a form
- 24 objection to that. I'm happy to explain why if you
- 25 want.

- 1 MS. BULLOCK: Yeah.
- 2 MS. LABINGER: It should be one word.
- 3 MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. The
- 4 explanation is the sentence is unclear about the
- 5 role of the president in the lawsuit.
- 6 MS. LABINGER: All right. Thank you.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) You're aware of the
- 8 existence of the lawsuit called Cohen versus Brown
- 9 University, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. Did you first become aware of it shortly
- 12 after becoming president in 2012?
- 13 A. I believe it was mentioned in one of my
- 14 early briefings with Beverly Ledbetter, who was then
- 15 the general counsel of Brown University.
- 16 O. You became aware that there was a consent
- 17 order that was a result of that lawsuit, correct?
- 18 A. Vaguely. I don't know if I remember that
- 19 it was called a consent order, but I knew that we
- 20 had obligations as a result of the settlement of
- 21 that case.
- 22 Q. And did you become aware that the case was
- 23 originally brought by students on the gymnastics and
- volleyball team in the 1990s when their teams were
- 25 cut?

- 1 A. I knew that some gymnasts were involved.
- 2 I'm not sure I knew whether volleyball was involved.
- 3 Q. And you knew that the first named
- 4 plaintiff was Amy Cohen, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MS. KAPLAN: President Paxson, I'm just
- 7 going to instruct you to answer these questions --
- 8 you're doing great, but avoid relaying any direct
- 9 conversations you had with the prior general counsel
- 10 of Brown because that would be privileged.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 12 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) And please follow your
- 13 counselor's directions on that, and I am not asking
- 14 you -- if there's any confusion, I'm not asking you
- 15 to convey conversations that you had exclusively
- 16 with your legal counsel. And that's another thing,
- 17 nods and shakes of the head, although we are on
- 18 video, are not preferred. If you can give us a
- 19 verbal answer, that will be clear as to what your
- 20 answer is. All right?
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Super. As president, you answer to the
- 23 Brown Corporation; is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- 25 Q. And that's a group of fellows and trustees

- 1 that meet periodically throughout the year, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And the corporation authorized the actions
- 4 concerning the elimination of varsity teams in 2020;
- 5 is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And you have a good working relationship
- 8 with the corporation; is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes, I would say so.
- 10 Q. And they actually extended your contract
- 11 early and issued a statement that they had absolute
- 12 and unqualified confidence in your leadership; is
- 13 that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And that was earlier this year, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, it was.
- 17 Q. And the head position of the corporation
- 18 is the chancellor; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And, preliminarily, and without getting
- 21 into any specifics, is there anything about your
- 22 health or medications that you are taking that would
- 23 impair your ability to fully understand my questions
- 24 and give full and complete answers to my questions
- 25 today?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 O. Thank you. I want to draw your attention
- 3 to the announcement that you made on May 28, 2020,
- 4 when you announced that Brown was eliminating five
- 5 women's and six men's teams at the varsity level.
- 6 Do you recall that you issued a public statement at
- 7 that time?
- 8 A. I recall a public statement, yes.
- 9 MS. LABINGER: And, Lori, can you post the
- 10 5/28/20 statement and we'll mark that as an exhibit.
- 11 MS. KAPLAN: Counsel, if we could just
- 12 pause, I'm going over to my printer to find the
- 13 exhibits.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Let me see if this has come
- 15 in. Yes. Be right back. I want to get it printed.
- MS. KAPLAN: Lori, do you know where this
- 17 is in the pile?
- MS. LABINGER: Unfortunately, it's not --
- 19 it doesn't have a Bates number, but the other ones
- 20 are pretty much in order.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: That's a good clue. Maybe
- 22 it's at the end. Hold on.
- MS. LABINGER: I'm not sure it's at the
- 24 end. I think that it is --
- 25 MS. BULLOCK: My paralegal combined PDFs

- 1 so I'm not -- I can't be certain. I'm sorry.
- THE WITNESS: Could you go back and reread
- 3 the previous question that Ms. Labinger stated to
- 4 me?
- 5 MS. LABINGER: Is this a question to the
- 6 court reporter?
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, please.
- 8 (The requested portion of the record was
- 9 read.)
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. I just wanted to note
- 11 for the record that while I recall issuing the
- 12 statement, I don't think that Ms. Labinger's
- 13 characterization of the content of the letter is
- 14 fully accurate.
- 15 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) It's not your statement?
- 16 A. It's my statement, but you characterized
- 17 it as making an announcement, and it was a broader
- 18 announcement than your characterization.
- 19 Q. Okay. Please tell me what my
- 20 characterization was incomplete.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: Before we do that, this might
- 22 be helpful for Bob and the president. It was about
- 23 two-thirds of the way down the file in the printout,
- 24 I found it.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah. We're not -- we're

- 1 still starting printing.
- MS. KAPLAN: Okay. Sorry.
- 3 THE WITNESS: So this announcement said
- 4 that we were converting a number of varsity teams to
- 5 club status and that we were also converting several
- 6 club teams to varsity status.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. Thank you
- 8 for the clarification. Now, I marked a portion of
- 9 the statement as it pertains to Brown's commitment
- 10 to gender equity and compliance with the consent
- 11 decree.
- MS. KAPLAN: Just so the record's clear,
- 13 Lynette, this document that's not Bates-stamped is
- 14 Exhibit 1?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes. Lori, can you -- is
- 16 your mic on? Can you do the exhibit numbers?
- MS. BULLOCK: Do we want to continue from
- 18 yesterday? This would actually be Exhibit 8.
- 19 MS. KAPLAN: No. I would prefer -- it's
- 20 up to you guys, but I think that gets super
- 21 confusing.
- 22 MS. LABINGER: I'm fine with starting
- 23 over.
- MS. BULLOCK: Okay. 1.
- 25 MS. LABINGER: This is my first Zoom

deposition so I am very much assisted by Ms. Bullock 1 2 in the conduct of this deposition. So, Lori, can 3 you keep scrolling down to the marked portion? 4 (By Ms. Labinger) Can you read the marked 0. portion of your statement out loud for the record? 5 6 "Promoting Gender Equity and Diversity. This initiative aligns with Brown's strong 7 commitment to providing equal opportunity to women 8 and in varsity athletics. Title IX of the federal 9 10 education amendments of 1972 sets forth standards 11 for ensuring gender equity in athletics --12 (Reporter asked the witness to slow down.) 13 MS. LABINGER: Can you read more slowly? 14 MS. KAPLAN: One thing that's often handy is if the court reporter has a copy of the exhibits, 15 16 it tends to go faster. Can we send it to her? 17 COURT REPORTER: I can see it on the I just didn't see it right away. I'm 18 screen. 19 sorry. THE WITNESS: I'll start from the 20 beginning more slowly. "Promoting Gender Equity and 21 22 Diversity. This initiative aligns with Brown's 23 strong commitment to providing equal opportunity to 24 women and men in varsity athletics. Title IX of the federal education amendments of 1972 sets forth 25

- 1 standards for ensuring gender equity in athletics
- 2 for all colleges and universities that receive
- 3 federal aid, and the Excellence in Brown Athletics
- 4 Initiative promotes gender equity under Title IX.
- In addition, the team composition of
- 6 Brown's athletics program remains in compliance with
- 7 the 1998 settlement agreement that established the
- 8 percentage of varsity athletics opportunities for
- 9 women in relation to the percentage of Brown
- 10 undergraduate students who are women. With the
- 11 changes being made to the roster of varsity teams,
- 12 the percentage of varsity athletic participation
- 13 opportunities for women will increase and be even
- 14 more closely aligned with the percentage of women in
- 15 the undergraduate student body."
- 16 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Do you agree that you
- 17 made those public statements?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Do you agree that you made those
- 20 statements in the hope, among other things, that
- 21 members of the greater Brown community would be
- 22 convinced of Brown's commitment to gender equity,
- 23 not just because it was court ordered but because it
- 24 is the right thing to do?
- 25 MS. KAPLAN: Object to form. That's a

- long sentence, but you can answer, President Paxson.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know that I
- 3 was hoping for anything, but I thought it was really
- 4 important to make it clear that Brown is committed
- 5 to gender equity in athletics and everything else
- 6 that we do at the university.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Thank you. On June 6th,
- 8 2020, do you recall issuing a public statement in
- 9 order to respond to an outpouring of objections to
- 10 the May 28 decision, particularly to address a
- 11 campaign on behalf of the men's track program to be
- 12 reinstated?
- 13 A. I believe the purpose of that letter was
- 14 broader, and it was to provide more detailed
- 15 information about the decision-making process that
- 16 went into the recommended changes.
- 17 Q. And would it be fair to say that you did
- issue a public statement on June 6th, 2020, correct?
- 19 A. I believe that's the date.
- 20 Q. Okay. And at that time, there had been a
- 21 large number of objections, public objections, to
- 22 the decision to change the status of varsity and --
- 23 certain varsity teams, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And particularly there had been -- would

- 1 it be fair to call it a very well-orchestrated
- 2 campaign on behalf of the men's track program?
- 3 A. There were well-orchestrated campaigns
- 4 on -- for several of the sports, but I think the
- 5 largest was probably men's track, track and field
- 6 and cross country.
- 7 Q. And because that's a mouthful, I'm going
- 8 to ask that -- if it's okay with you, that when I
- 9 refer to the men's track program in this context,
- 10 I'm referring to track, field, and cross country?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So we're on the same page.
- 13 And, Ms. Bullock, can you post the
- 14 June 6th, '20, statement?
- MS. KAPLAN: Counsel, are we going to mark
- 16 this as Exhibit 2?
- 17 MS. LABINGER: Yes.
- 18 MS. BULLOCK: This will be marked as
- 19 Exhibit 2.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) And I'd ask you to read
- 21 the marked portion of the statement out loud.
- 22 A. "Gender Equity. Brown is firmly committed
- 23 to providing equal opportunities to men and women --
- 24 women and men in athletics because it is the right
- 25 thing to do. The university also has a compliance

- 1 and legal obligation to offer proportional
- 2 opportunities to participate in athletics for women
- 3 and men at Brown because of federal Title IX
- 4 regulations and a 1998 legal settlement (settling
- 5 the 1992 Cohen v Brown lawsuit) that established
- 6 firm standards. For this reason, gender equity
- 7 played a large role in the committee's
- 8 considerations."
- 9 Q. Do you agree that you made those public
- 10 statements?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And did you participate in the drafting of
- 13 those statements?
- 14 A. I participated, yes.
- 15 Q. And do you agree that you made those
- 16 statements in the hope, among other things, that
- 17 members of the greater Brown community would be
- 18 convinced of Brown's commitment to gender equity not
- 19 just because it was court ordered but because it is
- 20 the right thing to do?
- 21 A. I was providing a statement of fact and
- 22 also of what Brown believes is the right thing to
- 23 do. Again, I don't know whether I thought I needed
- 24 to persuade the community of that, but I wanted to
- 25 establish it.

- 1 Q. You wanted to establish on record that
- 2 that was Brown's position?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you agree that at the same time that
- 5 you made those public statements, in private you
- 6 agreed with the chancellor of Brown University that
- 7 the consent decree and the constraints imposed by it
- 8 were like a plague at Brown that you hoped to get
- 9 rid of?
- 10 A. I don't recall the "plague at Brown"
- 11 language, but if you show me a document, you could
- 12 jog my memory.
- 13 Q. Certainly. Can you mark Exhibit 3,
- 14 please?
- MS. KAPLAN: Can you guys help me, give me
- the Bates number or something on this one?
- MS. LABINGER: 26044. Lori, why don't you
- 18 scroll all the way down or make it smaller so you
- 19 can see the Bates number. That's not showing up on
- 20 mine. I'm not sure why. Can you -- thank you.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: We're marking this email as
- 22 Exhibit 3?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes.
- MS. KAPLAN: Do you want to identify it
- 25 for the record just so it's clean?

25

1 MS. LABINGER: Can you scroll it back up, 2 please? 3 0. (By Ms. Labinger) Doctor Paxson, I'm 4 showing you an email thread of an email from Samuel Mencoff of June 4 to you and your response of June 5 5 to him. Do you recognize this email thread? 6 Yes, I do. 7 Α. I would ask you to read the marked portion 8 0. 9 of Chancellor Mencoff's statement to you of June 4, 10 2020. "But here's an idea. Could we use this 11 Α. 12 moment, where anger and frustration, especially from 13 track and squash, are intense and building to go 14 after the consent decree once and for all? Could we channel all this emotion away from anger at Brown to 15 16 anger at the court and kill this pestilent [sic] 17 thing? The argument would be that the consent 18 decree is forcing us to eliminate these sports, and 19 the court would then be bombarded with emails and 20 calls as we are now. We would be aligned then with 21 all who oppose us now. 22 I have asked Jack to analyze weather if we 23 were no longer subject to the consent decree and had 24 latitude to operate within the bands of our Ivy

peers, we could achieve the roster size realignment

- 1 that we are seeking even while retaining track, for
- 2 example. We might be able to get to the same place
- 3 in a different way. I'm not suggesting a change in
- 4 strategy, but it would be worth knowing what our
- 5 options are."
- 6 Q. Did you receive this message?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. And it came from the chancellor of Brown,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And you responded the next day, correct?
- 12 A. Was it the next day? Yes, it was the next
- 13 day.
- 14 Q. Would you read the marked portion?
- 15 A. "I spoke with Jack about this. I think
- 16 it's a good idea. He is talking with Eileen, and I
- 17 will follow up. This might be the perfect moment to
- 18 petition the court to get us out of this agreement,
- 19 which would let us restore men's track, field, and
- 20 cross country and still remain in compliance with
- 21 Title IX. The question would be how quickly can we
- 22 do this."
- 23 Q. And, for the record, Jack is the athletic
- 24 director, Jack Hayes?
- 25 A. That's correct. Jack Hayes.

- 1 Q. And Eileen is Eileen Goldgeier, the
- 2 general counsel of Brown?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Do you agree that you made those private
- 5 statements?
- 6 MS. KAPLAN: Private statements in this
- 7 email?
- 8 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Statements in the email.
- 9 A. I wrote that email.
- 10 Q. Do you agree that in your response you
- 11 made clear that you're actually opposed to the
- 12 consent decree and want to get Brown out from under
- 13 it?
- 14 A. The consent decree --
- 15 Q. That's a yes or no, President.
- MS. KAPLAN: No. No, it's not a yes or
- 17 no, Lynette. She can answer the question any way
- 18 she wants.
- 19 MS. LABINGER: Are you directing her not
- 20 to answer? This is the defendant, and this
- 21 deposition can be used in lieu of testimony in
- 22 court.
- 23 MS. KAPLAN: I'm not directing her not to
- 24 answer. I'm directing her to answer using her
- 25 words, which she's entitled to do.

MS. LABINGER: 1 You read the question back, 2 I'm asking the stenographer. To have it please. 3 clearly in front of you. 4 (The requested portion of the record was 5 read.) 6 THE WITNESS: What I make clear is that I think Brown, both men and women athletes, would be 7 better off without the consent decree, and that was 8 my intention when I wrote this. 9 10 (By Ms. Labinger) And how would women Q. athletes be better off without the consent decree? 11 12 Well, two things. One, it became really Α. 13 clear in the debates about track, field, and cross 14 country, men's track, field, and cross country, that eliminating that team would actually hurt the 15 16 women's track, field, and cross country team. There 17 was a large outpouring from the women, and because of Ivy rules, they would have lost some coaching 18 19 support, so that would have been a problem. 20 I think more broadly, the consent decree 21 has really made it very difficult to manage Brown 22 athletics for 22 years, and the athletics department 23 is involved in, you know, very painstaking roster 24 management, which none of our peers have to do. 25 I fully, fully believe in equal

- 1 opportunities for men and women in varsity
- 2 athletics, but the consent decree adds an extra
- 3 layer of obligations that are -- that are -- that
- 4 add layers of difficulty that our peers don't have
- 5 to contend with.
- 6 Q. Are you aware that nothing in the consent
- 7 decree requires Brown to engage in roster
- 8 management?
- 9 MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. You can
- 10 answer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: The consent decree requires
- 12 that we maintain a very narrow band of
- proportionality between men's and women's sports,
- 14 not only on the first day of the season but on
- average over the course of the season, and because
- 16 the band is very narrow, 3 and a half percent, now
- 17 2.25 percent, it necessitates careful roster
- 18 management to make sure that we stay within that
- 19 band.
- 20 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Well, isn't that the
- 21 case only if you don't have enough women's teams?
- 22 A. No, that's not the case only if we don't
- 23 have enough women's teams.
- Q. If you added another women's team and
- 25 increased the number of women athletes so that they

- 1 more fully represented their proportion in the
- 2 undergraduate enrollment, then you would not have to
- 3 limit the number of men on the men's teams; isn't
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. There are many ways to comply with the
- 6 consent decree, and my reading of the original
- 7 opinion in that case was that Brown had leeway to
- 8 choose any way that it wanted to comply.
- 9 O. And --
- 10 A. And that could mean adding women's teams.
- 11 It could mean subtracting men's teams. It could
- mean managing the rosters of men's teams to make
- 13 sure that we remain in compliance.
- 14 Q. Do we have a stray phone?
- 15 A. Yes. I said that Bob Corrente is trying
- 16 to get attached to the internet, and so -- did you
- 17 get that? Oh, well, then give me my phone. I'll
- 18 turn it off. That's why I turned it on. Okay.
- 19 Sorry.
- 20 Q. So you agree with me that Brown had many
- 21 ways to address compliance with the consent decree
- 22 and it's the one who chose roster management?
- 23 A. Well, we have over the years done other
- 24 things. I added a women's team. I added women's
- 25 rugby, and I was proud to do that, but we have used

- 1 a variety of strategies over the years. I don't
- 2 know what strategies were used before I became
- 3 president, but, yes, different strategies have been
- 4 used to comply because compliance is important.
- 5 MS. KAPLAN: Ms. Labinger, I think it
- 6 might be a good time so we can just settle this
- 7 issue up concerning the documents, we take a
- 8 five-minute break.
- 9 MS. LABINGER: I'm right in the middle of
- 10 my examination. I would like --
- 11 MS. KAPLAN: I know, but we would like to
- 12 have the exhibits. I don't think President Paxson
- 13 has the written exhibits, and we'd really like to
- 14 have them. So we got them two minutes after the
- 15 deposition was supposed to start, so we should have
- 16 at least five minutes to print them.
- MS. LABINGER: Are they printing now?
- 18 MS. KAPLAN: I don't know that. I can't
- 19 find out because we're doing the questioning.
- 20 That's what I want us to have five minutes to figure
- 21 out.
- MS. LABINGER: I am right in the middle of
- 23 questioning, and I have not seen any difficulty with
- 24 dealing with this. We can take a break after I
- 25 finish this line of questioning.

25

MS. KAPLAN: 1 How much longer is this line 2 of questioning? 3 MS. LABINGER: Maybe five minutes. 4 MS. KAPLAN: Okay. Let's do that. 5 0. (By Ms. Labinger) Would you agree with me your public statement on June 6th, 2020, which we've 6 marked as Exhibit 2, that in your public statement, 7 you did not express your actual opposition to the 8 consent decree because you did not want the public 9 10 to know how you really feel about the consent 11 decree? 12 I think how I feel about the consent Α. 13 decree is completely irrelevant. We comply with it. 14 We have for 22 years, and we continue -- and we will continue to comply with it as long as it exists. 15 16 Well, I appreciate that you don't think 0. 17 it's relevant, but ultimately it's the court's decision so I'm going to ask you to answer my 18 19 question. 20 She answered your question, MS. KAPLAN: 21 Lynette. Your question was why she didn't include a 22 statement about that, and she said she didn't 23 because she didn't think it was relevant. 24 MS. LABINGER: Now, I am going to ask that

you do not advise your client on the record because

- 1 that was not my question.
- MS. KAPLAN: I'm not advising. You
- 3 suggested her answer was nonresponsive. It was
- 4 completely responsive to your question.
- 5 MS. LABINGER: Her answer was that her
- 6 opinion was not relevant. I asked her about her
- 7 motivation. She said her motivation was not
- 8 relevant. She did not tell me what her motivation
- 9 was. I would ask the court reporter to read the
- 10 question back, and I would ask counsel not to engage
- in argument, which signals to the witness what you
- 12 want her to do.
- MS. KAPLAN: Ms. Labinger, let me just be
- 14 very clear. If ever I've had a witness in my life
- 15 who does not need help testifying, it's President
- 16 Paxson, number one, but what you're doing here is
- 17 telling her that an answer is not responsive when it
- 18 was, and I have every obligation, so that the record
- 19 is clean, when she gives an answer that is
- 20 responsive and you try to say that it's not, to say
- 21 that it was.
- MS. LABINGER: Can we read the question
- 23 back, please?
- 24 (The requested portion of the record was
- 25 read.)

- 1 MS. LABINGER: I'm going to move to strike
- 2 that answer as not responsive and ask that you read
- 3 the question again to the witness.
- 4 MS. KAPLAN: I'm going to stand by my
- 5 objection. That answer is completely responsive to
- 6 your question. Again, I don't understand what
- 7 language that answer is not responsive. You asked
- 8 her how she feels, and she says why she didn't talk
- 9 about how she felt in her statement and she said, "I
- 10 think how I feel is irrelevant." She responded --
- 11 MS. LABINGER: I'm sorry. That was not
- 12 the question so let's read the question back.
- 13 (The requested portion of the record was
- 14 read.)
- MS. KAPLAN: If there's anything you want
- 16 to add to your answer, President Paxson, feel free,
- 17 but you've answered the question.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I would add to the answer
- 19 that I did not mean to mislead the public in any
- 20 way. I thought that my personal feeling about the
- 21 consent decree was not relevant for the public, who
- 22 was reading the letter, because we fully intended to
- 23 continue to comply with the consent decree.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Would you agree with me
- 25 that you told the chancellor and Kevin Mundt, the

- 1 chair of the Committee on Excellence in Athletics
- 2 Initiative, that you were not going to share with
- 3 the public how you really feel about Brown's
- 4 commitment to comply with the consent decree?
- 5 A. I don't recollect that. If you want to
- 6 point me to a specific document, I'd be glad to be
- 7 refreshed.
- 8 Q. Certainly. Let's mark 261.
- 9 MS. KAPLAN: Before we mark, this is the
- 10 perfect place to break.
- MS. LABINGER: No, it's not.
- MS. KAPLAN: Lynette.
- MS. LABINGER: I'm sorry.
- MS. KAPLAN: She'd like to get the
- 15 document. She'd like to see it in a document.
- MS. LABINGER: It is right on the screen
- 17 and --
- MS. KAPLAN: No, but on the screen she
- 19 can't see the whole context. She's entitled to see
- 20 the whole exhibit. Please just wait five minutes.
- 21 We will see if we have the documents. This is not
- 22 our fault. You sent them to us at 9:02 a.m. So
- 23 let's just take a five-minute break is what we just
- 24 agreed to, and let's see if Mr. Corrente and the
- 25 president have managed to get them printed. That's

- 1 all I ask.
- 2 MS. LABINGER: I agreed to take the break
- 3 after this line of questioning, which is about to
- 4 conclude if you stop.
- 5 MS. KAPLAN: I would like the document, so
- 6 what's the Bates number on this document? We're not
- 7 doing documents just on TV. What's the Bates
- 8 number?
- 9 MS. LABINGER: 26190. It's about three
- 10 sentences long.
- MS. KAPLAN: So let's do it the proper
- 12 way. Let's identify it for the record, and then you
- 13 can ask a question. This is now Exhibit 4?
- 14 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) 4. President Paxson,
- 15 I'm posting on the screen sharing Exhibit 4, which
- 16 is Bates marked 26190. It's an email message -- or
- 17 purports to be an email message from you to Samuel
- 18 Mencoff and Kevin Mundt. Samuel Mencoff is the
- 19 chancellor, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Kevin Mundt is the person you appointed as
- 22 chair of the excellence in athletics initiative
- 23 committee; is that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. All right. Did you send them this email

1 on June 9? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Would you read the marked portion of the 0. 4 email? "Sam, Kevin, I wanted you to see the 5 letter that we intend to send out after the students 6 are told about reinstating men's track, field, and 7 cross country. I expect both of you may have wanted 8 us to be more explicit about our intention to fight 9 10 the consent decree. Our concern is that this could 11 rile up the Cohens of the world and put us in a 12 defensive posture. We need space to work out a 13 rock-solid legal strategy and then go on the 14 offensive. That said, I'd really value your reaction to the letter. Thanks." 15 16 Did you send that email? Q. 17 A. Yes, I did. 18 Do you agree that you made those private Q. 19 statements? 20 I just agreed that I sent the email. A. 21 MS. LABINGER: All right. We can take a 22 break now. 23 MS. KAPLAN: Terrific. 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 8:43 a.m. 25 (Recess taken from 8:43 to 8:46 a.m.)

- 1 VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 8:46 a.m.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. We took a
- 3 short break, and, President Paxson, do you now have
- 4 the printout of the documents?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken
- 7 before?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- 9 Q. I just want to remind you of a few items
- 10 to cover. As the court reporter said, because of
- 11 the microphones, we need to each talk separately,
- 12 and I would ask that you also give your attorney an
- 13 opportunity to state her objections. Do you
- 14 understand that unless she directs you not to answer
- 15 a question that you should go ahead and answer it?
- 16 A. I understand that.
- 17 Q. And I don't want to insult you because
- 18 you're probably smarter than the rest of us in the
- 19 room all together, but I ask you not to answer a
- 20 question that you do not understand, okay?
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. I'd ask you if you do not understand a
- 23 question to ask me to say it another way because if
- you answer the question, we'll assume that you
- 25 understand, okay?

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. Before coming here today, did you meet,
- 3 either in person or virtually, with your attorneys?
- 4 MS. KAPLAN: Answer that yes or no,
- 5 President Paxson.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Who did you meet with?
- 8 Just give me names. Don't tell me what anybody
- 9 said.
- 10 A. I met with Robbie, with Bob Corrente, and
- 11 Eileen Goldgeier.
- 12 Q. And tell me approximately how long you met
- with your attorneys to prepare for today's
- 14 deposition.
- 15 A. Oh, perhaps two hours.
- 16 Q. And did you review any documents, either
- 17 while your lawyers were there or on your own, to
- 18 prepare for today's deposition?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Can you tell me, as best you recall, what
- 21 you reviewed?
- MS. KAPLAN: No. I object. Don't answer
- 23 that. You can ask her whether any documents
- 24 refreshed her recollection, but you can't ask her
- 25 what documents she reviewed.

- 1 MS. LABINGER: You're directing her not to
- 2 tell me what documents she reviewed?
- 3 MS. KAPLAN: Yeah, because documents
- 4 selected by counsel are work product, and that's
- 5 protected from disclosure. If you want to ask her
- 6 whether any documents she reviewed refreshed her
- 7 recollection, that's a fair question.
- 8 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. Let me break
- 9 it up into two ways. Outside of the presence of
- 10 your lawyers, just a yes or no, did you review any
- 11 documents?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. And were those documents ones that were
- 14 provided to you by your lawyers?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. Did you review any documents that were not
- 17 provided to you by your lawyers?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And did you -- did any of the documents
- 20 that you reviewed refresh your recollection about
- 21 the events that you prepared for for today's
- 22 deposition?
- 23 A. In a general sense, yes.
- 24 O. And which ones were those?
- 25 A. I can't point to any one specifically, but

- 1 things like dates, when things happened, those
- 2 things, I had not kept track of, and after reviewing
- 3 the documents, I knew more about that.
- 4 O. And which documents were those?
- 5 A. I really can't give you specifics. When
- 6 you read, things come back, but there are many
- 7 documents. I can't give you specifics. I'm sorry.
- 8 Q. Did you review the consultant's report of
- 9 the CSA?
- 10 A. I did not reread it, no.
- 11 Q. But you've seen it when it was issued,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. Certainly I've seen it.
- 14 Q. You authorized the hiring of the
- 15 consultants, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. And at the time, their mission was to
- 18 review 11 sports; isn't that right?
- 19 A. No. Their mission was to conduct a broad
- 20 review of how we could make our varsity athletics
- 21 programs more competitive, and I asked them to do a
- 22 separate, more focused study on a smaller set of
- 23 sports.
- Q. And none of those sports are the ones that
- 25 were removed from varsity status in 2020, correct?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. That's my fault. That's a bad question.
- 3 Would you agree with me that of the sports that --
- 4 of the ones that were reviewed, none of those sports
- 5 were removed from varsity status this year?
- A. No. I asked them to review sort of the
- 7 big mainstream sports that are really important
- 8 parts of Brown's athletics mission.
- 9 Q. And I think it's probably my question, but
- 10 those sports were not part of the group removed in
- 11 2020 from varsity status, correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Perfect. The problem is my question
- 14 prompted a response that was a no that could mean
- 15 too many things so I apologize for that.
- 16 And did you review any records concerning
- 17 the original Cohen case or the consent order?
- 18 MS. KAPLAN: Is this -- in connection with
- 19 what, Lynette?
- 20 MS. LABINGER: In preparation for today's
- 21 deposition.
- 22 THE WITNESS: No, I did not. Just to
- 23 clarify, did you mean actual documents that came out
- 24 of that, like the consent decree, or --
- 25 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Yes.

- 1 A. Yes. No.
- 2 Q. Back in the '90s?
- 3 A. I did not review those documents.
- 4 Q. And were you asked to search for any
- 5 records in connection with -- your records in
- 6 connection with today's deposition?
- 7 MS. KAPLAN: Hold on. Hold on, Chris.
- 8 Objection to form. You mean in connection with the
- 9 production in this case or in connection with --
- 10 MS. LABINGER: Yes.
- 11 MS. KAPLAN: You asked in connection with
- 12 the deposition.
- MS. LABINGER: Sorry. My error.
- MS. KAPLAN: You want to rephrase?
- 15 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Yeah. Did you make any
- 16 records available to your attorneys in order to
- 17 comply with production in this case?
- 18 A. My attorney said that she was putting a
- 19 records hold -- it turns out that all of my
- 20 documents are accessible in other ways, and so I
- 21 personally did not have to deliver over documents.
- Q. Well, that was a blessing.
- 23 A. Yeah. It was. There were many of them.
- Q. All right. So whatever came through came
- 25 from another source but under your custody, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 O. Now, in December of 2019, it was decided
- 3 to establish a committee on excellence -- excellence
- 4 in athletics initiative; is that correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And Kevin Mundt -- and we, off the record,
- 7 spelled his name, M-u-n-d-t, correct?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 O. Is he a trustee emeritus?
- 10 A. Kevin is an emeritus trustee and also the
- 11 chair of the athletics advisory council at Brown.
- 12 Q. So I got the order wrong. What does an
- 13 emeritus trustee mean?
- 14 A. That's somebody who used to be a trustee
- 15 that has completed his term, his or her term.
- 16 O. And can we mark as Exhibit 5 26993?
- 17 A. Do you have that, Bob?
- 18 MR. CORRENTE: I'm looking for it.
- MS. BULLOCK: Give me just one moment
- 20 here.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MS. LABINGER: Are you going to post that?
- 23 Thank you.
- MS. KAPLAN: I'm sorry. What number are
- 25 we on? Exhibit 5?

MS. LABINGER: 1 Yes. 2 MS. BULLOCK: Yes. 3 MS. KAPLAN: And are you marking just the 4 cover email or the cover email and the attachments? 5 MS. LABINGER: I think the attachment is 6 attached, is it not? Yeah. MS. KAPLAN: Got it. 7 8 MS. LABINGER: Now, Ms. Bullock, can you scroll back up, please? 9 10 (By Ms. Labinger) Is this a document that Q. 11 you prepared and shared with Kevin Mundt and 12 Chancellor Mencoff in early January? 13 A. Yes, it is. 14 And is this a draft -- if you could 0. 15 scroll, Ms. Bullock, to the next page -- of the 16 committee on excellence in athletics that you were 17 putting together? 18 It is a first draft of the committee 19 charge. And so at that time -- and I'm --20 Q. 21 Ms. Bullock has the page. I've lost -- we've lost 22 it now. If you stay right there. Would you agree 23 with me that part of the charge was for the 24 committee to assess existing varsity and club sports 25 and make recommendations about which sports should

- 1 take on club or varsity status?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that you
- 4 already had a view that you wanted to reduce the
- 5 total number of varsity sports to no more than 25?
- 6 A. I had a strong view that we needed to
- 7 reduce the number of varsity sports at Brown. That
- 8 was a very tentative number that was put in there,
- 9 and clearly we did not land in that spot. But, yes,
- 10 I wanted to reduce varsity sports at Brown.
- 11 Q. So the charge to the committee was not
- 12 simply see whether we should reduce sports but,
- 13 rather, see which ones to eliminate?
- 14 A. Yes, but I would also note that this is a
- 15 draft charge. This is not the charge that the
- 16 committee actually received. So if we want to talk
- 17 about what the committee was charged with, we should
- 18 look at the final committee charge.
- 19 Q. All right. I think we might be skipping
- 20 ahead, but can we do as Exhibit 6 Bates 533, which I
- 21 believe includes the mission and charge?
- MS. KAPLAN: 533 is the Bates number?
- MS. LABINGER: I hope so. We're only
- 24 getting half a page, Lori.
- MS. KAPLAN: We're marking 533 through 535

- 1 as Exhibit 6?
- MS. LABINGER: I believe that's the end,
- 3 but we're having some technical difficulties.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I have the document so if
- 5 you maximize the screen, it doesn't matter if the
- 6 writing is small.
- 7 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Okay. Can you scroll
- 8 through the entire document, which is Exhibit 6?
- 9 Does that document contain the final version of the
- 10 charge to the committee?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 O. And if you could scroll up a bit. Well,
- 13 I'm going to find it a different way. So in the
- 14 final version, you removed the express charge to
- 15 look at the overall roster and determine which
- 16 sports should be removed from varsity status to
- 17 reach an optimal number between 23 and 27; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. I no longer reference a specific number of
- 20 teams, although there is language in there that
- 21 discusses a smaller menu of varsity teams.
- 22 Q. And can you point to that language for me,
- 23 please?
- A. Yes. It's the language just above
- 25 "Committee Charge," and it says, "Several factors

- 1 can be examined to determine whether Brown should
- 2 refocus its efforts on perhaps a smaller and
- 3 different menu of varsity teams so as to increase
- 4 the competitive balance within the Ivy League and to
- 5 pursue a standard of excellence at Brown."
- 6 Q. Now, the chair of the committee was Kevin
- 7 Mundt, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 O. And you had already communicated to him
- 10 your initial view that it would be optimal to reduce
- 11 the number of teams at Brown to between 23 and 27,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. I was not anchored on the 23 to 27, but I
- 14 had made it clear to him that I want to reduce the
- 15 number of teams.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- 17 MS. KAPLAN: Put those aside, Counsel?
- 18 MS. LABINGER: I believe that's safe.
- 19 Now, can we mark as Exhibit 7 Bates 26991 to 2?
- MS. BULLOCK: Give me just one moment.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: The pile, just for Bob and
- 22 President Paxson's information, it's in rough Bates
- 23 stamp order, so it's towards the bottom of the pile.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Have you located or are
- 25 you able to see this email thread on the screen,

- 1 President Paxson?
- 2 A. Yes, I see it.
- 3 Q. And do you recall the -- let me make sure
- 4 I've got this correct. Sorry. So this -- would you
- 5 agree with me that this email chain is the response
- 6 to your sending the draft, which is Exhibit 5, to
- 7 Chancellor Mencoff and Mr. Mundt?
- 8 A. It appears to be, yes. The timing makes
- 9 sense, and the content too.
- 10 Q. And if we start at the -- read from the
- 11 bottom up, the first message is from Chancellor
- 12 Mencoff commenting on the committee's charge,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. That's right. The draft charge.
- 15 Q. And it includes your response and his
- 16 response back to you about what happens to varsity
- 17 teams that might be eliminated and allowed if they
- 18 chose to exist as a club sport; is that right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Did you actually -- I think we can take
- 21 that off the screen.
- 22 Did you actually attend any of the
- 23 meetings of the -- I'm just going to call it the
- 24 committee if that's okay, the excellence in
- 25 athletics committee, because it's, again, a

- 1 mouthful. Did you actually attend any of them?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. Did you attend all of them?
- 4 A. I think I might not have attended one or
- 5 part of one. I can't really remember. I was
- 6 certainly there for the first one.
- 7 Q. And did you help create the spreadsheet or
- 8 template that was designed to analyze a variety of
- 9 categories for the committee to consider? Do you
- 10 remember that?
- 11 A. I didn't help create it. I created it.
- 12 I'm an economist and a bit of a data nerd, and
- 13 nobody else knew how to do it so I did it.
- 14 Q. Right. The template that you created, was
- 15 it initially all one spreadsheet that was broken
- 16 into a couple, if you recall?
- 17 A. I don't --
- MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form because I'm
- 19 not sure what that means, but you can answer.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't recall the
- 21 original spreadsheet. I don't know what you mean by
- 22 that.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. Well, in
- 24 your template that you created for others to fill
- in, it included the budgets for each team, the

- 1 Brown -- there's three components to the budget,
- 2 correct? There's the Brown funds, the endowment
- 3 funds, and then the current donations; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. That's correct. Athletics, the team
- 6 operating budgets are supported by the university, a
- 7 combination of university funds, proceeds of endowed
- 8 funds, as well as current-use gifts. That
- 9 spreadsheet did not have any information on the
- 10 broader operating expenses of the whole athletics
- 11 department. It was just related to the teams
- 12 themselves.
- 13 Q. Right. And that was a document that you
- 14 created for the committee to use, correct?
- 15 A. No. I created that document originally
- 16 for my own edification. I wanted to get a better
- 17 understanding of what the operating budgets look
- 18 like for the teams. But I made a decision early on
- 19 that I did not want the committee to focus on
- 20 operating budgets because they comprise only -- you
- 21 know, they're not the most important issue in
- 22 deciding which teams to keep as varsity or not, and
- 23 discussions around budgets can go off in I think
- 24 fruitless ways.
- 25 Q. But you did share it with the committee,

- 1 correct? 2 I don't believe they ever saw the A. 3 finance -- the finances. They were never shown 4 that. Okay. And did you also have a component 5 0. that identified the roster sizes of each team? 6 Lynette, if you don't mind, 7 MS. KAPLAN: when you say "component," are you still talking 8 9 about the spreadsheet or --10 MS. LABINGER: I am. 11 So my recollection is that THE WITNESS: 12 the spreadsheet that the committee saw -- and, 13 again, this was being done by them by Zoom and 14 screen share, sadly -- was that they saw a spreadsheet that showed all of the men's and women's 15 16 teams, their current sizes, roster sizes, 17 information on the number of recruiting slots they currently have, and the spreadsheet was designed in 18 19 a way that you could interactively add or remove 20 teams and see what happened to the overall balance, 21 numbers with men and women athletes, etcetera. 22 (By Ms. Labinger) And by recruiting slots, 0.
- 25 A. Support slots, yes.

support slots?

23

24

are you talking about a term that's also called

- 1 Q. And that's also sometimes called
- 2 admissions slots, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Do they all mean the same thing?
- 5 A. Yes. To my knowledge, they all mean the
- 6 same thing.
- 7 O. And what is that?
- 8 A. That means that these are athletes,
- 9 student-athletes, who are accepted early decision
- 10 with the idea that they are being recruited to
- 11 participate in a specific sport. They also go
- 12 through the standard admissions process. They have
- 13 to be academically qualified to come to Brown as
- 14 well.
- 15 Q. And did your documents also assess the
- 16 academic index of teams?
- 17 A. We did not show that to the committee, but
- 18 I was interested in that as well for myself. That
- 19 column was not shown to the committee.
- Q. Thank you. And the -- that's also known
- 21 as an AI, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And that's an Ivy League term; is that
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And can you explain to me what -- how the AI functions in the Ivy League, the short version?

 A. The short version, and I couldn't give you
- 4 the long version. And basically each school has to
- 5 meet an average academic index. The AI is a
- 6 combination of test scores and GPAs. I have no idea
- 7 how it's calculated. And it's set for each school
- 8 differently to ensure that student-athletes have the
- 9 same academic background. They're not outliers in
- 10 terms of their academic qualifications in the
- 11 university.
- 12 The exception is -- so there's one average
- 13 AI for the entire athletics department, although
- 14 universities are free to set different AI targets
- 15 for different sports. The exception is football.
- 16 Football has its own AI distribution, and each sport
- 17 is limited -- each university is limited to a
- 18 certain number of admits within each part of the
- 19 distribution. So football is treated differently.
- 20 Q. So the AI measures the -- there's a range,
- 21 and there's the very high academically performing
- and the less high academically performing?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. I didn't say low because it's Brown and
- 25 everybody's performing well.

- 1 A. That's right.
- 2 O. Outside of football, which has to have a
- 3 balance within its own sport, do you have, like,
- 4 target numbers within the Ivies that you have to
- 5 have an average over all the teams so that a high AI
- on one sport can offset a low AI average on another?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And that was something that you looked at
- 9 and that Athletic Director Hayes looked at in
- 10 evaluating the impact of cutting teams from the
- 11 varsity program; is that correct?
- 12 A. Well, somewhat. I think the reason to
- 13 look at AI, the AI targets for individual teams can
- 14 be changed over time. I don't set them. The
- 15 athletics director does. But if you were to change
- 16 the composition of varsity sports, you would want to
- 17 go in with information about what it would mean
- 18 about any AI adjustments you'd have to do on other
- 19 teams.
- 20 Q. And when you say that the athletic
- 21 director sets the AI by teams, is that -- is it
- 22 required to produce a minimum average AI across all
- 23 the teams?
- A. It's meant to hit the Ivy AI average
- 25 target.

- 1 Q. And can -- is the Ivy AI target set across
- 2 all sports or does it look at men and women
- 3 separate?
- 4 A. It's set across all sports.
- 5 Q. So the committee appears to have met I
- 6 quess virtually on March 10, April 17, and May 14.
- 7 Do you recall those dates?
- 8 A. Vaguely. I will take your word for it.
- 9 Q. And I believe that May 14 was the last
- 10 meeting before the recommendation went to the -- is
- 11 it campus life committee?
- 12 A. Yes. The committee made a recommendation
- 13 to me. I took it to the corporation committee on
- 14 campus life, and then it went to the corporation.
- 15 O. And the dates were -- the recommendation
- 16 from the committee was May 14 to the campus life
- 17 committee, which also met on May 14, correct?
- 18 A. I will take your word for it. I don't
- 19 remember. I don't have the dates in my head.
- Q. We'll just clarify that later. Because
- 21 it's another document. And then it went to the
- 22 corporation on May 21; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 24 O. So over the course of the committee
- 25 meetings, the committee developed several things

- 1 that were called scenarios as to what would happen
- 2 if we eliminated this sport or added that sport; is
- 3 that right?
- 4 A. The committee considered scenarios. After
- 5 the first meeting, they charged Jack and me to come
- 6 up with several scenarios based on the sense of the
- 7 committee and the conversations that we'd had, yes.
- 8 Q. So did you come back to the committee, you
- 9 and/or -- let me withdraw.
- 10 Did you come back to the committee with
- 11 one scenario or more than one?
- 12 A. More than one.
- 13 Q. And -- I guess I'm losing something here.
- 14 Right. Okay.
- Ms. Bullock, could you mark as Exhibit 8
- 16 the document that starts with Bates 619? It's, I
- 17 think, three or four -- it looks like three pages.
- Now, I'd like to note for the record that
- 19 the second page -- this is not the complete
- 20 document, Ms. Kaplan. If you want the complete
- 21 document, we can do that, but I was trying to limit
- 22 the number of pages that were --
- 23 MS. KAPLAN: No problem. Can you just
- 24 give me a second to find it in my pile, though?
- 25 MS. LABINGER: Absolutely. It starts at

- 1 619. It's called Committee on Excellence in
- 2 Athletics meeting, April 17. It says 2019. I think
- 3 that's a typo.
- 4 THE WITNESS: That is a typo.
- 5 MS. KAPLAN: Okay. Great.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Do you have that, Bob? I'd
- 7 like to see the whole thing. Thank you.
- 8 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) You want the whole
- 9 document? Do you have it?
- 10 A. I have it.
- 11 Q. Great. When you say you have the whole
- 12 document, you're talking about the entire pack for
- 13 that meeting because --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Because I can get you that too if you'd
- 16 like.
- 17 A. Yeah. This only has two pages --
- 18 O. Correct.
- 19 A. -- but let's see if I need the rest.
- 20 MS. KAPLAN: Let's clean it up a little
- 21 bit if you don't mind, Lynette. Exhibit 8 are
- 22 portions from a document that has -- that says on
- 23 the first page "Committee on Excellence in Athletics
- 24 Meeting" but it's not the full document?
- MS. LABINGER: That's correct. And I can

- 1 get you the full document if you want it.
- 2 MS. KAPLAN: No. I think that's fine. I
- 3 just want the record to be clear.
- 4 MS. LABINGER: Absolutely.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Can I get it from my files?
- 6 Would that be okay or no?
- 7 MS. LABINGER: Yes, and I'm going to see
- 8 if I can -- do we have it -- oh, you have it some
- 9 other way. Okay.
- 10 MR. CORRENTE: Can you just tell us what
- 11 the Bates numbers are?
- MS. LABINGER: It starts at 619.
- MR. CORRENTE: And what are the other
- 14 ones? Because I don't have those in sequence after
- 15 that number.
- 16 MS. LABINGER: It's 619, 624, and 625.
- 17 MR. CORRENTE: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I have it.
- 19 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Super. All right. And
- 20 if you want, as I'm asking the questions, if there's
- 21 another page that you feel is necessary to complete
- 22 your answer, we will make that part of this, an
- 23 addendum, or make it part of this exhibit.
- 24 A. Thank you.
- 25 Q. I was just trying to keep it pointed. So

- 1 we start by saying it was April 17, 2020, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And the next page, which has some basic
- 4 information, I'd just like the record to reflect
- 5 that although it says designated confidential,
- 6 because the only information here are total numbers,
- 7 I believe the parties have agreed that that
- 8 designation has been withdrawn?
- 9 MS. KAPLAN: Yeah. I'm just looking at
- 10 the document, Lynette, to confirm that. And, Matt,
- 11 you should look as well, but I think that is right.
- 12 MS. LABINGER: And I believe that's the
- 13 reason that the rest of the document's not there.
- 14 Just to make it simple.
- MS. KAPLAN: Yeah. Just so I can say for
- 16 the record, the confidentiality issue has to go with
- 17 the -- has to do with the allotment of admission
- 18 slots per team. We don't have a confidentiality
- 19 objection to the total number at Brown.
- 20 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Thank you. So this
- 21 page, we'll just agree that that does not apply.
- 22 And what I really wanted to address your attention
- 23 to is the last page of this three-page document. It
- 24 might not be the last page of the actual document
- 25 itself, but of this excerpt. And that's a scenario

- 1 1, 2, and 3. Do you see that?
- 2 A. Yeah. The thing that is confusing me is
- 3 I'm looking at the April 17th PowerPoint that was
- 4 actually presented at the committee meeting, and it
- 5 only has scenario 1 and 2. I think you might have
- 6 an early version.
- 7 Q. An early version?
- 8 A. Yeah. That may have been edited shortly
- 9 before the -- that may have been made in preparation
- 10 for the committee, but I don't think it was the one
- 11 that was actually presented. I think --
- 12 Q. Okay. So what we need to -- I mean, let's
- 13 clarify. This is a document that you've seen
- 14 before?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. And you think it's a draft of the
- 17 PowerPoint that was presented at the April 17, 2020,
- 18 committee meeting?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right. And at the one that was
- 21 presented, there were only two scenarios; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- MS. KAPLAN: President Paxson, if I may,
- 25 the first page of the one that was presented, was

- 1 the date correct, 2020? That may clear things.
- THE WITNESS: No. It was still 2019.
- 3 MS. KAPLAN: Oh, it was still a typo.
- 4 Okay.
- 5 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) On the document that
- 6 you're reviewing, President Paxson, does that one
- 7 have any Bates stamps or is that just your internal
- 8 document?
- 9 A. It's just an internal document.
- 10 Q. It's not going to be any help to us to
- 11 find it in our pile of documents.
- MS. BULLOCK: I have it, Lynette.
- MS. LABINGER: What's that?
- MS. BULLOCK: I have it. Hold on.
- MS. LABINGER: Okay. All right.
- MS. KAPLAN: Just give me a second to --
- 17 was that in the pile you guys sent us?
- 18 MS. BULLOCK: It's not. It's Bates 493.
- MS. KAPLAN: You want to mark that as that
- 20 page? I don't have the document. You want to mark
- 21 that page as Exhibit 9?
- 22 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Let's make sure first,
- 23 is that the correct revision, President Paxson,
- 24 before we clutter the record with the wrong thing?
- MS. KAPLAN: That page 493, is that

- 1 consistent with the version of the document you have
- 2 in front of you?
- 3 THE WITNESS: This is consistent with the
- 4 document I have in front of me, and to the best of
- 5 my recollection, this is what the committee was
- 6 presented with on April 17th, 2020.
- 7 MS. LABINGER: All right. Then we're
- 8 going to mark Bates 493 as Exhibit 9.
- 9 MS. KAPLAN: The record will reflect that
- 10 that's a page from a larger PowerPoint that the
- 11 witness has said was presented to the committee.
- 12 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So just to be clear, the
- 13 first two pages of Exhibit 8 are consistent with the
- 14 PowerPoint that was presented on April 17, and the
- 15 third page was replaced by Exhibit 9; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Which one's Exhibit 9? I'm so sorry. I'm
- 18 confused.
- 19 O. I'm sorry. We'll try it again. At your
- 20 PowerPoint -- at the PowerPoint on April 17, the
- 21 committee saw the first two pages of Exhibit 8,
- 22 which is page Bates 619 and 624 -- they saw more,
- 23 but those were two of the pages, and then they also
- saw the document which we've marked Exhibit 9, which
- 25 is Bates number 493? Scenario 1 and 2.

- 1 A. Yeah, but we don't have Exhibit 9.
- 2 Q. No, you don't because -- but you're
- 3 looking at it on the screen, if you can.
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. And can you compare that to the PowerPoint
- 6 pack that you have?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. And confirm that they're the same, except
- 9 for the Bates stamp?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. All right. So then we are
- 12 literally now working off the same page?
- 13 A. We are.
- 14 Q. Excellent. So on April 17, the committee
- 15 was considering only two scenarios at that point?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 A. Go ahead. Finish your question. My
- 19 apologies.
- 20 O. Okay. Were there more scenarios than
- 21 these two, under consideration?
- 22 A. The committee was presented with two
- 23 scenarios because the committee was still in
- 24 progress. These were discussed, and the scenarios
- 25 were further modified as we went along.

- 1 O. And do you have a document of the
- 2 committee that includes a further modification of
- 3 the scenarios?
- 4 A. I believe we have to go and look and see
- 5 what was presented to the committee at the final
- 6 meeting. If there is such a thing.
- 7 O. We have --
- 8 A. Or maybe this is the last one that they
- 9 got. I'm not sure.
- 10 Q. Well, I appreciate your confusion because
- 11 we've seen a lot of documents, and so I can't be
- 12 certain.
- 13 A. I think --
- 14 O. I wasn't there.
- 15 A. I think it's important to know that, you
- 16 know, this was a discussion. Teams were coming in
- 17 and moving out. This was in flux. It was dynamic.
- 18 And that's why my recollection isn't clear as to
- 19 which scenarios were discussed with the committee at
- 20 exactly which point. These were working documents.
- 21 This was work in progress.
- 22 Q. Just give me one moment. All right.
- 23 Then, Ms. Bullock, can you post as Exhibit 10 Bates
- 24 509 to 532?
- 25 And so that you know what we're looking

- 1 at, it's called the Excellence in Athletics
- 2 Corporation Committee on Campus Life.
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 MS. KAPLAN: This is Exhibit 10, correct?
- 5 MS. LABINGER: Exhibit 10, the whole
- 6 thing.
- 7 MS. KAPLAN: Got it.
- 8 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Now, President Paxson,
- 9 this is a different committee than the one that
- 10 Mr. Mundt chaired, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 O. So by this point, the -- Mr. Mundt's
- 13 committee had completed its recommendations; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Were you present for the meeting of the
- 17 corporation committee on campus life on May 1 --
- 18 May 14, 2020?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- 20 Q. This PowerPoint was presented and then
- 21 discussed; is that right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Did you have a role in the creation of
- 24 this document?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What was your role?
- 2 A. I developed it with my staff. With input
- 3 from Director Hayes.
- Q. So let's go to the page that's Bates stamp
- 5 525.
- 6 A. It's right at the end. Great.
- 7 Q. Are you there?
- 8 A. I'm here.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So this page indicates a recommendation to
- 12 cut or convert to club men's and women's fencing,
- men's and women's golf, women's skiing, men's and
- 14 women's squash, women's equestrian, men's and
- women's tennis, and men's track, field, and cross
- 16 country, and to elevate sailing, which is listed as
- 17 coed and women; is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 O. And was that the recommendation of
- 20 Mr. Mundt's committee?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So by the time it got to this
- 23 corporation committee, Mr. Mundt's committee had
- 24 settled on this package of recommendations, correct?
- 25 A. This was where the committee ended up. I

- 1 think it's useless to clarify, though, that
- 2 Mr. Mundt's committee was advisory to me, and so
- 3 what I'm really giving the campus life committee of
- 4 the corporation are my recommendations.
- 5 Q. Okay. And in their advice to you, did
- 6 Mr. Mundt's committee advise you that they supported
- 7 this lineup of teams to cut and add?
- 8 A. We didn't have a formal vote, but there
- 9 seemed to be consensus in the committee.
- 10 Q. Do you recall any dissent in the committee
- 11 concerning the lineup?
- 12 A. There was some discussion about track,
- 13 field, cross country and the role that it plays in
- 14 diversity and inclusion at Brown.
- 15 Q. Anything else that you recall?
- 16 A. Not that I can recall.
- 17 Q. And when you presented this recommendation
- 18 to the corporation committee, your office or
- 19 Mr. Hayes' office had done all the math on how this
- 20 would impact things such as proportionality and
- 21 admission slots; is that correct?
- 22 A. We had actually stopped looking at
- 23 admission slots along the way. We knew that, you
- 24 know, there's a university limit and it's really
- 25 under the purview of the athletics director to make

- 1 those decisions, so we were really focused on roster
- 2 sizes and gender balance.
- Q. And you conducted that analysis with this
- 4 lineup, correct?
- 5 A. Correct. And those are the numbers
- 6 reflected in the chart.
- 7 Q. And in each of the -- so this is the chart
- 8 that's in a blue background that says "Percent
- 9 Women" under the current lineup, the Brown five-year
- 10 average if the team lineup was changed, and then
- 11 three other ways of looking at it, the alternative
- 12 roster sizes; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And the Brown five-year average, that was
- 15 based on the average number on those teams, the 27
- 16 remaining for the previous five years; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. So it's looking historically to make an
- 20 assessment that based on the five years of past
- 21 experience, if we looked at these 27 remaining
- teams, we would expect to have a total number of
- 23 athletes of 794 of which 54.4 percent were women; is
- 24 that right?
- 25 A. That is correct. The one point that I

- 1 want to note about this table is, though, it's
- 2 confusing because the first three columns use the
- 3 EADA method of counting varsity opportunities for
- 4 men and women, which is different from the Cohen way
- 5 of counting varsity opportunities for men and women.
- 6 So just keep that in mind as you look at these
- 7 numbers.
- 8 Q. Well, did you count them the Cohen way, as
- 9 you say?
- 10 A. The Cohen way is under "Coaches' ideal"
- 11 and -- is under "Coaches' ideal" and "Ivy average,"
- 12 and there's an asterisk that notes that those are
- 13 counting squad sizes differently than other methods.
- 14 Q. Okay. So coaches' ideal is -- you're
- 15 saying it's not actually the ideal but the last five
- 16 years?
- 17 A. No. Five-year average is using, actually,
- 18 numbers on student participation on these teams.
- 19 One of the goals of the whole athletics initiative
- 20 was to create opportunities for both men's and
- 21 women's rosters, which were undersized on average,
- 22 to become bigger. That was one of the major points
- 23 of this.
- 24 And so what Athletic Director Hayes did
- 25 was he went to each of the coaches. He sent them an

- 1 email. And without telling them the purpose, he
- 2 said, "You know, if you could have any roster size,
- 3 what would it be?" And so the coaches' ideal is
- 4 really sort of if we really did the best we possibly
- 5 could for each of our teams, according to our
- 6 coaches, who are the experts, what would those
- 7 numbers look like.
- 8 Q. And that came out as the 52.9 percent; is
- 9 that right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Now, is it your understanding that those
- 12 total numbers included a projected number for
- 13 sailing, coed and women's?
- MS. KAPLAN: Just so the record is clear,
- 15 Lynette, you're talking about the 52.9 on that
- 16 document?
- 17 MS. LABINGER: I'm talking about all of
- 18 the numbers on -- in the blue chart.
- MS. KAPLAN: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: They're based on actual data
- 21 from the women's and coed sailing teams, which have
- 22 existed for years.
- O. (By Ms. Labinger) Well, they don't
- 24 maintain separate rosters for women's and coed prior
- 25 to today. Were you aware of that?

- 1 A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Well, as a club team, the sailing program
- 3 didn't count women on the women's -- the women on
- 4 the sailing program separately for women's and coed.
- 5 Are you aware of that?
- 6 MS. KAPLAN: Objection to the form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I mean, the coach
- 8 of the sailing team knows how many women were on his
- 9 coed teams and his women sailing team, so I assume
- 10 that the numbers which came from the athletics
- 11 office reflect the actual experience of the sailing
- 12 teams.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) And were you aware
- 14 that -- withdrawn.
- Did these numbers include a total number
- of women on sailing -- on the sailing rosters let's
- 17 say for the past five years, counted once for women
- 18 and once for coed? If you know.
- 19 A. That's correct. We were following what
- 20 would be the standard under EADA guidelines.
- 21 Q. Did you investigate EADA guidelines
- 22 yourself?
- 23 A. No. I've been told what they are.
- Q. Have you ever heard the term "unduplicated
- 25 count"?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 Q. That means you don't count the same person
- 3 twice; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes. There's a way of counting athletes
- 5 duplicated and unduplicated.
- 6 Q. And by counting the students as being on
- 7 two teams at the same time, you are counting them
- 8 twice; is that correct?
- 9 A. We're counting them twice for the number
- 10 of opportunities for female athletes, correct. And
- 11 my understanding is that that has been the standard
- in intercollegiate athletics for some time.
- 13 Q. Does Harvard do that for its sailing team?
- 14 A. I can't speak for Harvard, but I assume
- 15 that that's how they would do it when they report to
- 16 the EADA.
- 17 Q. And if your understanding is incorrect,
- 18 you stand corrected?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form.
- 21 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) And do you know whether
- 22 Dartmouth counts its sailing team that way?
- 23 A. I don't. I have no direct knowledge about
- 24 how any other college or university counts their
- 25 team.

- 1 Q. So what's the basis for your understanding
- 2 as you described?
- 3 A. Because they are different athletics
- 4 opportunities. They compete in different events,
- 5 and much in the same way that, you know, cross
- 6 country, some of those runners also compete in track
- 7 and field, they're counted as separate opportunities
- 8 for women, it makes sense to do it that way.
- 9 Q. And that's your personal opinion?
- 10 A. My understanding of Title IX is that it
- 11 refers to athletics opportunities for women and that
- 12 in the EADA reporting that students who participate
- in multiple teams count multiple times because those
- 14 are different opportunities.
- 15 Q. And did you seek -- withdrawn.
- 16 Did you direct your legal counsel or
- 17 anyone else at Brown to reach out to counsel for the
- 18 plaintiffs to determine whether they would agree
- 19 with your methodology of counting a new varsity
- 20 team?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 Q. You were aware that changing -- adding
- 23 varsity teams for men would cause a drop-down in the
- 24 permitted variance; is that right?
- 25 A. That's correct, but we were confident that

- 1 we could meet that target, as we have for 22 years.
- Q. Well, you haven't always met that target,
- 3 have you?
- A. There were a few years -- since my time at
- 5 Brown, we've met that target.
- 6 Q. And the target that you met was
- 7 3.5 percent, not 2.25 percent, as the standard; is
- 8 that right?
- 9 A. That's correct. Although in some years, I
- 10 believe we've been close to or below the 2.25.
- 11 Q. Certainly. But that was not the
- 12 measurement that you were subjected -- by "you" I
- 13 mean Brown -- was subjected to, correct?
- 14 A. Correct. Brown was required to meet the
- 15 3.5 variance prior to these changes in teams, yes.
- 16 O. And did you seek an opinion from any
- 17 governing entity, such as the Office for Civil
- 18 Rights, as to whether counting the two teams as two
- 19 separate teams would meet the Title IX requirements?
- 20 A. No. And, you know, one reason why we
- 21 didn't feel the need to do that was -- and as you've
- 22 seen in our expert witness documents, we can meet
- 23 the requirements of 2.25 regardless of how you count
- 24 sailing. I think it's consistent, based on my
- 25 knowledge with how other schools do it, to double --

- 1 to count those as separate athletics opportunities,
- 2 but we get -- we'll be in compliance either way. We
- 3 have to be in compliance.
- 4 Q. When you say it's consistent with your
- 5 knowledge of other schools, you're talking about
- 6 sailing or just generically how other schools count
- 7 opportunities on more than one sport?
- 8 A. Generically? You know, maybe I shouldn't
- 9 say this. We did seek the advice of -- Robbie, can
- 10 we talk for a second?
- 11 MS. KAPLAN: If it's a question about --
- 12 THE WITNESS: Maybe not.
- MS. KAPLAN: Okay. President Paxson --
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. We --
- MS. KAPLAN: If it's about privilege, hold
- 16 it. We can talk about it during the break and you
- 17 can decide whether to say it later. I don't want
- 18 you to waive privilege.
- 19 THE WITNESS: It's an issue of privilege
- 20 and how we got information.
- 21 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) But you did not
- 22 investigate all of your Ivy counterparts that have
- 23 sailing to determine whether they count women both
- 24 as coed and as a women's team at the same time?
- 25 A. No, we didn't.

- 1 Q. And are you aware that the sailing -- on
- 2 the sailing program that the athletic department
- 3 intends to count the same identical women to be
- 4 members of both the coed team and the women's team
- 5 at the same time?
- 6 MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form, but you
- 7 can answer.
- 8 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that we
- 9 are counting them as separate athletics
- 10 opportunities because the women do not need to be
- 11 identical.
- 12 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) The women do not need to
- 13 be identical? So you could have -- if you have a
- 14 team of 25, you could have 25 women on the -- I'm
- 15 sorry. I cannot figure out how to turn this thing
- 16 off.
- 17 MS. KAPLAN: At some point, I need a --
- 18 way for me to say this, but I actually do need a
- 19 bathroom break.
- MS. LABINGER: You know what? This is an
- 21 ideal time because I am being attacked by my office
- 22 phone, which is -- I can't seem to turn off. So
- 23 this is a lovely time to take a break. How long do
- 24 you want, Robbie?
- MS. KAPLAN: 10:45. You want to say 10

minutes, 15 minutes? 1 2 MS. LABINGER: Let's say 11. 3 MS. KAPLAN: Great. 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 9:46 a.m. 5 (Recess taken from 9:46 to 10:00 a.m.) 6 VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 10 a.m. MS. KAPLAN: 10 -- oh, you're in Iowa. 7 8 Sorry. 9 MS. LABINGER: Yes. That's Central Time. 10 (By Ms. Labinger) Before the break, you Q. 11 made a reference to an expert retained by Brown? 12 We consulted with an external lawyer who Α. 13 is an expert in Title IX. So I clarified that I can tell you that. Of course I can't tell you anything 14 about the substance of those discussions. 15 They 16 would be privileged. 17 Q. And can you identify the individual, 18 please? 19 No. Α. 20 You can't? Q. I've been advised not to. Robbie? 21 A. 22 Not to identify the name of a person that Q. 23 you consulted with? 24 MS. KAPLAN: Let me -- I wasn't part of -let me -- we'll deal with that on the next break. 25

- 1 We may be able to resolve that.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Okay. So you know that
- 3 Brown has also retained an expert economist to give
- 4 an opinion in this case? That's not who you were
- 5 referring to?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And Professor Ashenfelter, if I pronounce
- 8 his name correctly, is that someone you know from
- 9 Princeton?
- 10 A. I did know him. Ashenfelter.
- 11 Q. Ashenfelter. Thank you. And that's not
- 12 the information that you were referring to, correct?
- 13 A. No.
- MS. KAPLAN: And we may also, as I think I
- 15 said -- I think I said in a letter, email, we may
- 16 also have a Title IX expert in this case, but I can
- 17 confirm that.
- 18 MS. LABINGER: Please, this is my
- 19 deposition, and that has nothing to do with the
- 20 questioning of this witness. I'd rather not --
- MS. KAPLAN: Well, I'm sorry, but you were
- 22 clarifying that it isn't Mr. Ashenfelter. I also
- 23 want to clarify that the Title IX expert we probably
- 24 will use, it's not that person either. I just want
- 25 the record to be clear.

- 1 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. So with
- 2 respect to whoever you consulted with, whatever you
- 3 told them, your attorney is asserting a privilege, I
- 4 take it. Let's get that clarified.
- 5 MS. KAPLAN: That's correct.
- 6 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So you're not going to
- 7 tell me anything about the substance of any
- 8 communications to that individual attorney or any
- 9 information that that attorney has given to you; is
- 10 that correct?
- MS. KAPLAN: That's correct.
- 12 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So your statement that
- 13 someone told you that this was okay, that was part
- 14 of a privileged communication? Is that the
- 15 assertion today?
- 16 A. No. Not fully. The analysis of sailing,
- 17 which I know you're very concerned about, we can
- 18 meet the Cohen requirements even with the lower band
- 19 from 3.5 to 2.25, whether or not sailing is counted
- 20 as one sport or two. I believe it's appropriate to
- 21 count as two, but it doesn't really matter.
- 22 Q. And the basis for that statement, is that
- an opinion that someone has given you or your own
- 24 analysis?
- 25 A. That's the expert opinion's analysis, but

- 1 it was also our assessment prior to that.
- 2 Q. And when you say the expert opinion, that
- 3 is someone that you consulted in this matter?
- A. Well, the expert report -- Orley
- 5 Ashenfelter's report was produced after this legal
- 6 issue began.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you're talking about that expert
- 8 report now. I'm so confused --
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. -- what you're talking about.
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. And that one's based on the numbers on
- 13 preseason rosters, correct? If you know.
- 14 A. I don't know exactly what you mean by that
- 15 term.
- 16 O. Preseason rosters? It's the numbers that
- 17 are on declarations that Brown collects before the
- 18 season starts as to who might be on the team.
- 19 A. I don't -- I'm not sure how those numbers
- 20 came up. You'd have to ask Athletics Director
- 21 Hayes.
- 22 Q. Okay. So you don't know what the numbers
- 23 are that Professor Ashenfelter relied on to project
- 24 the 2020-21 participants; is that correct?
- 25 A. I have no direct knowledge of the data

- 1 that was given to him.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- Q. And with respect to sailing, the sailing
- 5 program, obviously it's never had a season as a
- 6 varsity, correct?
- 7 A. To my knowledge, it's always been a club
- 8 sport at Brown, both women's sailing and coed
- 9 sailing. It has a marvelous history, though.
- 10 Q. And are you aware that the same women who
- 11 sail on women's events also sail on the coed events?
- 12 A. I have never looked at the data to see if
- 13 that's true or not.
- 14 Q. And are you aware of any woman in the past
- 15 who's been on the women's sailing team who's not
- 16 also been part of the coed team at the same time?
- 17 A. I wouldn't have that level of detail on
- 18 any of our athletes. I'm sorry.
- 19 Q. Fair enough. Thank you. So I want to go
- 20 back to Exhibit -- I think it's Exhibit 10. We were
- 21 looking at page 525 of that exhibit.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And this is the report that was presented
- 24 to the corporation committee on May 14, and would it
- 25 be fair to say that it was your recommendation of

- 1 which sports to cut from the varsity lineup at the
- 2 same time as adding the sailing program as a
- 3 varsity? Is that correct?
- 4 A. That was my recommendation at the time,
- 5 yes.
- 6 Q. And you had done all of your various
- 7 analyses to determine a proportion of women based on
- 8 this lineup, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct. And, you know, if you
- 10 look at the numbers in the table at the bottom, you
- 11 can see that there are many different ways to do the
- 12 counting depending on whether you use EADA, Cohen,
- 13 whether you look at Brown five-year averages, what
- 14 the coaches want. So we wanted to cover all our
- 15 bases and look at all of them, and the great thing
- is for all of them, we would be in compliance with
- 17 Cohen under the new standard.
- 18 O. And which one of those columns do you
- 19 believe is what you'd call the Cohen column?
- 20 Because none of them are labeled "Cohen."
- 21 A. You know what? At this point, I'm not
- 22 sure. I'm not sure which one. The whole treatment
- 23 of track, field, and cross country is so confusing
- 24 that I'm never -- I don't remember which ones are
- 25 done which ways.

- Okay. And the numbers in the four columns
- 2 to the right of "Current" are all smaller than
- 3 "Current" because you were measuring what things
- 4 would look like with 27 sports instead of 38,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And just for my clarification, because
- 8 everybody seems to count the track programs
- 9 differently, if you know, how many teams were you
- 10 counting for track, field, and cross country for
- 11 men? Is that three?
- 12 A. Three, yes.
- MS. KAPLAN: And that's, again, in
- 14 reference to this chart, just so the record's clear?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes, in reference to this
- 16 chart.
- 17 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) And you didn't count
- 18 indoor and outdoor track as two separate sports,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. No, not -- in the line that says number of
- 21 sports, it treats indoor track, outdoor track, and
- 22 cross country, for women in this chart because men's
- 23 have been removed, as three separate sports, which
- 24 is the standard in our league and I believe in the
- 25 NCAA.

- 1 Q. And based on your analysis in all four
- 2 versions of counting, you were going to increase the
- 3 relative percentage of women as compared to your
- 4 overall number of athletes; is that right?
- 5 A. If I understand your correction [sic], in
- 6 all of these different ways of counting, we have a
- 7 higher proportion of athletics opportunities for
- 8 women than we currently have.
- 9 MS. KAPLAN: I just want to clarify. You
- 10 said "If I understand your correction." I think you
- 11 meant, President Paxson, "If I understand your
- 12 question."
- 13 THE WITNESS: "If I understand your
- 14 question, yes.
- 15 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. So it says
- 16 there was a discussion at the corporation committee.
- 17 Was there?
- 18 A. Yes, there was a discussion. This is the
- 19 campus life committee of the corporation that we're
- 20 talking about, right? Are we on to the full
- 21 corporation?
- Q. No, no. We're still on May 14 so --
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Campus life.
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 Q. I apologize. I don't know what all of the
- 2 terms are. Let's clarify. The campus life
- 3 committee is a standing committee of the
- 4 corporation; is that correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. As opposed to Mr. Mundt's committee, which
- 7 was an ad hoc committee that the president, you,
- 8 appointed?
- 9 A. Yes. It was not a committee of the
- 10 corporation.
- 11 Q. And are the people on the corporation
- 12 committee members of the corporation, the fellows
- 13 and trustees?
- 14 A. The campus life committee of the
- 15 corporation is comprised of current corporation
- 16 members. They could be fellows and/or trustees.
- 17 And, in addition, the heads of each of the branches
- 18 of student government, undergraduate, graduate, and
- 19 medical.
- 20 Q. When you say "the heads" --
- 21 A. The presidents.
- 22 Q. Presidents of --
- 23 A. The undergraduate counsel of students.
- Q. So the actual students?
- 25 A. Yes. They sit with the committee,

- 1 although not in executive sessions.
- O. Okay. And as a result of the discussion
- 3 that took place on May 14, did your recommendation
- 4 get approved by the corporation committee on campus
- 5 life as presented to it?
- 6 A. It was approved as presented.
- 7 Q. Now, let's see if I can get to the next
- 8 one. Let's mark as 11 Bates 536 to 559. And that,
- 9 just for clarification, is the meeting of the
- 10 corporation, PowerPoint called "Excellence in
- 11 Athletics"?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Now, have you found the version that we've
- 14 presented to you with the Bates numbers?
- 15 A. I believe so.
- 16 O. Or you can look at it on the screen too.
- 17 I just want to make sure that you're satisfied this
- 18 is the version that was presented since we had that
- 19 confusion about the one from April to the committee.
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: It should be -- it was on the
- 22 top of the pile that was printed, President Paxson.
- THE WITNESS: 536, right?
- MS. KAPLAN: Yeah, starts at 536. Yeah.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. This looks like the

- 1 version that was presented.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Super. Okay. So did
- 3 you have a role in the preparation of this
- 4 PowerPoint?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. And what was your role?
- 7 A. My staff and I put together the
- 8 PowerPoint.
- 9 Q. Now, if you go to the page that's marked
- 10 552, it's a different list than the last one
- 11 presented to the corporation committee, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- O. And men's and women's tennis has been
- 14 removed, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And can you tell me how that came about?
- 17 A. That came about because after the campus
- 18 life committee and shortly before the corporation
- 19 committee, Athletics Director Hayes contacted the
- 20 Ivy office and realized through a discussion that he
- 21 had a misunderstanding of how the Ivy League
- 22 oversees numbers of recruiting slots, admissions
- 23 slots, as we've referred to them before, based on
- 24 rules that I had no knowledge of and still don't
- 25 quite understand.

- 1 He contacted me and suggested that we
- 2 reinsert one of the sports, both men's and women's,
- 3 into the varsity sports that were kept. His initial
- 4 recommendation was fencing. I spoke -- talked it
- 5 through with him. We had a phone conversation. My
- 6 recommendation finally was that instead of fencing
- 7 we maintain tennis as a varsity sport, men's and
- 8 women's tennis.
- 9 Q. Okay. So what time of the day does the
- 10 corporation generally meet?
- 11 A. Well, we're in a pandemic so nothing is
- 12 normal. I can't remember what time of day this
- 13 committee meeting was, but I could look it up in my
- 14 calendar.
- 15 Q. Was it -- just give me one second, please.
- 16 A. That meeting would have been at 2 p.m. on
- 17 May 21st.
- 18 MS. KAPLAN: And the record should
- 19 reflect, President Paxson, you were looking at your
- 20 calendar?
- 21 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So what you said is that
- 23 he had -- he was talking about admission slots to
- 24 put fencing back in, or AI?
- 25 A. I'm sorry. Admission slots, not AI. Yes.

- 1 O. Admission slots?
- A. Admission slots.
- 3 Q. Okay. And after the corporation voted,
- 4 did he come back to you and then advocate again to
- 5 add fencing back in?
- A. No. That's not my recollection.
- 7 Q. I mean, was the committee meeting over by
- 8 7 o'clock on May 21?
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 Q. Between May 14 and the committee
- 11 proceedings, did you also speak with Orlando Bravo
- 12 about the decision to cut men's and women's tennis?
- 13 A. I spoke with a number of corporation
- 14 members who had been involved in tennis during their
- 15 time at Brown, and he was one of them.
- 16 Q. And this was before your presentation,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And he advocated that you put men's and
- 20 women's tennis back; isn't that right?
- A. He did.
- 22 O. And that's not -- does that have anything
- 23 to do with your decision-making?
- A. Well, it waved me away from fencing and
- 25 towards tennis. He's somebody who's strongly

- 1 supportive and can do a lot to help the program
- 2 going forward.
- 3 Q. So was there a time because of the
- 4 athletic director's advocacy that you were thinking
- 5 of putting tennis back into the varsity -- I'm
- 6 sorry. Forgive me. I'll try that again.
- 7 Between May 14 and your final presentation
- 8 on May 21, was there also a time when you were
- 9 thinking of putting fencing back into the varsity
- 10 lineup and leaving tennis in the proposal?
- 11 A. Personally, I never wanted to put fencing
- 12 back. That didn't seem like a good idea to me. The
- 13 athletics director may differ, but I never thought
- 14 it was a good idea.
- 15 Q. So -- but whatever he said to you about
- 16 admission slots, if I understand correctly,
- 17 convinced you that you needed to put something back?
- 18 A. It convinced me that it would be
- 19 beneficial to put something back, and by putting
- 20 back something that was an Ivy sport, men's and
- 21 women's.
- 22 Q. And can you just explain, if you
- 23 understand, how that -- how having it an Ivy sport
- 24 impacts on that issue?
- 25 A. I don't understand the Ivy rules governing

- 1 admission slots. In fact, they don't even show up
- 2 in the Ivy policy manual, but we learned that they
- 3 exist. Admission slots would -- under the Ivy rules
- 4 would only pertain to Ivy sports, and of the list
- 5 here, equestrian certainly wouldn't be counted.
- 6 It's not -- it doesn't have enough schools who
- 7 are -- have equestrian as a varsity team.
- 8 Q. Fencing is an Ivy sport?
- 9 A. I think so, yeah.
- 10 Q. And squash?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And certainly the men's track program?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. What about golf?
- 15 A. I believe it is, although if it gets below
- 16 a certain number, it loses championship status, and
- 17 Dartmouth just cut golf so I don't know where we are
- 18 now.
- 19 Q. And then you reran the numbers in your
- 20 chart, which is on page 5 -- Bates 552, to come up
- 21 with the projected percentages based on having 29
- teams as opposed to 27; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- O. Let's mark as Exhibit -- withdrawn. I'm
- 25 sorry.

- 1 So at your presentation of the corporation
- 2 meeting on May 21, 2020, would it be fair to say
- 3 that the corporation voted to approve your
- 4 recommendation to authorize the elimination of
- 5 certain varsity teams and the elevation of the
- 6 sailing program to varsity status, as you
- 7 recommended?
- 8 A. Yes, they approved the plan. What I can't
- 9 recall is what exactly was in the corporation
- 10 resolution and whether it listed the sports. I
- 11 can't remember. I'd have to look at the document.
- 12 Q. All right. Well, let's accommodate you.
- 13 A. Thank you.
- 14 O. Have to find it. 606. I think that's it.
- 15 Does that help? This is Exhibit 12. Pages -- Bates
- 16 pages 606 to 607.
- 17 A. Yes. So as I thought I remembered, it
- 18 doesn't actually list the specific sports, but it
- 19 approves the findings and recommendations.
- 20 MS. LABINGER: All right. And for the
- 21 record, Ms. Kaplan, you've withdrawn your designated
- 22 confidential label on this document; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 MS. KAPLAN: I think so. Matt? That
- 25 seems right to me.

- 1 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Okay. I'll certainly
- 2 give you a later chance to change your mind about
- 3 it, but just to keep the record clear that we're not
- 4 confused about it. And we haven't received any
- 5 minutes of the meeting on May 21. Is that typical,
- 6 that they wouldn't have been prepared yet?
- 7 A. I never see minutes from the corporation
- 8 meeting. They're kept and I think they're put in
- 9 the Hay Library. I've never seen them. So I don't
- 10 know when they're prepared.
- 11 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) When you say "they're
- 12 put in the Hay Library," is that a public location?
- 13 A. It's one of Brown's libraries where we
- 14 keep university archives.
- 15 Q. But can anybody go and read the minutes?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. That's what I wanted to know.
- 18 MS. KAPLAN: Nice try, Lynette.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I think they are kept
- 20 confidential for something like 50 years or could be
- 21 longer. There's a certain time period where they're
- 22 sealed.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Well, we have requested
- 24 them and I believe we're entitled to them, but they
- 25 may not exist at this time. What I'm asking you was

- 1 whether you have any information if they've been
- 2 created yet.
- 3 A. So let me tell you, this -- so there's
- 4 one -- well, I'll explain to you how the corporation
- 5 works. There's an executive session with the
- 6 president at which we discuss things without anybody
- 7 else in the room, no secretary. The discussion
- 8 about athletics and club sports was held during that
- 9 meeting. The formal resolution was voted on at the
- 10 business meeting. Those are very proforma meetings
- 11 so I can assure you that the minutes would not be
- 12 illuminating.
- 13 Q. They wouldn't be what, please?
- 14 A. They wouldn't give you any additional
- 15 information over and above what's in this.
- 16 O. Do you recall there being any discussion
- 17 at the board meeting concerning the lineup of the
- 18 sports selected for elimination as varsities?
- 19 A. This would have been at the executive
- 20 session or during the formal board meeting.
- 21 Q. At any time that you were present?
- 22 A. So when I presented this recommendation
- 23 during executive session, there was some discussion.
- 24 My recollection -- and I don't recall the discussion
- 25 specifically -- was that people wanted to make sure

- 1 that we had good things in place to support the
- 2 students who would be transitioning out of varsity
- 3 to club status. You know, we all recognize that
- 4 this would be really hard for them.
- 5 Q. It would be really what, please?
- A. It would be difficult for them to convert
- 7 from varsity to club teams. That's one of the down
- 8 sides of making any changes in athletics. So they
- 9 were -- wanted to make sure that they would continue
- 10 to have support in the coming years and also support
- 11 if they decided to transfer.
- 12 O. And when you say it would be difficult for
- 13 them, would you explain, as you understand it, why
- 14 students who were being -- whose teams were being
- 15 eliminated from the varsity lineup would take this
- 16 as not a good thing?
- 17 A. Well, I've seen changes in university
- 18 athletics, college athletics, over the course of my
- 19 career. I went to Swarthmore College that
- 20 eliminated football. I learned about Brown's prior
- 21 attempts to reduce some sports under Ruth Simmons.
- 22 I've heard about Dartmouth, some other universities'
- 23 experiences. And I have to say, you know, this is
- 24 very sensitive. People have very strong connections
- 25 to their athletics teams. We know that. On the

- 1 other hand, I feel strongly that the university has
- 2 to be able to make changes that are in the best
- 3 long-run interests of the university and of the
- 4 future students who come to Brown.
- 5 Q. So focusing on the students who are
- 6 affected, would you agree with me that this -- that
- 7 the transition, as you call it, from a varsity to
- 8 potentially club would not be -- you would not
- 9 expect that most students would welcome that if they
- were a varsity athlete?
- 11 A. No, they wouldn't welcome that.
- 12 Q. And it would have a lot of impact on their
- 13 competitive level and their access to trainers,
- 14 conditioning, coaches, facilities, all of those
- 15 things; is that correct?
- 16 A. Well, you put a lot of things into those.
- 17 Do you want me to --
- 18 Q. Okay. I can take them separately.
- 19 A. Yeah. And it varies across teams.
- 20 Q. When you were directing that, the access
- 21 of any teams that were removed from the varsity
- 22 lineup to trainers or conditioning and Brown
- 23 facilities that are extended to varsities no longer
- 24 be available to members of the club teams, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct, and, you know, one of the

- 1 reasons for reducing the number of teams is that
- 2 those facilities at Brown are overstressed, have
- 3 been overstressed, and we needed to -- that was one
- 4 of the rationales for reducing the number of teams.
- 5 Q. So for the continuing varsity players,
- 6 they'd still have access to it, correct?
- 7 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 8 Q. The level of competition at the club
- 9 level, outside of sailing, is not Division I,
- 10 correct? If you know.
- 11 A. Well, actually for one of our teams,
- 12 equestrian, they would have stayed in exactly the
- 13 same league and had the same competitive
- 14 opportunities because they're in a league that is a
- 15 combination of varsity and club teams, so that
- 16 wouldn't have changed their experience.
- I believe for the remainder of the teams,
- 18 it probably would have, but I don't know enough
- 19 about, you know, who -- I don't know enough about
- 20 who sailing competes against to know if -- not
- 21 sailing -- skiing to know whether that would be true
- 22 for skiing as well.
- 23 Q. All right. Well, I'll reserve the rest of
- 24 this line of questioning for athletic director
- 25 Hayes. Would you agree he's more knowledgeable on

- 1 the subject than you are?
- 2 A. Yes, I would.
- 3 Q. As a result of your presentation and the
- 4 discussion, the corporation approved your
- 5 recommendations; is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And they made no changes in the lineup of
- 8 the teams that you were proposing be eliminated from
- 9 the varsity lineup or the program that you were
- 10 recommending be elevated to varsity, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 O. Now, let's mark as Exhibit 13 Bates 26536.
- MS. KAPLAN: Are you continuing the
- 14 exhibit to the next page?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes, it goes on. It does.
- 16 26537.
- 17 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Do you have that? Have
- 18 you found that or are you looking at it on the
- 19 screen?
- 20 A. Yes, I'm looking at it.
- 21 Q. All right. So is this the discussion --
- 22 withdrawn.
- Do you recognize that as an email from
- 24 Athletic Director Hayes to you of May 21, 2020, at
- 25 7:17 p.m.?

- 1 A. Yes, but I have to say, I'm really
- 2 confused by this because I remember this
- 3 conversation as happening between the campus life
- 4 and the corporation meeting, not after the
- 5 corporation meeting. So it seems very odd to me
- 6 that I see this dated with that date and that time.
- 7 Q. Well, I appreciate that, but these are
- 8 records that were produced by --
- 9 A. Well, I understand that, but I can tell
- 10 you this is not my recollection. I recollect not
- 11 getting an email from Jack Hayes. I recollect
- 12 getting a phone call from Jack Hayes between the
- 13 time of the campus life meeting and the corporation
- 14 meeting advocating for adding fencing in.
- 15 Q. Well, if you read -- have you read it to
- 16 yourself?
- 17 A. I'm reading it now.
- 18 O. Okay. It deals with admission slots and
- 19 AI, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Both of the things that you mentioned he
- 22 had talked about, correct or -- withdrawn.
- 23 You mentioned that he had talked about
- 24 admission slots?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And here he says, "I take full
- 2 responsibility that I should have understood this
- 3 calculation, but now that we are aware, before
- 4 making an announcement, there is an opportunity to
- 5 adjust it and better our teams. I am concerned."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that
- 9 he raised it after the corporation had taken a vote?
- 10 A. Well, I just -- I don't -- my memory on
- 11 this isn't great. I distinctly remember a phone
- 12 call before the corporation meeting where we talked
- 13 about fencing.
- Now, this implies that he made yet one
- 15 last-ditch attempt for fencing after the corporation
- 16 meeting. I don't recall getting this email. I
- 17 don't recall how I responded to it. But my answer
- 18 would have been "Jack, the decision is made. We're
- 19 done." You can ask him about it. He may remember
- 20 better.
- 21 Q. All right. And let's mark as 14 26538.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 Q. And do you recognize this as another
- 24 email?
- 25 A. Yeah. I don't have a distinct

- 1 recollection of receiving it, but it clearly went to
- 2 me. It went to my Gmail account, which is funny. I
- 3 don't know why he would have done that.
- 4 Q. This is -- this document, which is
- 5 slightly later in time than the one that we just --
- 6 this is Exhibit 14. This follows Exhibit 13, the
- 7 same evening with the same issue about admission
- 8 slots and AI, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Do you have a question?
- 11 A. I do.
- MR. CRAIG: Just note one thing for the
- 13 record, Lynette. Just obviously this shows the same
- 14 original email from Colleen Kelly, and you will see
- 15 comparing 26536 and 26538 in the two different
- 16 exhibits that it appears that someone's sort of time
- 17 stamp may be off on the phone. So I think that
- 18 might explain some of the timing questions. You can
- 19 do with it what you want, but I just wanted to point
- 20 out that it shows -- I believe it shows different --
- 21 the same email with two different time stamps
- 22 between the two exhibits.
- MS. KAPLAN: Well done, Mr. Craig.
- MS. LABINGER: I'm sorry. I don't
- 25 particularly need you to explain it further. That's

- 1 fine.
- 2 MR. CRAIG: Okay.
- 3 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) No, I don't believe that
- 4 I have attached them because the content was not
- 5 particularly significant, but the second one, which
- 6 is Exhibit 14, refers to updated spreadsheets that
- 7 apparently were forwarded to you on May 21
- 8 concerning slots and AI. Do you see that reference?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you tell me what it means, if you
- 11 know, "and our AI will have to up"?
- 12 A. I assume he meant that with the new menu
- 13 of teams, because some of the teams that were
- 14 converted to club status are relatively high teams,
- 15 that we would have to increase AI targets for some
- 16 of the remaining sports.
- 17 Q. And if I understand your earlier
- 18 testimony, as you understand it, that is an internal
- 19 decision by the athletic director --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- is that right?
- 22 A. The average AI target for all of our
- 23 teams, excluding football, is set by the Ivy League
- 24 based on data on all Brown students. The athletics
- 25 director makes a determination about the AI target

- 1 for individual teams in order to achieve the overall
- 2 objective.
- 3 Q. Now, I want to -- why don't we take a
- 4 five-minute break. Actually, before we take a
- 5 break, does anyone want to break at some point for
- 6 lunch? Because I will not be finished that soon.
- 7 A. We have lunch coming, being delivered at
- 8 noon.
- 9 O. Okay. Let's take the break at noon.
- 10 A. Okay. I'm good until then if everybody
- 11 else it.
- 12 Q. That's fine. I just heard the phone
- 13 ringing so I thought this might be an opportune time
- 14 for a break.
- 15 MS. KAPLAN: I apologize. That was the
- 16 phone in my office, which I just have to knock off
- 17 every time it rings.
- 18 MS. LABINGER: It's all right. I have the
- 19 same issue. I wound up just unplugging mine.
- 20 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) I want to return to
- 21 Exhibit 2, which is your public statement from
- 22 June 6th of 2020.
- 23 A. Okay.
- MS. LABINGER: Can you pop that up?
- MS. BULLOCK: Yes. Just give me one

- 1 moment.
- 2 MS. LABINGER: Certainly.
- 3 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. If we can
- 4 get to page 6 of 8. That's it. Could you read the
- 5 marked portion at the top of the page?
- A. Yes. "Since the announcement of the
- 7 athletics initiative, there have been requests to
- 8 restore men's track, field, and cross country;
- 9 however, if these sports are restored at their
- 10 current levels and no other changes were made, Brown
- 11 would not be in compliance with our legal
- 12 obligations under the settlement agreement. We
- 13 continue to closely examine Brown's legal
- 14 obligations."
- 15 Q. Is that a public statement that you made?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. And did you believe it at the time?
- 18 A. Yes. I still do.
- 19 Q. And what data were you looking at that
- 20 told you that Brown could not comply with the
- 21 settlement agreement if you restored the men's track
- 22 program?
- 23 A. That's not what this says. This says that
- 24 if we restored the men's track, field program and no
- other changes were made, and my meaning was there to

- 1 our plan. What we had done even before writing this
- 2 letter was to go back and say okay. You know, we
- 3 had hoped to increase squad sizes to the coaches'
- 4 optimal level. If we restore men's track, field,
- 5 and cross country, we're going to have to do some
- 6 roster management, bring some of those rosters down
- 7 on the men's side, and then we can comply.
- 8 So those -- when I talk about no other
- 9 changes were made, I'm referring a little bit
- 10 obliquely to changes in roster management -- changes
- in roster size and roster management. We decided
- 12 not to put that in the letter because it's really in
- 13 the weeds, and most people wouldn't understand what
- 14 we were talking about.
- 15 Q. At the time that you wrote this, were you
- 16 already planning to restore the men's track program?
- 17 A. We were -- I think I was probably 90,
- 18 95 percent of the way there.
- 19 Q. What was the purpose of writing this if
- you were going to restore the men's track program?
- 21 A. This letter is not just about the men's
- 22 track program. This is an 8-page letter. And we
- 23 wrote it because we were getting questions from
- 24 students and parents and alumni who were involved in
- 25 many different sports who were asking more about the

- 1 process, how did we make decisions, what went into
- 2 it.
- 3 So this letter is really -- I mean, in
- 4 some sense, it's -- I think if we regretted one
- 5 thing in the initial communication was that we
- 6 didn't say more about the process that went into the
- 7 decision-making, so this was making up for that.
- 8 Q. And would you agree that there's nothing
- 9 in this letter that indicates that you are
- 10 reevaluating the team lineups from the decision that
- 11 was announced on May 28?
- 12 A. No. That was not the purpose of the
- 13 letter.
- MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form. By "team
- 15 lineups," you mean roster size, Lynette?
- MS. LABINGER: No.
- 17 MS. KAPLAN: You mean selection of the
- 18 team?
- 19 MS. LABINGER: I mean just what I said.
- MS. KAPLAN: Okay. I wanted to make sure.
- 21 You meant the selection of which teams?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes. And if the witness
- 23 does not understand my question, I'm sure she can
- 24 tell me.
- MS. KAPLAN: Well, the counsel for the

- 1 witness also needs to understand your question.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) "Team lineup" and "team
- 3 roster" are very, very different terms. All right.
- 4 We can take this one down.
- Now, had you or your staff done an
- 6 analysis of what the percentage of women athletes
- 7 would be if you made no adjustments, as you've
- 8 indicated, and added back the men's track program?
- 9 A. At this point in the process, I was not
- 10 doing any direct work on these spreadsheets myself.
- 11 That was being done by the athletics director.
- 12 Q. Did you receive any reports, formal or
- informal, from the athletic director as to analyses
- of what would need to be done to achieve compliance?
- 15 A. He told me he could make it work. He
- 16 didn't say how, but he said, "With appropriate
- 17 roster management, we can make it work." We would
- 18 be in compliance with Cohen.
- 19 Q. Was this in a phone call or writing?
- 20 A. You know what? I can't remember whether
- 21 he told me this directly or another member of my
- 22 team told me this. I just don't remember.
- 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that during this
- 24 time frame, all communications were somehow virtual,
- 25 either by phone, Zoom, or other format, as opposed

- 1 to face-to-face?
- 2 A. Yes. That's certainly true, yes.
- Q. All right. So sitting here today, you
- 4 don't -- do you have a recollection of being
- 5 provided any actual numbers as to what would need to
- 6 be done in order to achieve compliance with the
- 7 restoration of the men's track program to the lineup
- 8 after your May 28 announcement?
- 9 A. No. I did not receive any specific
- 10 numbers. Those are kept by the athletics
- 11 department, and, you know, they've been managing
- 12 compliance issues for many years, and I trust them
- 13 to do it accurately and well.
- 14 Q. When you said in your letter of June the
- 15 6th that if the men's track program were restored at
- the current levels and no other changes were made,
- 17 Brown would not be in compliance with the legal
- 18 obligations under the settlement agreement, what
- 19 data did you have in mind at that time?
- 20 A. I don't remember specific data or what
- 21 spreadsheet was being looked at at that point, but,
- 22 you know, we were really looking at could we achieve
- 23 this plan of ideal roster sizes for men's and
- 24 women's teams and bring men's track, field, and
- 25 cross country back in.

- 1 And as I said before, Jack determined that
- 2 it would be -- we would not be in compliance with
- 3 the 2.25 under ideal roster sizes, but we could be
- 4 with some modifications to men's rosters.
- 5 O. So at the time that Brown -- withdrawn.
- 6 Did you consider at all -- I'm sorry. I'm
- 7 going to withdraw that one too.
- When you say "roster management," what
- 9 does that mean to you?
- 10 A. Well, in the context of the Cohen
- 11 agreement, it means keeping men's rosters small
- 12 enough to meet the requirements given the teams we
- 13 have.
- 14 Q. It doesn't mean adding women to teams
- 15 beyond their natural sizes?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And I think we explored this once before,
- 18 but you could achieve the same result by having
- 19 added back in a women's team, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct, but the whole objective of
- 21 this was to reduce the number of teams at Brown
- 22 because we have too many. We had too many and we
- 23 needed to reduce the number. So that was not
- 24 something that I wanted to do.
- 25 Q. I appreciate that, but you actually wound

- 1 up reinstating a very large program, men's track,
- 2 field, and cross country, correct?
- 3 A. Yes. For reasons that I think were the
- 4 right reasons at the time.
- 5 Q. It did not fit with your goal of reducing
- 6 the number of teams so that you could increase the
- 7 size of the undersized men's teams; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct. When we look at this,
- 9 we're weighing many, many different factors. We're
- 10 looking at gender. In this case, we were looking at
- 11 diversity, which was very important. So we
- 12 developed a plan that, you know, didn't get me maybe
- 13 to my ideal number of teams but was right for Brown
- 14 and I think will be right for Brown. We'll be in
- 15 compliance with Cohen and we'll have a set of teams
- 16 that, you know, the university can do well and
- 17 become more competitive in some, if not all, of
- 18 them.
- 19 Q. Well, because of the roster management,
- you're going to have a number, if not all men's
- 21 teams, that, from your perspective, are undersized;
- 22 is that correct?
- 23 A. We'll have some that are smaller than what
- 24 the coaches ideally would want, that's correct.
- 25 Q. All right. Let us mark as Exhibit 15

- 1 Bates 25964, which is -- goes to 25967.
- 2 A. So we're just -- I'm sorry to interrupt,
- 3 but we're four minutes to noon. Is this -- is this
- 4 going to be a long set of questions or should we --
- 5 Q. No. No, it's not.
- 6 A. Thank you. Just checking.
- 7 Q. That's fine.
- 8 MS. KAPLAN: This is --
- 9 MS. LABINGER: Sorry?
- MS. KAPLAN: What number are we on? I
- 11 apologize.
- MS. LABINGER: That's okay. 25964.
- MS. KAPLAN: No. Which exhibit number?
- 14 I'm sorry.
- MS. LABINGER: Oh. 15, I hope.
- 16 THE WITNESS: There's somebody at the
- 17 door. That's probably the food.
- 18 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Shall I wait for
- 19 Mr. Corrente to return?
- 20 A. That would probably make sense.
- 21 Q. Okay. Are we ready?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Oh. Thank you. Okay. So have you had a
- 24 chance to review this set of emails?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. And do you recall receiving -- it looks
- 2 like you got the thread on Sunday, June 7 -- it was
- 3 sent to you Sunday, June 7 at 11:52 from Chancellor
- 4 Mencoff, and you responded on the same day at around
- 5 noon; is that correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. So let me just ask you a few
- 8 questions. Who is Rich Friedman?
- 9 A. So Rich Friedman is a fellow of the
- 10 corporation, and he also currently serves as
- 11 secretary of the corporation.
- 12 Q. And the chancellor is sharing his email
- 13 from Mr. Friedman with you; is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And did you reach out separately to
- 16 Mr. Friedman about his comments?
- 17 A. I don't recall that I did.
- 18 Q. The bottom of page 25965, there are some
- 19 redactions. Without telling me who it is, because
- 20 we can deal with that later, do you recall what type
- 21 of content was there?
- 22 A. Well, this paragraph is talking about
- 23 alumni parents who have a -- had a son on the track
- 24 team, and clearly who are not happy about it.
- 25 Q. Do you remember who they are?

- 1 MS. KAPLAN: Objection. Don't answer that
- because we're keeping the identity of donors
- 3 confidential.
- 4 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So, President Paxson,
- 5 are all donors to Brown confidential?
- A. No. Donors get to choose whether they
- 7 want their gifts to be made in confidence or not,
- 8 and we respect the donors' wishes.
- 9 Q. And a lot of donors, you know who they are
- 10 because they have buildings named after them, right?
- 11 A. Some of them, yes, or professorships, but
- 12 many of them prefer confidentiality.
- 13 Q. Okay. Sitting here today, can you tell me
- 14 whether this person is someone who has asked for
- 15 confidentiality?
- 16 A. Yes. I know it is.
- 17 Q. All right. And is this someone who has
- 18 made more than a token gift to Brown?
- 19 A. They had --
- MS. KAPLAN: Objection to form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: -- made their first
- 22 leadership gift shortly before the announcement
- 23 about sports.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) I don't know what a --
- 25 you know what that term means, "leadership gift."

- 1 Does that tell you about a range of amount?
- 2 A. It's a gift of over a hundred thousand
- 3 dollars.
- 4 O. Sounds more than token. And on the last
- 5 page of this document, 25966, Alison's report of her
- 6 discussion with ____ (not sure who he is), do you
- 7 know who that refers to?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 O. And tell me something about the
- 10 characteristic of that redacted individual.
- 11 A. That redacted individual is the same as
- 12 the earlier redacted individual.
- 13 Q. All right. And then on the first page,
- 14 25964, there's another redaction, and would it be
- 15 fair to say that that relates to someone other than
- 16 the donor?
- 17 A. You know, I don't remember. I'd have to
- 18 look at the unredacted document. I assume it's
- 19 about some donor or else it wouldn't have been
- 20 redacted, but I don't remember who.
- 21 Q. So sitting here today, you can't tell me
- 22 why Brown has redacted this comment; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. That's correct. I assume our attorney
- 25 can.

- 1 Q. Well, that would be helpful. All right.
- 2 Let's now take that break for lunch. Do you want to
- 3 do -- can we have an hour or 45 minutes?
- A. How much longer do you think we'll need
- 5 today, and how much time do we have in total
- 6 allocated?
- 7 Q. Well, we have one day of seven hours,
- 8 which I hope not to do. I would say we're -- you
- 9 know, I can't promise you, but we're certainly --
- 10 we've finished more than we have left to do.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. How's that? I don't want to say halfway,
- 13 but I'm just saying we're over that spot.
- 14 A. Would 45 minutes work for the rest of you?
- 15 Q. It's your call on this one.
- 16 A. 45.
- 17 Q. All right. 45.
- MS. KAPLAN: Just to -- sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
- 20 11:03 a.m. Central Time.
- 21 MS. KAPLAN: This is just to confirm a
- 22 conversation I just had with Lynette off the record.
- 23 I wanted to follow up on a question she had about
- 24 Exhibit 12, and we can now confirm that that
- 25 document has been de-designated and that it's no

- 1 longer confidential.
- 2 MS. LABINGER: So we're prepared to go
- 3 back off the record and take a 45-minute break. Do
- 4 you need to say something, Amy?
- 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: No. That's fine. The
- 6 transcript can have that section that's not on the
- 7 video.
- 8 MS. LABINGER: It's not on the video.
- 9 Okay. That's fine.
- 10 (Recess taken from 11:05 to 11:51 a.m.)
- 11 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the video
- 12 record. The time is 11:51 a.m. Central.
- 13 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So we had been talking
- 14 about Exhibit 15, which you don't need to put back
- 15 up. It's part of the time line. Which was the
- 16 emails from Chancellor Mencoff to you and yours to
- 17 him of June the 7th concerning your plan to
- 18 recommend to the corporation to reinstate the track
- 19 program but hold firm on everything else. And I
- 20 just wanted to take you forward to -- slowly to the
- 21 9th. Sorry about that.
- 22 A. That's okay.
- Q. Let's look at Bates 608 to 9, which will
- 24 be Exhibit 16.
- MS. KAPLAN: Sorry. What's that number

- 1 again, the Bates?
- 2 MS. LABINGER: 608 to 609.
- 3 MS. KAPLAN: Got it.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) All right. Do you have
- 5 that in front of you?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So this is a letter -- I'm sorry, an email
- 8 from you to the members of the corporation dated
- 9 June 4 calling for a call of the corporation on
- 10 June 9.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And at the time that you wrote this and
- 13 asked for the call, were you already thinking that
- 14 you were going to recommend reinstatement of the
- 15 track program?
- 16 A. That decision hadn't been finalized, but
- 17 that's where I was heading, yes. That's my
- 18 recollection.
- 19 Q. So you wanted to have something set up
- 20 without signaling your position at that point? Is
- 21 that it?
- 22 A. Well, often if I -- if I had thought that
- 23 that was going to be the recommendation, I probably
- 24 wouldn't put it in an email that far in advance
- 25 because we like to not let things leak out.

- 1 O. All right. So was this -- was this
- 2 considered an actual meeting of the corporation on
- 3 the 9th?
- A. It was not a formal business meeting, no.
- 5 MS. LABINGER: And -- I'm sorry. I'm
- 6 looking at the wrong one. If you could remove that
- 7 one and post as Exhibit 17 Bates 770 to 771.
- 8 MS. KAPLAN: The last two documents in my
- 9 pile.
- MS. BULLOCK: Give me just one moment.
- 11 MS. LABINGER: Yeah. I think they're out
- 12 of order.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, I'll see if we
- 14 need to print. Oh, they're there? Great. Thanks.
- 15 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) That's it. Thank you.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Now, do you -- this was not sent to you or
- 18 from you, but it concerns an email to Kathryn and
- 19 Paula that "Chris and Kevin would like to reconvene
- 20 the committee following Tuesday's communication,"
- 21 and I take it, President Paxson, you're Chris?
- 22 A. Yes, I'm Chris.
- 23 O. And Kevin is Chairman Mundt?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What was this about?

- 1 A. I wanted to talk to them about the
- 2 possibility of reinstating men's track, field, and
- 3 cross country and also just touch base with them. I
- 4 think a lot of them -- not all of them, but some of
- 5 them were getting a lot of email. It was -- you
- 6 know, they were kind of a little rattled. Even
- 7 though they knew it would be difficult, I think the
- 8 volume left some of them kind of disturbed.
- 9 O. This was sent on Monday, June the 8th, and
- 10 it said, "We'd like to reconvene the committee
- 11 following Tuesday's communication." That would be
- 12 June the 9th, right? So you were seeking a meeting
- 13 to let them know about -- to meet with them after
- 14 you had communicated that you were reinstating the
- 15 track program; is that correct?
- 16 A. You know, I can't remember. Yeah. I
- 17 don't know what Tuesday refers to, but that kind of
- 18 makes sense, right? I didn't write this so I didn't
- 19 read it until right now.
- 20 Q. Fair enough. Do you remember having a
- 21 meeting with the Mundt committee before you
- 22 announced the June 9 decision?
- 23 A. No. I remember meeting with them that
- 24 Wednesday just to give them a heads-up. There had
- 25 been a lot of communication mainly between Kevin and

- 1 others with members of the corporate -- of the
- 2 committee, so it may be that by the time that
- 3 meeting happened, everybody was up to speed, but I
- 4 wasn't directly involved in that. I wasn't talking
- 5 to them.
- 6 Q. And did you consult with the Mundt
- 7 committee before you made your decision to recommend
- 8 to the board -- the corporation that the men's track
- 9 program be reinstated?
- 10 A. Not as a committee, per se, but there
- 11 was -- you know, I had been in touch with Kevin. I
- 12 believe he had been in touch with other members of
- 13 the committee. So my sense is, you know, it was
- 14 kind of being socialized in that group before it was
- 15 actually announced.
- 16 O. And was there -- did the committee as a
- 17 group support your decision or was there a mixture
- 18 of responses?
- 19 A. No. I think they really understood, and,
- 20 you know, the coincidence of our announcement with
- 21 George Floyd's murder and the racial unrest that
- 22 came after that, it just felt like the right thing
- 23 to do, especially given what we were hearing from
- the men's and women's track, field, and cross
- 25 country teams.

- 1 O. You also heard from a number of other
- 2 sports programs that had been cut; is that correct?
- 3 A. Oh, yes.
- 4 Q. You heard from everyone, correct?
- 5 A. Many emails. Many, many emails. Many of
- 6 them not directly to me but to my -- an email
- 7 account that somebody else monitors, but there was a
- 8 lot of email traffic, yes.
- 9 O. And a lot of rancor?
- 10 A. Yeah, there was some rancor. There was
- 11 also a number of alumni who wrote saying, "This is
- 12 absolutely the right thing to do. Good for you."
- 13 Q. Did you do any kind of ratio as to how
- 14 many favorables or how many unfavorable?
- 15 A. No, didn't.
- 16 O. You don't think it was equal, do you?
- 17 A. No, because typically the people who are
- 18 unhappy are the ones who are going to write, and the
- 19 people who think it's fine are the ones who are less
- 20 likely to write.
- 21 Q. And you heard from a number of the sports
- 22 programs -- withdrawn.
- So when you had your call with the
- 24 corporation on June 9, what was the purpose of the
- 25 call? To brief them or to get their approval? Or

- 1 something else?
- 2 A. Well, it was a little bit unclear, I
- 3 think. You know, they had approved a plan that
- 4 didn't list specific sports, and I talked it over I
- 5 believe with the chancellor or with Russell Carey,
- 6 who's one of my staff members -- I can't remember
- 7 which one -- and determined that we did not need a
- 8 formal corporation vote on a change.
- 9 The corporation wouldn't normally be
- 10 voting on, you know, smaller appraisal issues, and
- 11 this would fall under that. So it was more just to
- 12 talk to them, get a sense of where they were, see if
- 13 they were on board, without a formal vote.
- 14 Q. Do you know whether you had a quorum of
- 15 the corporation on the call?
- 16 A. I don't remember. I don't remember. It's
- 17 hard to tell with a Zoom call, but my recollection
- 18 is that it was pretty well attended.
- 19 Q. Do you know how many are on the
- 20 corporation?
- 21 A. It's 52.
- 22 O. Five two?
- 23 A. Yes. It's very large.
- Q. And do you know what a quorum is?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Is it just basically 50 percent plus one?
- 2 A. I have no idea.
- 3 Q. Someone else is in charge of determining
- 4 that?
- 5 A. Yes. We've never been in a position of
- 6 having a business meeting with -- we have very good
- 7 attendance so it's never been relevant.
- 8 Q. And is it -- there's two groups, right?
- 9 There's trustees and fellows. So do they have to
- 10 have a certain amount of each to meet the threshold?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Yeah. I just don't know.
- 14 Q. So was there any kind of a vote or
- 15 resolution made at that time to approve reinstating
- 16 the men's track program?
- 17 A. No. There would only have been a vote if
- 18 it was a formal business meeting, and there are
- 19 rules about advanced notice and agendas and, you
- 20 know, we had not established it as a formal business
- 21 meeting.
- 22 Q. So it was just an update?
- 23 A. It was a conversation.
- Q. Was there any discussion about the need to
- 25 revisit the fraction of the women's program produced

- by reinstating the men's track program?
- 2 A. I don't have any specific recollection of
- 3 the conversation. You know, we certainly would have
- 4 assured the corporation that we would be able to
- 5 remain in compliance with Cohen with the change.
- 6 Q. And by the time you had that call, had you
- 7 conducted any analyses or seen anything that Jack
- 8 Hayes had referred to in your previous conversation
- 9 where he said, "We can make it work"?
- 10 A. No, I hadn't.
- 11 Q. Now, did there come a time after June 9
- 12 that you actually were presented with any analyses
- 13 from Jack Hayes or other individuals to show how
- 14 Brown was going to make the compliance happen with
- 15 the track program restored?
- 16 A. No, I never saw any data tables on that.
- 17 As I said before, you know, Jack is athletics
- 18 director. His job has been roster management for
- 19 years. And when he says he can make it work, I
- 20 trust him.
- 21 Q. So as you sit here today -- we saved a lot
- 22 of questions. Is that the same answer, that you
- 23 have not reviewed the analytics to see how Brown is
- 24 going to make this work?
- 25 A. I have not.

- 1 Q. Thank you. I can certainly put an X
- 2 through a whole bunch of the page. Did Mr. Hayes or
- 3 anyone else report to you what percentage of women
- 4 were expected to be part of the remaining varsity
- 5 teams under this new make-it-work analysis with
- 6 men's track restored?
- 7 A. No. I wasn't given any specific numbers,
- 8 but I was assured that it would be within the
- 9 required new band for Cohen.
- 10 Q. Was there any consideration of reinstating
- 11 some or all of the women's teams to improve the
- 12 fraction of women participants and allow for more
- 13 room in the undersized men's sports once you decided
- 14 to reinstate the men's track program?
- 15 A. No. There was none. I had a discussion
- 16 with Jack Hayes, who said that if we did need to
- 17 make further adjustments in teams down the road, we
- 18 were confident we could do it right away. His
- 19 recommendation would be to reduce -- take out
- 20 another men's team. Of course, this was all
- 21 theoretical because we knew we could meet the
- 22 targets immediately.
- Q. As we sit here today, are there any
- 24 considerations or plans at Brown to restore any of
- 25 the eliminated men's or women's varsity programs or

- 1 to add any new varsity teams at Brown?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. You're aware that Brown's position is that
- 4 it has enough women in its plan program to meet the
- 5 2.25 percent compliance range and that plaintiffs'
- 6 position is that it needs more women or fewer men to
- 7 meet that standard; is that correct?
- 8 A. Well, I know what our position is. I
- 9 haven't seen anything written from you. But, yes,
- 10 our position is that our plans, certified earlier in
- 11 the summer, indicate that we can make the standard.
- Now, I would add a caveat, which is that,
- 13 as you know, sports have been canceled for the fall,
- 14 probably the winter, and very likely the spring.
- 15 It's going to be hard to compute a ratio of
- 16 men-to-women varsity athletes because it might be
- 17 zero over zero. Yeah. Sadly.
- 18 O. Even I can do that without the PhD in
- 19 economics.
- 20 A. Yeah. You can't -- that's like an
- 21 undefined number, right? So it's going to be a
- 22 really funny year. You know, if we end up, for
- 23 example, canceling fall but doing winter and spring,
- 24 we're going to have a very high fraction of women
- 25 because we won't have football. So it's very hard

- 1 to predict how the numbers are going to go next year
- 2 given COVID.
- 3 Q. Do you believe there is a difference
- 4 between Brown's obligation of compliance with the
- 5 Title IX responsibilities for proportionality and
- 6 the requirements of the consent decree?
- 7 A. My understanding is that there is some
- 8 difference because all of our peers are in
- 9 compliance with Title IX. At least they haven't
- 10 been found to be out of compliance. And they have
- 11 very different proportionality, some of them, than
- 12 we do.
- 13 You know, but I want to be really clear.
- 14 My goal is not to look like Penn, which is I think 7
- or 8 percent gap between men and women. I want
- 16 equal opportunities for men and women. That's my
- 17 value that I bring to this. While there may be a
- 18 difference between Cohen and Title IX, in some ways
- 19 that's not really that relevant because it's not
- 20 going to drive what we do, if that makes sense.
- 21 Q. It certainly was not the position
- 22 expressed to you by the chancellor, was it?
- 23 A. Well, you know, there are various views on
- 24 this. You know, I -- if you want to come back to
- 25 the conversation, I think Cohen, to me, I'm not in

- 1 favor of it because it's very constraining. It's
- 2 difficult to implement. I do not have the desire to
- 3 reduce the fraction of opportunities for women.
- 4 That's not my desire. And that's where I intend to
- 5 stick as long as I'm president.
- 6 Q. Do you have any plans at the present time
- 7 to move to terminate the consent decree if you can?
- 8 MS. KAPLAN: I -- on this one, President
- 9 Paxson, you can answer, but don't talk about it or
- 10 do anything based on any conversations you may have
- 11 had with your attorneys because that would be
- 12 privileged.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I mean, so this is a legal
- 14 matter. I'm not a lawyer. I don't know the law.
- 15 I've never heard of a consent decree that lasts in
- 16 perpetuity. But maybe there is such a thing. I
- 17 think, you know, what we need to do is really sit
- 18 down, get good legal advice on when it's -- when
- 19 this consent decree has outlived its useful life.
- 20 And I want to say that originally I think it served
- 21 a useful purpose.
- 22 O. (By Ms. Labinger) Well, it ended a very
- 23 contentious lawsuit which Brown had lost, correct?
- 24 A. Well, I don't know whether we lost it or
- 25 we settled. I wasn't here then. But what I was

- 1 thinking was that it ended a situation where women
- 2 weren't in equal representation in athletics, and
- 3 that was not a good thing. We've been in compliance
- 4 for many years, and, you know, my own view is that
- 5 given the -- just the onerous standards that are
- 6 imposed by the consent decree that it has outlived
- 7 its useful life. What I don't know is how the law
- 8 works on that. That's up to the lawyers to decide.
- 9 Q. Were you aware that before the consent
- 10 decree was entered that Brown was found to have
- 11 violated Title IX by eliminating women's teams when
- 12 its variance between undergraduate enrollment was
- 13 between 10 and 13 percent?
- 14 A. I didn't know the variance numbers. I
- 15 don't have that level of detail. I wasn't here
- 16 then.
- 17 Q. Okay. Let me check something. Can we
- 18 post as **Exhibit 18** 586 to 605?
- 19 A. I don't think I need this, Bob. I
- 20 remember it from the meeting.
- 21 Q. So I have -- we've put up on the screen
- 22 what's now been marked Exhibit 18, Bates 586 to 605.
- 23 Do you recall participating in the Mundt committee
- 24 meeting where General Counsel Goldgeier presented a
- 25 PowerPoint on Title IX and the history of the Cohen

- 1 case?
- 2 A. Yes. She presented this at the first
- 3 meeting of the committee on athletics excellence.
- 4 Q. And did you -- you attended that?
- 5 A. I participated by Zoom, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. So if you take a look at 597,
- 7 you'll see that your general counsel explained that
- 8 Brown was found to have violated Title IX by
- 9 demoting women's teams when there was a 10.9 percent
- 10 differential between the number of women athletes
- and their presence in the undergraduate enrollment,
- 12 which at that time was 47.6 percent, so less than
- 13 today, right?
- 14 A. What's -- where do you see the 46.7? I
- 15 see 10.9 percent differential.
- 16 O. Right, but if you look at the --
- 17 A. Oh, yes.
- 18 O. -- clear on the left.
- 19 A. Exactly. Yes, I see that. I had
- 20 forgotten those numbers, but, yes, I see them.
- 21 Q. And you see that it says, "Brown was found
- 22 to have violated Title IX"?
- 23 A. Where does it say that?
- Q. Yeah. On the right.
- 25 A. Oh, yes. Okay. Got it.

- 1 Q. And then on the next slide, it gives the
- 2 time line where Brown was -- appealed and was found
- 3 to have -- appealed the preliminary injunction, and
- 4 then the slide -- the next slide, which is 599, in
- 5 1996, the First Circuit upheld the judgment but
- 6 reversed the remedial order and gave Brown the
- 7 opportunity to develop its own plan?
- 8 A. Mm-hmm.
- 9 Q. Okay. That's a yes, correct?
- 10 A. I'm reading so please let me -- I
- 11 didn't --
- 12 Q. Take your time.
- 13 A. I didn't read this in detail at the time
- 14 so I just want to read it.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Yes, it stresses that academic --
- 17 universities deserve great leeway in their
- 18 operations, and so it's up to Brown to make the
- 19 determination in how it can bring itself into
- 20 compliance.
- 21 Q. Right.
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 O. So does this refresh your recollection
- 24 that back in the '90s, Brown was found to have
- 25 violated Title IX and the agreement was how to come

- 1 into compliance?
- 2 A. It does refresh my recollection, yes.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 Q. And on page 601, it says that the
- 5 agreement was indefinite in duration and contains
- 6 mechanism to bring Brown back into compliance if the
- 7 allowable variance is exceeded, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 MS. LABINGER: Now, this is primarily for
- 10 Ms. Kaplan. The last page, it has nothing to do
- 11 with this inquiry today, and if you agree, we can
- 12 redact that page to just a white sheet with the
- 13 Bates number on it.
- MS. KAPLAN: You're talking about the
- 15 pending Title IX matter?
- MS. LABINGER: Yes.
- MS. KAPLAN: We may not even need to do
- 18 that. Let me get back to you on that, Lynette.
- 19 MS. LABINGER: All right. I'm going to
- leave that one up to you, but I do not want portions
- 21 of this deposition designated confidential because
- 22 of that page.
- MS. KAPLAN: That's a fair request, and
- 24 we'll get back to you, but I'm not even sure you'll
- 25 need to do that.

- 1 MS. LABINGER: Super.
- Q. (By Ms. Labinger) So did you ever, during
- 3 this process where you felt constraints or received
- 4 complaints of constraints by your corporation, your
- 5 chancellor, or others, as to how Brown was complying
- 6 with the consent order to reach back out to
- 7 plaintiffs' counsel to discuss ways to remain in
- 8 compliance and still serve the interests of all the
- 9 parties? At any time?
- 10 A. You know, I have to be honest, and you and
- 11 I haven't met until today. It never crossed my
- 12 mind. I didn't know your name. I thought you were
- in Boston. I didn't know you were here in
- 14 Providence.
- 15 And, you know, my reading of the consent
- 16 decree, which I have read, you know, made it very
- 17 clear it was up to us to make sure we were in
- 18 compliance, and so I went into this process
- 19 determined to make changes that would make Brown
- 20 athletics better but that would keep us in
- 21 compliance. That's our duty. So the conversation
- 22 didn't seem like it -- a conversation never would
- 23 have made sense to me or even -- I never thought of
- 24 it.
- 25 Q. So did anyone within Brown advise you that

- 1 over the years, at times when Brown was either out
- 2 of compliance or feared that it might fall out of
- 3 compliance, they were required to and did reach out
- 4 to plaintiffs' counsel to discuss the circumstances
- 5 and how to fix it?
- 6 A. I knew that there had been interaction
- 7 between our counsel's office and your office over
- 8 the years, but in this case, we did not think that
- 9 we were at risk of being out of compliance. We
- 10 developed a plan that would keep us in compliance.
- 11 Q. And that plan kept changing; is that
- 12 correct, until --
- 13 A. It kept changing, and we kept figuring out
- 14 ways that we could manage it.
- 15 Q. Now, are you aware that in earlier years,
- in fact, the parties went back to court to agree
- 17 upon a change to the golf program so that Brown
- 18 could separate the golf program without triggering
- 19 the 2.25 percent drop-down?
- 20 A. I've never heard that before until today.
- Q. Well, your legal department knows about
- 22 it.
- 23 A. What year was that?
- 24 Q. The early 2000s.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. And are you aware that the agreement
- 2 provides a mechanism where either party can go back
- 3 to court if they think the law has changed?
- A. I don't remember that. I read parts -- I
- 5 read the consent decree, the agreement, but I must
- 6 not have read the whole thing that carefully.
- 7 Q. And sitting here today, do you have any
- 8 information that the law has changed?
- 9 MS. KAPLAN: Are you talking about the
- 10 line --
- 11 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) Sorry? I'm talking
- 12 about the three-part test that brought us to this
- 13 case.
- 14 A. You know, I don't know what the Title IX
- 15 law was 20 years ago, and so I just don't have your
- 16 perspective on this, so I couldn't tell you what it
- 17 was then and whether it's different than what it is
- 18 now.
- 19 Q. And as part of this process, you did not
- 20 task anyone either on the committee or elsewhere to
- 21 assess whether the law had changed; is that correct?
- 22 A. I count on my general counsel to keep
- 23 track of whether laws change.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that you
- 25 consider the presentation in Exhibit 18 to be a

- 1 report from your general counsel for the benefit of
- 2 the Mundt committee to understand how the three-part
- 3 test operates and how the consent decree operates at
- 4 Brown?
- 5 A. Yes. The purpose of the presentation to
- 6 the committee on academic excellence -- I don't call
- 7 it the Mundt committee. That's your word.
- 8 Q. Yeah. I just do that because it's a
- 9 mouthful but --
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. That's the only reason. I'm sorry.
- 12 A. We're used to long committee names.
- 13 Q. Yeah.
- 14 A. But no. We felt it was important for the
- 15 committee to really understand the issues around
- 16 especially Cohen and the consent decree because they
- 17 were binding on us, and they would continue to be.
- 18 MS. LABINGER: Why don't we take a
- 19 five-minute break so I can confer with my
- 20 co-counsel.
- 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
- 22 12:26 p.m. Central.
- 23 (Recess taken from 12:26 to 12:37 p.m.)
- VIDEOGRAPHER: On the record at 12:37 p.m.
- 25 Central.

- 1 Q. (By Ms. Labinger) President Paxson, with
- 2 respect to the time frame around June 9, when you
- 3 said that you conferred with AD Hayes and he said he
- 4 could make the numbers work and you did not drill
- 5 down on the numbers -- I'm just trying to set the
- 6 stage for that time frame. Did he tell you anything
- 7 about how he was going to make it work other than
- 8 roster management?
- 9 A. No. He talked about using roster
- 10 management to make the numbers work, yes.
- 11 Q. That's the only thing?
- 12 A. That is the only thing. I mean, we did,
- 13 as I relayed, a conversation of if things shifted in
- 14 the future, what would his preference be to making a
- 15 change, and his preference would be, he said, to cut
- 16 another men's team.
- 17 O. And was another men's team identified?
- 18 A. No. This was very future hypothetical.
- 19 Q. So not immediate?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. Nothing further.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record ending the
- 24 deposition at 12:39 p.m.
- 25 (Deposition concluded at 12:39 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify
4	that there came before me via Zoom video conference
5	at the time and date hereinbefore indicated, the
6	witness named on the caption sheet hereof, who was
7	by me duly sworn to testify to the truth of said
8	witness's knowledge, that the witness was thereupon
9	examined under oath, the examination taken down by
10	me in shorthand and later reduced to a transcript
11	through the use of a computer-aided transcript
12	device under my supervision and direction, and that
13	the deposition is a true record of the testimony
14	given and of all objections interposed.
15	I further certify that I am neither attorney or
16	counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of
17	the parties to the action in which this deposition
18	is taken, and further that I am not a relative or
19	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
20	parties hereto or financially interested in the
21	action.
22	Dated this 15th day of August, 2020.
23	Longa M. Wright
24	SONYA M. WRIGHT, RPR-CSR
25	DOLLE III MALONE, ALE ODA

Exhibits	111195_ Paxson Exhibit	86:3 89:10 90:7 100:21 101:6 102:6	22 27:22 31:14 74:1	493 61:18,25 62:8,25
111195_ Paxson Exhibit	13 3:23 98:12 101:6 111195	14th 5:8	23 46:17 47:11,13 25 45:5 76:14	5
1 3:5 17:14 111195 _	Paxson Exhibit 14 4:3 101:6 102:6	15 77:1 110:25 111:15 116:14	25964 111:1,12 114:14	5 24:5 43:16,25 48:6 91:20
Paxson Exhibit	111195	16 116:24	25965 112:18	5/28/20 15:10
2 3:7 21:16,19 31:7 103:21	Paxson Exhibit	17 55:6 57:2 59:1 60:17 62:14,20	25966 114:5	50 93:20 123:1
111195_	15 4:4 110:25 116:14	63:14 118:7 [°]	25967 111:1	509 64:24
Paxson Exhibit	111195_	17th 60:3 62:6	26044 23:17	52 122:21
3 3:8 23:13,22	Paxson Exhibit	18 129:18,22 135:25	261 34:8	52.9 70:8,15
111195_ Paxson Exhibit	16 4:6 116:24		26190 35:9,16	525 66:5 81:21
4 3:10 35:13,15	111195_ Paxson Exhibit	1972 18:10,25 1990s 12:24	26536 98:12	532 64:24
111195_	17 4:7 118:7	1990s 12.24 1992 22:5	101:15 26537 98:16	533 45:20,22,25
Paxson Exhibit 5 3:11 43:16,25	111195_	1992 22.5 1996 131:5	26538 100:21	535 45:25
48:6	Paxson Exhibit 18 4:9 129:18,22	1998 19:7 22:4	101:15	536 86:8,23,24
111195_	135:25	1990 19.7 22.4	26991 47:19	54.4 68:23
Paxson Exhibit	1 17:14,24 60:1,5	2	26993 43:16	552 87:10 91:20
6 3:13 45:20 46:1,	62:25 65:17	0.04.40.40.04.7	27 46:17 47:11,13	559 86:8
111195_	10 55:6 64:23 65:4,5 76:25 77:6,	2 21:16,19 31:7 47:19 60:1,5	68:15,21 83:4 91:22	586 129:18,22
Paxson Exhibit	7 81:20 129:13	62:25 88:16	28 15:3 20:10	597 130:6
7 3:14 47:19	10.9 130:9,15	103:21	106:11 108:8	599 131:4
111195_ Paxson Exhibit	10:00 77:5	2.25 28:17 74:7, 10,23 79:19 109:3	29 91:21	
8 3:16 17:18 56:15	10:45 76:25	126:5 134:19		6
57:21 62:13,21	11 40:18 77:2 86:8	20 21:14 135:15	3	6 45:20 46:1,8
111195_ Paxson Exhibit	11:03 115:20	2000s 134:24	3 23:13,22 28:16	104:4
9 3:17 61:21 62:8,	11:05 116:10	2012 12:12	60:1	601 132:4
15,17,24 63:1	11:51 116:10,12	2019 43:2 57:2 61:2	3.5 74:7,15 79:19	605 129:18,22
111195_ Paxson Exhibit	11:52 112:3	2020 5:8 14:4	38 83:4	606 92:14,16
10 3:18 64:23	12 92:15 115:24	15:3 20:8,18	4	607 92:16
65:4,5 81:20	126 8:10 12:26 136:22,23 12:37 136:23,24	24:10 31:6 40:25 41:11 59:1 60:17 61:1 62:6 65:18 92:2 98:24 103:22		608 116:23 117:2
111195_ Paxson Exhibit			4 24:5,9 35:13,14, 15 117:9	609 117:2
11 3:20				619 56:16 57:1 58:12,16 62:22
111195_	12:39 137:24,25	2020-21 80:24	45 115:3,14,16,17	624 58:16 62:22
Paxson Exhibit	13 98:12 101:6	21 55:22 89:8 90:8 92:2 93:5 98:24	45-minute 116:3	625 58:16
12 3:21 92:15 115:24	129:13 14 55:6,9,16,17 65:18 81:24 84:22	102:7 21st 88:17	46.7 130:14 47.6 130:12	6th 20:7,18 21:14 31:6 103:22 108:15

7	77:4,5,6 115:20 116:10,12	add 28:4 33:16,18 51:19 67:7 89:5	advocating 99:14	18:10,25
	ability 14:23	126:1,12	affected 96:6	amount 114:1 123:10
7 47:19 89:8 112:2,3 127:14	able 7:17 25:2 47:25 78:1 96:2	added 28:24 29:24 56:2 107:8	affirm 10:13	Amy 5:3,11 6:21 13:4 116:4
770 118:7	124:4	109:19	agendas 123:19	analyses 82:7
771 118:7	absolute 14:11	addendum 58:23	agree 10:7 19:16, 19 22:9,15 23:4	107:13 124:7,12
794 68:23 7:17 98:25	absolutely 56:25 58:4 97:7 121:12	adding 29:10 73:22 82:2 99:14 109:14	26:4,10 29:20 31:5 33:24 36:18	analysis 68:3 79:16,24,25 84:1
7th 116:17	academic 52:16 53:5,9,10 131:16	addition 19:5	41:3 44:22 48:5 59:21 73:18 96:6	107:6 125:5 analytics 124:23
8	136:6	85:17 additional 94:14	97:25 106:8 132:11 134:16	analyze 24:22
8 17:18 56:15	academically 52:13 53:21,22	address 20:10	agreed 10:3 23:6 34:24 35:2 36:20	49:8 anchored 47:13
57:21 62:13,21 104:4 127:15	accepted 52:9	29:21 59:22 adds 28:2	59:7	and/or 56:9 85:16
8-page 105:22	access 96:13,20 97:6	adjust 100:5	agreement 19:7 25:18 104:12,21	anger 24:12,15,
8:03 5:9	accessible 42:20	adjustments 54:18 107:7	108:18 109:11 131:25 132:5	announced 15:4
8:43 36:24,25	accommodate 92:12	125:17	135:1,5	106:11 119:22 120:15
8:46 36:25 37:1	according 70:5	administer 8:22	ahead 37:15 45:20 63:18	announcement
8th 119:9	account 101:2	administered	115:18	15:3 16:17,18
9	121:7	10:9 admission 59:17	Al 52:21 53:2,5, 13,14,16,20 54:5,	17:3 100:4 104:6 108:8 113:22
9 36:1 61:21 62:8, 15,17,24 63:1 116:23 117:10	accurate 16:14 accurately 108:13	67:21,23 88:23,25 89:1,2 90:16 91:1, 3 99:18,24 101:7	6,13,18,21,22,24 55:1 88:24,25 99:19 101:8 102:8,11,15,22,25	120:20 answer 11:14 13:7,19,20,22 20:1 26:17,20,24
119:22 121:24 124:11 137:2	achieve 24:25 103:1 107:14	admissions 52:2,12 87:22	aid 19:3	28:10 31:18 32:3, 5,17,19 33:2,5,7,
90 105:17	108:6,22 109:18 across 54:22	admits 53:18	aligned 19:14 24:20	16,18 37:14,15, 19,24 38:4,22
90s 42:2 131:24	55:1,4 96:19	advance 117:24 advanced 123:19	aligns 18:7,22	49:19 58:22 76:7 100:17 113:1
92-CV-0197- JJM-LDA 5:7	actions 14:3	advice 67:5 75:9	Alison's 114:5	124:22 128:9
95 105:18	actual 31:8 41:23 59:24 70:20 71:11	128:18	allocated 115:6	answered 31:20 33:17
9:01 7:12	85:24 108:5 118:2	advise 31:25 67:6 133:25	allow 125:12	answers 14:24
9:02 34:22	actually 8:13 14:10 17:18 26:11	advised 77:21	allowable 132:7	apologies 63:19
9:46 77:4,5	27:15 45:16 48:20,22 49:1	advising 32:2	allowed 48:17	apologize 41:15
9th 116:21 118:3 119:12	60:4,11 67:22 69:15,17 76:18	advisory 43:11 67:2	alternative 68:11	85:1 103:15 111:11
	92:18 97:11 103:4	advocacy 90:4	alumni 105:24	apparently 102:7
	109:25 120:15 124:12	advocate 89:4	112:23 121:11 always 74:2 81:7	appealed 131:2,3
a.m. 5:9 34:22 36:24,25 37:1	ad 85:7 137:3	advocated 89:19	amendments	appears 48:8 55:5 101:16

Index: 7..appears

apply 59:21	assess 44:24	attacked 76:21	40:6 42:2 44:9	behalf 20:11 21:2
appointed 35:21	52:15 135:21	attempt 100:15	48:16 56:8,10 81:20 88:24 89:4,	believes 22:22
85:8	assessment 68:20 80:1	attempts 95:21	5,20 90:5,9,12,17,	beneficial 90:19
appraisal 122:10	assistance 5:18	attend 48:22	19,20 105:2 107:8 108:25 109:19	benefit 136:1
appreciate 31:16		49:1,3	116:3,11,14	best 38:20 62:4
64:10 99:7 109:25	assisted 18:1	attendance	127:24 131:24	70:4 96:2
appropriate 79:20 107:16	assume 6:19 37:24 71:9 72:14	123:7	132:6,18,24 133:6 134:16 135:2	Beverly 12:14
approval 121:25	102:12 114:18,24	attended 49:4 122:18 130:4	background	big 7:7 9:12 41:7
	assure 94:11		53:9 68:8	bigger 69:22
approve 92:3 123:15	assured 124:4	attending 5:23	bad 41:2	binding 136:17
approved 86:4,6	125:8	attention 15:2 59:22	balance 47:4	bit 46:12 49:12
92:8 98:4 122:3	asterisk 69:12	attorney 37:12	51:20 54:3 68:2	57:21 105:9 122:2
approves 92:19	athlete 96:10	42:18 79:3,8,9	band 28:12,16,19	blessing 42:22
approximate 5:9	athletes 11:5	114:24	79:18 125:9	blue 68:8 70:18
approximately	27:7,11 28:25	attorneys 5:17,	bands 24:24	board 94:17,20
38:12	51:21 52:8 68:23 72:4,10 81:18	21,23 10:23,25 38:3,13 42:16	base 119:3	120:8 122:13
April 55:6 57:2	84:4 107:6 126:16	128:11	based 56:6	Bob 7:9,24 11:3
59:1 60:3,17 62:6, 14,20 63:14 86:19	130:10	August 5:8	68:15,20 70:20 74:24 80:12 82:7	16:22 29:15 38:10 43:17 47:21 57:6
archives 93:14	athletic 19:12 25:23 54:9,20	authorize 92:4	84:1 87:23 91:21	129:19
argument 24:17	69:24 76:2 90:4	authorized 14:3	102:24 128:10	body 19:15
32:11	97:24 98:24 102:19 107:13	40:14	bases 82:15	bombarded
Arthur 5:22		available 42:16	basic 59:3	24:19
Ashenfelter	athletics 18:9,11, 24 19:1,3,6,8 20:5	96:24	basically 53:4	Boston 133:13
78:7,10,11,22	21:24 22:2 27:22	average 28:15 53:5,12 54:5,6,22,	123:1	bottom 47:23
80:23	28:2 34:1 35:22 40:20 41:8 43:4,	24 68:10,14,15	basis 73:1 79:22	48:11 82:10 112:18
Ashenfelter's 80:5	11 44:16 48:25	69:11,17,21 102:22	Bates 15:19 23:16,19 35:6,7,	branches 85:17
	50:5,10 53:13 54:15 57:2,23		16 45:20,22	
ask 11:20 21:8,20 24:8 31:18,24	65:1 67:25 69:19	averages 82:13	47:19,22 56:16	Bravo 89:11
32:9,10 33:2 35:1,	71:10 72:12 73:3,	avoid 13:8	58:11 61:7,18 62:8,22,25 63:9	break 30:8,24 34:10,23 35:2
13 37:12,19,22,23 38:23,24 39:5	11 75:1 76:9 80:20 84:7 86:11	aware 12:7,11,16, 22 28:6 70:25	64:23 66:4 86:8,	36:22 37:3 39:8
80:20 100:19	87:19 90:13 94:8	71:5,13 73:22	14 91:20 92:15 98:12 111:1	75:16 76:19,23
112:7	95:8,18,25 102:24 104:7 107:11	76:1 81:10,14 100:3 126:3 129:9	116:23 117:1	77:10,25 103:4,5, 9,14 115:2 116:3
asked 18:12	108:10 124:17	134:15 135:1	118:7 129:22 132:13	136:19
24:22 32:6 33:7 40:21 41:6 42:4,	129:2 130:3 133:20	away 18:18 24:15		briefings 12:14
11 113:14 117:13		89:24 125:18	Bates-stamped 17:13	bring 105:6
asking 13:13,14	attached 29:16 44:6 102:4		bathroom 76:19	108:24 127:17 131:19 132:6
27:2 58:20 93:25 105:25	attachment 44:5	В	began 80:6	broad 40:19
asserting 79:3	attachments	back 8:24 15:15	beginning 5:1	broader 16:17
asserting 79.3	44:4	16:2 24:1 27:1	18:21	20:14 50:10
4330111011 /9.10		32:10,23 33:12		
1				

Index: apply..broader

broadly 27:20	103:25 118:10	caveat 126:12	charged 45:17	club 17:5,6 44:24
broken 49:15	bunch 125:2	Central 5:9 77:9	56:5	45:1 48:18 66:12 71:2 81:7 94:8
brought 12:23 135:12	business 94:10 118:4 123:6,18,20	115:20 116:12 136:22,25	chart 68:6,7 70:18 83:14,16,22 91:20	95:3,7 96:8,24 97:8,15 102:14
Brown 5:4 6:5,7		certain 16:1 20:23 53:18 64:12	check 129:17	clue 15:21
11:5,6,10 12:8,15 13:10,23 15:4	C	91:16 92:5 93:21	checking 7:25	clutter 61:24
19:3,9,21 20:4	calculated 53:7	123:10	111:6	co-counsel
21:22 22:3,5,17,	calculation	certified 5:12	choose 29:8	136:20
22 23:6,8,10 24:15 25:8 26:2,	100:3	10:9 126:10	113:6	coach 71:7
12 27:7,21 28:7	calendar 88:14,	chain 48:5	chose 29:22	coaches 69:25
29:7,20 43:11 45:7,10 47:1,5,11	20	chair 34:1 35:22 43:11 47:6	48:18	70:6 82:14 96:14 110:24
50:1,2 52:13	call 21:1 48:23	chaired 65:10	Chris 42:7 118:19,21,22	coaches' 69:10,
53:24 59:19 67:14 68:9,14 73:17	82:19 96:7 99:12 100:12 107:19	Chaired 05.10	Christina 5:2	11,14 70:3 105:3
74:5,13,14 77:11	115:15 117:9,13		10:17	coaching 27:18
78:3 80:17 81:8	121:23,25 122:15, 17 124:6 136:6	championship 91:16	Circuit 131:5	coed 66:17 70:13,
82:13 89:15 96:4, 22 97:2 102:24	called 10:18 12:8,	chance 93:2	circumstances	21,24 71:4,9,18
104:10,20 108:17	19 51:23 52:1	111:24	134:4	75:24 76:4 81:8, 11,16
109:5,21 110:13, 14 113:5,18	56:1 57:1 65:1	chancellor 14:18	Civil 74:17	Cohen 5:3 11:6
114:22 124:14,23	86:10	23:6 24:9 25:8	claiming 11:5	12:8 13:4 22:5
125:24 126:1 128:23 129:10	calling 117:9	33:25 35:19 44:12 48:7,11 112:3,12	clarification 17:8	41:17 69:4,8,10
130:8,21 131:2,6,	calls 24:20	116:16 122:5	83:7 86:9	79:18 82:12,17, 19,20 107:18
18,24 132:6	campaign 20:11 21:2	127:22 133:5	clarified 77:13	109:10 110:15
133:5,19,25 134:1,17 136:4		change 20:22 25:3 54:15 93:2	79:4	124:5 125:9 127:18,25 129:25
Brown's 17:9	campaigns 21:3	122:8 124:5	clarify 10:25 41:23 55:20 60:13	136:16
18:7,22 19:6,22	campus 55:11, 14,16 65:2,17	134:17 135:23 137:15	67:1 78:23 84:9	Cohens 36:11
22:18 23:2 34:3 41:8 93:13 95:20	67:3 84:19,24		85:2	coincidence
104:13 126:3	85:2,14 86:4 87:17 99:3,13	changed 54:14 68:10 97:16	clarifying 78:22	120:20
127:4	canceled 126:13	135:3,8,21	clean 23:25 32:19	colleague 6:2
Bryant 5:22		changing 73:22	57:20	collects 80:17
budget 50:1	canceling 126:23	134:11,13	cleaner 11:22	Colleen 101:14
budgets 49:25	career 95:19	channel 24:15	clear 13:19 17:12	college 72:24
50:6,17,20,23	careful 28:17	characteristic 114:10	20:4 26:11 27:6, 13 32:14 47:14	95:18,19
building 24:13	carefully 135:6		58:3 61:1 62:12	colleges 19:2
buildings 113:10	Carey 122:5	characterization 16:13,18,20	64:18 70:14 78:25 83:14 93:3 127:13	column 52:19
Bullock 5:20 7:4	case 5:6 10:24	characterized	130:18 133:17	82:19
8:23 9:16 10:2 11:17 12:1 15:25	12:21,22 28:21,22 29:7 41:17 42:9,	16:16	client 31:25	columns 69:2 82:18 83:1
17:17,24 18:1	17 78:4,16 110:10	charge 44:19,23	close 74:10	combination
21:13,18 43:19 44:2,8,15,21	130:1 134:8 135:13	45:11,15,18,21 46:10.14.25	closely 19:14	50:7 53:6 97:15
47:20 56:15	categories 49:9	46:10,14,25 48:12,14 123:3	104:13	combined 15:25
61:12,14,18 64:23	-3.090.00			

Index: broadly..combined

comment 114:22 **compete** 73:4,6 consent 11:6 98:13 94:17 102:8 116:17 12:16.19 17:10 competes 97:20 commenting contract 14:10 23:7 24:14,17,23 48:12 **concerns** 118:18 competition 26:12,14 27:8,11, conversation comments 97:8 conclude 35:4 20 28:2,6,11 29:6, 88:5 99:3 115:22 112:16 21 31:9,10,12 123:23 124:3,8 competitive concluded 33:21,23 34:4 127:25 133:21,22 commitment 40:21 47:4 96:13 137:25 36:10 41:17,24 137:13 97:13 110:17 17:9 18:8,23 conditioning 127:6 128:7,15,19 19:22 22:18 34:4 conversations complaints 129:6,9 133:6,15 96:14,22 13:9,15 56:7 committed 20:4 135:5 136:3,16 133:4 conduct 18:2 128:10 21:22 consideration complete 14:24 40:19 convert 66:12 56:19,20 58:21 63:21 125:10 committee 34:1 conducted 5:10 95:6 35:23 43:3 44:16, considerations completed 43:15 10:5 68:3 124:7 18,24 45:11,16, converted 65:13 22:8 125:24 conducting 5:18 102:14 17,18 46:10,25 considered 56:4 47:6 48:24,25 completely confer 136:19 converting 17:4, 118:2 49:9 50:14,19,25 31:13 32:4 33:5 5 51:12 52:17,19 conference 5:11 consistent 62:1, compliance 55:5,11,12,13,16, **convey** 13:15 3,13 74:24 75:4 17:10 19:6 21:25 conferred 137:3 17,24,25 56:4,7,8, 25:20 29:13,21 convinced 19:22 constraining 10 57:1,23 60:4, confidence 30:4 75:2,3 82:16 22:18 90:17,18 10,18 62:5,11,21 128:1 14:12 113:7 104:11 107:14,18 63:14,22,23 64:2, Cooper 5:12 constraints 23:7 108:6,12,17 109:2 confident 73:25 5,19 65:2,9,13,17 133:3,4 110:15 124:5,14 125:18 copy 18:15 66:20,23,25 67:2, 126:5 127:4,9,10 3,6,9,10,18 81:24 consult 120:6 confidential 59:5 corporate 120:1 129:3 131:20 84:16,19 85:3,6,7, 92:22 93:20 consultant's 132:1,6 133:8,18, corporation 9,12,14,25 86:4, 113:3,5 116:1 40:8 21 134:2,3,9,10 13:23 14:3,8,17 19 87:11,18,19 132:21 55:13,14,22 65:2, 88:13 89:7,10 comply 29:5.8 consultants 17 66:23 67:4,18 confidentiality 118:20 119:10,21 30:4 31:13.15 40:15 59:16,18 113:12, 81:24 84:16,19,21 120:2,7,10,13,16 33:23 34:4 42:17 consulted 77:12, 15 85:4,10,11,12,15 129:23 130:3 104:20 105:7 23 79:2 80:3 86:4,10 87:11,18 135:20 136:2,6,7, confirm 59:10 complying 11:6 88:10 89:3,13 12,15 63:8 78:17 contacted 87:19 133:5 92:1,3,9 93:7 94:4 88:1 115:21,24 committee's 98:4 99:4,5,13 component 51:5, 22:7 48:12 contain 46:9 100:9,12,15 confused 62:18 112:10,11 116:18 80:8 93:4 99:2 communicated contains 132:5 components 117:8,9 118:2 47:9 119:14 confusing 17:21 50:1 contend 28:5 120:8 121:24 60:2 69:2 82:23 communication 122:8,9,15,20 composition **content** 16:13 79:14 106:5 124:4 133:4 confusion 13:14 19:5 54:16 48:9 102:4 112:21 118:20 119:11,25 64:10 86:19 correct 11:7 comprise 50:20 contentious communication 12:9,17 13:4,23, connected 6:15 128:23 **s** 79:8 107:24 comprised 85:15 24 14:1,2,5,6,8, connection 13,14,15,18,19 context 21:9 community **compute** 126:15 41:18 42:5,6,8,9, 20:18,23 25:9,10, 34:19 109:10 19:21 22:17,24 11 concern 36:10 11,25 26:3 29:4 continue 17:17 compare 63:5 35:19,23,24 connections concerned 79:17 31:14,15 33:23 40:12,15,25 95:24 compared 84:3 100:5 95:9 104:13 41:11,12 42:25 consensus 67:9 136:17 43:4,5,7 45:2 comparing concerning 14:4 46:18 47:7,8,12 101:15 30:7 41:16 67:11 continuing 97:5

Index: comment..correct

48:4,13,19 50:2,5, 14 51:1 52:2,3,21, 22 53:23 54:7,11 55:17 57:18,25 59:1,2 60:22,23 61:1,23 62:16 65:3,4,10,11,15, 22 66:17,18,24 67:21 68:4,5,13, 17,18,25 70:10 71:19 72:3,8,10 73:25 74:9,13,14 78:12 79:5,10,11 80:13,24 81:6,22 82:3,8,9 83:5,6,19 85:4,5 86:12 87:11,12,14,15 88:21 89:18 91:22,23 92:23 96:15,24,25 97:6, 10 98:5,6,10,11 99:19,20,22,25 101:8,9 109:19,20 110:2,7,8,22,24 112:5,6,13,14 114:23,24 117:11 119:15 121:2,4 126:7 128:23 131:9 132:7,8 134:12 135:21

corrected 72:18 correction 84:5, 10

correctly 78:8 90:16

Corrente 6:6,9 7:12 8:6 11:3 29:15 34:24 38:10 43:18 58:10,13,17 111:19

council 43:11

counsel 5:14 6:5, 7 12:15 13:9,16 15:11 21:15 26:2 32:10 39:4 47:17 73:16,17 85:23 106:25 129:24 130:7 133:7 134:4 135:22 136:1

counsel's 134:7 counselor's 13:13 **count** 69:8 71:3, 25 72:2 73:13 74:23 75:1,6,23 76:3 79:21 83:8, 17 135:22

counted 71:17 73:7 79:19 91:5

counterparts 75:22

counting 69:3,5, 13 72:4,6,7,9 73:19 74:18 76:9 82:12 83:10 84:2, 6

country 21:6,10 25:20 27:14,16 36:8 66:16 67:13 73:6 82:23 83:10, 22 104:8 105:5 108:25 110:2 119:3 120:25

counts 72:22,24

couple 49:16

court 5:5 6:18 10:4,10,12 16:6 18:15,17 19:23 22:19 24:16,19 25:18 26:22 32:9 37:10 134:16 135:3

court's 31:17

courtesy 7:15 **cover** 37:10 44:4

cover 37:10 44:4 82:14

COVID 10:4 127:2

Craig 6:3 101:12, 23 102:2

create 49:7,11 69:20

created 49:11,14, 24 50:14,15 94:2

creation 65:23

cross 21:6,10 25:20 27:13,14,16 36:8 66:15 67:13 73:5 82:23 83:10, 22 104:8 105:5 108:25 110:2 119:3 120:24

crossed 133:11

CSA 40:9

current 50:3 51:16 68:9 83:2,3 85:15 104:10 108:16

current-use 50:8

custody 42:25

cut 12:25 66:12 67:7 82:1 89:12 91:17 121:2 137:15

cutting 54:10

D

Dartmouth 72:22 91:17 95:22

data 49:12 70:20 80:25 81:12 102:24 104:19 108:19,20 124:16

date 5:8 20:19 61:1 99:6

dated 99:6 117:8

dates 40:1 55:7, 15,19

day 25:11,12,13 28:14 88:9,12 112:4 115:7

de-designated 115:25

deal 77:25 112:20

dealing 30:24 deals 99:18

debates 27:13

December 43:2

decide 75:17 129:8

decided 43:2 95:11 105:11 125:13

deciding 50:22

decision 20:10, 22 31:18 50:18 52:9 89:12 100:18 102:19 106:10 117:16 119:22 120:7,17

decision-making 20:15 89:23 106:7

decisions 68:1 106:1

declarations 80:17

decree 17:11 23:7 24:14,18,23 26:12,14 27:8,11, 20 28:2,7,11 29:6, 21 31:9,11,13 33:21,23 34:4 36:10 41:24 127:6 128:7,15,19 129:6,10 133:16 135:5 136:3,16

defendant 11:10 26:20

defendants 5:5, 25

defensive 36:12

deliver 42:21

delivered 103:7

demoting 130:9

department 27:22 50:11 53:13 76:2 108:11 134:21

depending 82:12

deponent 8:24

deposition 5:2, 10,18 6:20 10:5 18:1,2 26:21 30:15 37:6 38:14, 18 39:22 41:21 42:6,12 78:19 132:21 137:24,25

Des 5:13 10:11

described 73:2

deserve 131:17

designated 59:5 92:21 132:21

designation 59:8

designed 49:8 51:18

desire 128:2,4

detail 81:17 129:15 131:13

detailed 20:14

determination 102:25 131:19

determine 46:15 47:1 73:18 75:23 82:7

determined 109:1 122:7 133:19

determining 123:3

develop 131:7

developed 55:25 66:2 110:12 134:10

differ 90:13

difference 127:3, 8,18

differential 130:10,15

differently 53:8, 19 69:13 83:9

difficult 27:21 95:6,12 119:7 128:2

difficulties 46:3

difficulty 28:4 30:23

direct 13:8 72:23 73:16 80:25 107:10

directing 26:19, 23,24 39:1 96:20

directions 13:13

directly 107:21 120:4 121:6	101:4 114:5,18 115:25	early 12:14 14:11 44:12 50:18 52:9	ending 137:23 endowed 50:7	everybody's 53:25
director 25:24 54:9,15,21 66:3 67:25 69:24 80:20	document's 59:13	60:6,7 134:24 economics 126:19	endowment 50:2 engage 28:7	exactly 64:20 80:14 92:9 97:12 130:19
87:19 90:13 97:24 98:24 102:19,25 107:11,13 124:18	documents 7:14 30:7 34:21 35:7 37:4 38:16,23,25	economist 49:12 78:3	32:10 enough 28:21,23	examination 10:20 30:10
director's 90:4	39:2,3,6,11,13,16, 19 40:3,4,7 41:23	edification 50:16	81:19 91:6 97:18, 19 109:12 119:20	examine 104:13
directs 37:14	42:3,20,21 52:15	edited 60:8	126:4	examined 47:1
disclosure 39:5	61:11 64:11,20 74:22 118:8	education 18:10,	enrollment 29:2 129:12 130:11	example 25:2 126:23
discuss 94:6	dollars 114:3	efforts 47:2	ensure 53:8	exceeded 132:7
133:7 134:4	donations 50:3	Eileen 6:4 9:6,8	ensuring 18:11	excellence 19:3
discussed 63:24 64:19 65:21	donor 114:16,19	25:16 26:1 38:11	19:1	34:1 35:22 43:3 44:16 47:5 48:24
discusses 46:21	donors 113:2,5, 6,9	elevate 66:16	entered 129:10	57:1,23 65:1
discussion	donors' 113:8	elevated 98:10	entire 9:18 46:8 53:13 57:12	86:10 130:3 136:6
64:16 67:12 84:16,18 86:2	door 111:17	elevation 92:5	entitled 26:25	Excellent 63:14
87:20 94:7,16,23, 24 98:4,21 114:6	double 74:25	eliminate 24:18 45:13	34:19 93:24	except 11:19 63:8
123:24 125:15	draft 44:14,18	eliminated 48:17	entity 74:17	exception 53:12,
discussions 50:23 77:15	45:15 48:6,14 60:16	56:2 95:15,20 98:8 125:25	equal 18:8,23 21:23 27:25	15 excerpt 59:25
dissent 67:10	drafting 22:12	eliminating 15:4 27:15 129:11	121:16 127:16 129:2	excluding
distinct 100:25	draw 15:2	elimination 14:4	equestrian 66:14	102:23
distinctly 100:11	drill 137:4	92:4 94:18	91:5,7 97:12	exclusively 13:15
distribution 53:16,19	drive 127:20 drop-down	email 7:3,5 23:21 24:4,6 26:7,8,9	equity 17:10 18:6,11,21 19:1,4, 22 20:5 21:22	executive 86:1 94:5,19,23
District 5:5,6	73:23 134:19	35:16,17,25 36:4, 16,20 44:4 47:25	22:6,18	exhibit 6:25
disturbed 119:8	due 10:3	48:5 70:1 78:15	error 42:13	15:10 17:14,16,18
diversity 18:6,22 67:14 110:11	duly 10:18 duplicated 72:5	98:23 99:11 100:16,24 101:14,	especially 24:12 120:23 136:16	21:16,19 23:13,22 31:7 34:20 35:13,
Division 97:9	duration 132:5	21 112:12 117:7, 24 118:18 119:5	establish 8:21	15 43:16,25 45:20 46:1,8 47:19 48:6
Doctor 6:13 24:3	duty 133:21	121:6,8	22:25 23:1 43:3	56:15 57:21 58:23
document 7:18 9:18 17:13 23:11	dynamic 64:17	emails 24:19 111:24 116:16	established 19:7 22:5 123:20	61:21 62:8,13,15, 17,21,24 63:1 64:23 65:4,5
34:6,15 35:5,6	E	121:5	et al 5:3,4	81:20,21 91:24
44:10 46:4,8,9 50:13,15 55:21		emeritus 43:9, 10,13	etcetera 51:21	92:15 98:12,14 101:6 102:6
56:16,20,21 57:9,	EADA 69:3 71:20,	emotion 24:15	evaluating 54:10	103:21 110:25
12,22,24 58:1 59:10,23,24 60:13	21 72:16 73:12 82:12	end 15:22,24 46:2	evening 101:7	111:13 115:24 116:14,24 118:7
61:5,8,9,20 62:1,	earlier 14:15	66:6 126:22	events 39:21	129:18,22 135:25
4,24 64:1 65:24 70:16 92:11,22	102:17 114:12 126:10 134:15	ended 66:25 128:22 129:1	73:4 81:11	exhibits 5:19 7:3 9:17 15:13 18:15
1				

Index: directly..exhibits

30:12,13 101:16, 22	fact 22:21 91:1 134:16	figure 30:20 76:15	fix 134:5 Floyd's 120:21	frame 107:24 137:2,6
exist 48:18 91:3	factors 46:25	figuring 134:13	flux 64:17	free 33:16 53:14
93:25 existed 70:22	110:9 fair 20:17 21:1	file 7:8 16:23	focus 50:19	Friedman 112:8, 9,13,16
existence 12:8	39:7 45:3 81:19,	files 58:5	focused 40:22	front 27:3 62:2,4
existing 44:24	25 92:2 107:23 114:15 119:20	fill 49:24	68:1	117:5
exists 31:15	132:23 135:24	final 45:18 46:9, 14 64:5 90:7	focusing 96:5	fruitless 50:24
expect 36:8	fall 122:11 126:13,23 134:2	finalized 117:16	follow 13:12 25:17 115:23	frustration 24:12
68:22 96:9	faster 18:16	finally 88:6	following 71:19	Frye 10:10
expected 125:4	fault 34:22 41:2	finance 51:3	118:20 119:11	full 14:24 57:24 58:1 84:20 100:1
expenses 50:10	favor 128:1	finances 51:3	follows 10:19 101:6	fully 14:23 16:14
experience 68:21 71:11 97:16	favorables	find 15:12 30:19	food 111:17	27:25 29:1 33:22
experiences	121:14	46:13 56:24 61:11 92:14	football 53:15,16,	79:16
95:23	feared 134:2	findings 92:19	19 54:2 95:20	functions 53:2
expert 74:22	federal 10:4 18:9,	fine 17:22 58:2	102:23 126:25	funds 50:2,3,7,8
77:11,13 78:3,16, 23 79:25 80:2,4,7	25 19:3 22:3	102:1 103:12	forcing 24:18	funny 101:2 126:22
experts 70:6	feel 31:10,12 33:10,16 34:3	111:7 116:5,9 121:19	Forgive 90:6	future 96:4
explain 11:24	58:21 74:21 96:1	finish 30:25	forgotten 130:20	137:14,18
53:1 90:22 94:4	feeling 33:20	63:18	form 11:13,18,19, 23 12:3 19:25	
95:13 101:18,25	feels 33:8	finished 103:6	28:9 42:8 49:18	G
explained 130:7	fellow 112:9	115:10	71:6 72:20 76:6 106:14 113:20	gap 127:15
explanation 12:4	fellows 13:25	firm 22:6 116:19	formal 67:8 94:9,	gave 131:6
explicit 36:9	85:12,16 123:9	firmly 21:22	20 107:12 118:4	gender 17:10
explored 109:17	felt 33:9 120:22 133:3 136:14	first 10:18 12:11 13:3 17:25 28:14	122:8,13 123:18, 20	18:6,11,21 19:1,4,
express 31:8 46:14	female 72:10	44:18 48:11 49:6	format 107:25	22 20:5 21:22 22:6,18 68:2
expressed	fencing 66:12	56:5 57:23 60:25 61:22 62:13,21	forth 18:10,25	110:10
127:22	88:4,6,24 89:5,24	69:2 113:21	forward 8:6,16,	general 6:5 12:15 13:9 26:2 39:23
extended 14:10	90:9,11 91:8 99:14 100:13,15	114:13 130:2 131:5	17 90:2 116:20	129:24 130:7
96:23	fewer 126:6	fit 110:5	forwarded 102:7	135:22 136:1
external 77:12	Fidelity 5:12	five 7:19 15:4	found 16:24	generally 88:10
extra 28:2	field 21:5,10	30:16,20 31:3	86:13 98:18 127:10 129:10	generically 75:6,
F	25:19 27:13,14,16	34:20 68:16,20 69:15 71:17	130:8,21 131:2,24	George 120:21
	36:7 66:15 67:13 73:7 82:23 83:10	122:22	four 56:17 83:1	gift 113:18,22,25
face-to-face	104:8,24 105:4	five-minute 30:8	84:1 111:3	114:2
facilities 96:14,	108:24 110:2 119:2 120:24	34:23 103:4 136:19	fraction 123:25 125:12 126:24	gifts 50:8 113:7
23 97:2	fight 36:9	five-year 68:9,14	128:3	give 7:19 9:14
		69:17 82:13		10:14 13:18 14:24
1				

Index: exist..give

gymnasts 13:1	help 23:15 32:15	440-40	
	49:7,11 61:10	110:13 ideally 110:24	increase 19:13 47:3 84:2 102:15
Н	90:1 92:15	identical 76:3,11,	105:3 110:6
half 28:16 45:24	neiptui 16:22 115:1	13	increased 28:25
	high 53:21,22	identified 51:6	indefinite 132:5
-	54:5 102:14		index 52:16 53:5
		-	indicate 126:11
-	J	77:17,22	indicated 107:8
124:14	_	identity 113:2	indicates 66:11 106:9
happened 40:1 51:20 120:3	68:19	illuminating 94:12	individual 54:13
	history 81:9	immediate	77:17 79:8 103:1 114:10,11,12
•		137:19	individuals
	-	immediately	124:13
112:24		-	indoor 83:18,21
hard 95:4 122:17	19 61:14 75:15	67:20 96:12	informal 107:13
		impacts 90:24	information 20:15 47:22 50:9
	honest 133:10	impair 14:23	51:17 54:17 59:4,
-	-	implement 128:2	6 75:20 78:12 79:9 94:1,15
Hayes 25:24,25 54:9 66:3 69:24	115:8	implies 100:14	135:8
80:21 87:19 97:25	hoped 23:8 105:3	important 20:4	initial 47:10 88:3
124:8,13 125:2,16	hoping 20:3		106:5
137:3	hour 115:3	136:14	initially 49:15
Hayes' 67:19 he'll 6:16	hours 38:15 115:7	imposed 23:7 129:6	initiative 18:7,22 19:4 34:2 35:22 43:4 69:19 104:7
head 13:17 14:17	How's 115:12	improve 125:11	injunction 131:3
55:19	however 104:9	inbox 8:3	input 66:2
heading 117:17	huge 9:11	include 31:21	inquiry 132:11
heads 85:17,20	hundred 114:2	-	instruct 13:7
heads-up 119:24	hurt 27:15		insult 37:17
health 14:22	hypothetical		intend 36:6 128:4
hear 6:8	137:18	48:15 64:2	intended 33:22
heard 71:24		inclusion 67:14	intends 76:3
121:1,4,21 128:15		incomplete	intense 24:13
134:20	idea 24:11 25:16 52:10 53:6 90:12,	Incorporated	intention 27:9
hooring 100:00			000
hearing 120:23 Hecker 6:1	14 123:2	5:13	36:9 interaction 134:6
	half 28:16 45:24 halfway 115:12 hand 10:13 96:1 handy 18:14 happen 56:1 124:14 happened 40:1 51:20 120:3 happening 99:3 happening 99:3 happens 48:16 happy 9:17 11:24 112:24 hard 95:4 122:17 126:15,25 Harvard 72:13,14 Hay 93:9,12 Hayes 25:24,25 54:9 66:3 69:24 80:21 87:19 97:25 98:24 99:11,12 124:8,13 125:2,16 137:3 Hayes' 67:19 he'll 6:16 head 13:17 14:17 55:19 heading 117:17 heads 85:17,20 heads-up 119:24 health 14:22 hear 6:8 heard 71:24 95:22 103:12 121:1,4,21 128:15	helpful 16:22 half 28:16 45:24 halfway 115:12 hand 10:13 96:1 handy 18:14 happen 56:1 124:14 happened 40:1 51:20 120:3 happening 99:3 happening 99:3 happens 48:16 happy 9:17 11:24 112:24 hard 95:4 122:17 126:15,25 hard 95:4 122:17 126:15,25 haves 25:24,25 54:9 66:3 69:24 80:21 87:19 97:25 98:24 99:11,12 124:8,13 125:2,16 137:3 happening 17:17 head 13:17 14:17 55:19 heading 117:17 heads 85:17,20 heads-up 119:24 hear 6:8 heard 71:24 95:22 103:12 121:1,4,21 128:15	helpful 16:22 half 28:16 45:24 half way 115:12 half 28:16 45:24 hand 10:13 96:1 hand 10:13 96:1 handy 18:14 happen 56:1 124:14 happened 40:1 51:20 120:3 happening 99:3 happening 99:3 happens 48:16 happy 9:17 11:24 112:24 hard 95:4 122:17 126:15,25 Harvard 72:13,14 hayes 25:24,25 54:9 66:3 69:24 80:21 87:19 98:24 99:11,12 124:8,13 125:2,16 137:3 happes 48:17 homest 133:10 hope 19:20 22:16 hope 23:8 105:3 hoped 23:8 105:3 hoped 23:8 105:3 hoped 23:8 105:3 hour 115:3 hour 115:7 head 13:17 14:17 beads 85:17,20 head-up 119:24 health 14:22 heard 71:24 95:22 103:12 121:1,4,21 128:15

Index: giving..interactively

51:19		93:18 98:13	28:20 30:5,9,17,	law 128:14 129:7
intercollegiate	J	101:23 103:15	22 31:3,5,24 32:5,	135:3,8,15,21
72:12		106:14,17,20,25	13,22 33:1,11,24	laws 135:23
12.12	Jack 24:22 25:15,	111:8,10,13	34:11,13,16 35:2,	10VS 100.20
interested 52:18	23,24,25 56:5	113:1,20 115:18,	9,14 36:21 37:2	lawsuit 11:10
	99:11,12 100:18	21 116:25 117:3	38:7 39:1,8 41:20,	12:5,8,17 22:5
interests 96:3	109:1 124:7,13,17	118:8 128:8	25 42:10,13,15	128:23
133:8	125:16	132:10,14,17,23	43:22 44:1,5,8,10	
internal 61:7,9	123.10	135:9	45:23 46:2,7	lawyer 77:12
102:18	January 44:12		47:18,24 49:23	128:14
102.10	-	Kathryn 118:18	51:10,22 56:25	lawyers 8:5
internet 29:16	job 124:18	keeping 109:11	57:8,25 58:4,7,12,	-
interment 444.0	jog 23:12	113:2	16,19 59:12,20	38:17 39:10,14,17
interrupt 111:2	, ,	113.2		129:8
investigate	judgment 131:5	Kelly 101:14	61:5,13,15,22	layer 28:3
71:21 75:22	June 20:7,18		62:7,12 65:5,8	-
	21:14 24:5,9 31:6	Kevin 33:25	70:17,23 71:13	layers 28:4
involved 13:1,2	36:1 103:22	35:18,21 36:5	72:21 75:21	leadership 14:12
27:23 89:14		43:6,10 44:11	76:12,20 77:2,9,	113:22,25
105:24 120:4	108:14 112:2,3	47:6 118:19,23	10 78:2,18 79:1,6,	
lowe 5:40 40:44	116:17 117:9,10	119:25 120:11	12 83:15,17 84:15	league 47:4
lowa 5:13 10:11	119:9,12,22	kill 24:16	87:2 88:22 92:20	52:23 53:2 83:24
77:7	121:24 124:11	KIII 24.10	93:1,11,23 98:15,	87:21 97:13,14
irrelevant 31:13	137:2	kind 119:6,8,17	17 101:24 102:3	102:23
33:10		120:14 121:13	103:18,20,24	
	K	123:14	104:2,3 106:16,	leak 117:25
Island 5:6 10:4			19,22 107:2	learned 91:2
11:18		knew 12:19 13:1,	111:9,12,15,18	95:20
issue 20:18 30:7	Kaplan 5:25 6:1,	2,3 40:3 49:13	113:4,24 116:2,8,	33.20
50:21 59:16 75:19	17,23 7:2,6,9,16,	67:23 119:7	13 117:2,4 118:5,	least 30:16 127:9
	24 8:11,17 9:4,8,	125:21 134:6	11,15 128:22	loovo 100:00
80:6 90:24 101:7	12,21,25 10:7	knock 103:16	132:9,16,19	leave 132:20
103:19	11:13,23 12:3	MIIUUM 103.10	133:1,2 135:11	leaving 90:10
issued 14:11	13:6 15:11,16,21	knowing 25:4	136:18 137:1	•
15:6 40:11	16:21 17:2,12,19	_	100.10 107.1	Ledbetter 12:14
	18:14 19:25 21:15	knowledge 52:5	Labinger's 16:12	leeway 29:7
issues 108:12	23:15,21,24 26:6,	72:23 74:25 75:5	ladiaa 0.00	131:17
122:10 136:15	16,23 28:9 30:5,	80:25 81:7 87:24	ladies 9:22	101.17
issuing 16:11	11,18 31:1,4,20	knowledgeable	land 45:9	left 115:10 119:8
_	32:2,13 33:4,15	97:25		130:18
20:8	34:9,12,14,18	31.23	language 23:11	local Education
items 37:9	35:5,11 36:23		33:7 46:20,22,24	legal 5:12 13:16
helen 54.4	38:4,22 39:3	L	large 20:21 22:7	22:1,4 36:13
Ivies 54:4	41:18 42:7,11,14	-	27:17 110:1	73:16 80:5
lvy 24:24 27:18	43:24 44:3,7	label 92:22	122:23	104:11,13 108:17
47:4 52:23 53:2	•		122.20	128:13,18 134:21
54:24 55:1 69:11	45:22,25 47:17,21	labeled 82:20	larger 62:10	less 53:22 121:19
75:22 87:20,21	49:18 51:7 56:20,	Labingor Ede	_	130:12
90:20,23,25 91:2,	23 57:5,20 58:2	Labinger 5:16	largest 21:5	100.12
3,4,8 102:23	59:9,15 60:24	6:10,12,24 7:7,13,	last 55:9 59:23,24	letter 16:13 20:13
0,7,0 102.20	61:3,16,19,25	21 8:4,9,24 10:21,	64:8 69:15 87:10	33:22 36:6,15
IX 18:9,24 19:4	62:9 65:4,7 70:14,	23 11:15 12:2,6,7	114:4 118:8	78:15 105:2,12,
22:3 25:21 73:10	19 71:6 72:20	13:12 15:9,18,23	132:10	21,22 106:3,9,13
74:19 77:13	75:11,13,15 76:6,	16:3,5,15 17:7,15,		108:14 117:7
78:16,23 127:5,9,	17,25 77:3,7,24	22,25 18:4,13	last-ditch 100:15	
18 129:11,25	78:14,21 79:5,11	19:16 20:7 21:17,	lasts 128:15	level 15:5 81:17
130:8,22 131:25	83:13 84:9 86:21,	20 23:17,23 24:1,	1 0313 120.13	96:13 97:8,9
132:15 135:14	24 88:18 92:21,24	3 26:8,19 27:1,10	latitude 24:24	105:4 129:15

Index: intercollegiate..level

levels 104:10	loses 91:16	20 107:15,17	maximize 46:5	69:4,5 73:23
108:16	losing 56:13	111:20 124:9,14, 19,24 125:17	meaning 104:25	83:11 126:6 127:15,16
libraries 93:13	lost 27:18 44:21	126:11 131:18	means 49:19	men's 15:5 20:11
Library 93:9,12	128:23,24	133:17,19 137:4,	52:8 72:2 102:10	21:2,5,9 25:19
lieu 26:21	lot 64:11 90:1	7,10	109:11 113:25	27:14 28:13 29:3,
life 32:14 55:11,	96:12,16 113:9	make-it-work 125:5	meant 54:24	11,12 36:7 51:15 66:12,13,14,15
14,16 65:2,17	119:4,5,25 121:8, 9 124:21		84:11 102:12 106:21	69:20 83:22 87:13
67:3 84:19,24 85:2,14 86:5	loud 18:5 21:21	makes 11:21 48:8 73:8 102:25	measurement	88:2,7 89:12,19
87:18 99:3,13		119:18 127:20	74:12	90:20 91:12 104:8,21,24
128:19 129:7	lovely 76:23	making 16:17	measures 53:20	105:4,7,16,20,21
limit 29:3 56:21	low 53:24 54:6	95:8 100:4 106:7	measuring 83:3	107:8 108:7,15,
67:24	lower 79:18	137:14	•	23,24 109:4,11 110:1,7,20 119:2
limited 53:17	lunch 103:6,7	manage 9:25	mechanism 132:6 135:2	120:8,24 123:16
lineup 67:7,11	115:2	27:21 134:14	medical 85:19	124:1 125:6,13,
68:4,9,10 82:1,8	Lynette 5:16 7:3	managed 34:25		14,20,25 137:16, 17
90:10 94:17 95:15 96:22 98:7,9	10:22 17:13 26:17 31:21 34:12 41:19	management	medications 14:22	men-to-women
107:2 108:7	51:7 57:21 59:10	27:24 28:8,18 29:22 105:6,10,11	meet 14:1 38:2,7	126:16
lineups 106:10,	61:12 70:15 93:18 101:13 106:15	107:17 109:8	53:5 74:1,14,19,	Mencoff 24:5
15	115:22 132:18	110:19 124:18	22 79:18 88:10	35:18 44:12 48:7,
list 87:10 91:4		137:8,10	109:12 119:13 123:10 125:21	12 112:4 116:16
92:18 122:4	M	managing 5:19 29:12 108:11	126:4,7	Mencoff's 24:9
listed 66:16 92:10		manual 91:2	meeting 6:16	mentioned 10:23
literally 63:12	M-U-N-D-T 43:7		55:10 56:5 57:2,	12:13 99:21,23
little 57:20 105:9	made 15:3 19:11,	March 55:6	13,24 60:4,18 64:6 65:16 86:9	menu 46:21 47:3
119:6 122:2	17,19 22:9,15 23:5 26:4,11	mark 15:10 21:15 23:13 34:8,9	88:13,16 89:7	102:12
located 47:24	27:21 36:18 47:14	43:16 47:19 56:15	92:2 93:5,8 94:9,	message 25:6 35:16,17 48:11
location 93:12	50:18 55:12 60:9 77:11 98:7	61:19,20 62:8	10,17,20 99:4,5, 13,14 100:12,16	
locations 5:11	100:14,18 104:10,	86:8 91:24 98:12 100:21 110:25	118:2,4 119:12,	met 38:10,12 55:5,17 74:2,5,6
long 20:1 31:15	15,25 105:9 107:7	marked 17:8	21,23 120:3	133:11
35:10 38:12 53:4	108:16 113:7,18, 21 120:7 123:15	18:3,4 21:18,21	123:6,18,21 129:20,24 130:3	method 69:3
76:23 111:4 128:5 136:12	133:16,23	24:8 25:14 31:7	meetings 48:23	methodology
long-run 96:3	mainstream 41:7	35:16 36:3 62:24 87:9 104:5 129:22	55:25 94:10	73:19
	maintain 28:12	marking 23:21	member 107:21	methods 69:13
longer 24:23 31:1 46:19 93:21 96:23	70:24 88:7	44:3 45:25	members 19:21	mic 17:16
115:4 116:1	major 69:22	marvelous 81:9	22:17 76:4 85:12,	microphones
looked 54:8,9	make 8:13 11:15,	math 67:19	16 89:14 96:24 117:8 120:1,12	37:11
68:21 81:12 108:21	20 20:4 23:18	Matt 59:10 92:24	122:6	middle 30:9,22
	27:6 28:18 29:12 40:20 42:15 44:25		memory 23:12	mind 51:7 57:21
Lori 5:19,20 11:15 15:9,16	48:3 58:22,23	matter 5:3 46:5 79:21 80:3 128:14	100:10	69:6 93:2 108:19
17:15 18:2 23:17	59:14 61:22 67:25 68:19 86:17 94:25	132:15	men 18:24 21:23,	133:12
45:24	95:9 96:2 106:1,	Matthew 6:2	24 22:3 27:7 28:1 29:3 51:21 55:2	mine 8:6 23:20 103:19
	,		20.0 01.21 00.2	100.19

Index: levels..mine

minimum 54:22	85:6	121:1,11,21	onerous 129:5	outbox 9:5
minute 9:3	murder 120:21	126:21 130:10 132:13	operate 24:24	outdoor 83:18,21
minutes 7:19		numbers 17:16	operates 136:3	outliers 53:9
9:14 30:14,16,20	N	51:21 54:4 58:11	operating 50:6,	outlived 128:19
31:3 34:20 77:1 93:5,7,15 94:11	named 11:10	59:6 68:5 69:7,18	10,17,20	129:6
111:3 115:3,14	13:3 113:10	70:7,12,18 71:10, 15 80:12,16,19,22	operations	outpouring 20:9
mislead 33:19	names 38:8	82:10 83:1 86:14	131:18	27:17
mission 40:17,19	136:12	87:22 91:19	opinion 29:7 32:6	oversees 87:22
41:8 45:21	narrow 28:12,16	108:5,10 125:7 127:1 129:14	73:9 74:16 78:4 79:23 80:2	overstressed
misunderstandi	natural 109:15	130:20 137:4,5,10	opinion's 79:25	97:2,3
ng 87:21	nature 11:20		opportune	Р
mixture 120:17	NCAA 83:25	O	103:13	
Mm-hmm 131:8	necessary 58:21	oath 10:8,19	opportunities	p.m. 88:16 98:25
modification	necessitates	object 11:13	19:8,13 21:23	136:22,23,24 137:24,25
64:2	28:17	19:25 38:22	22:2 28:1 69:3,5, 20 72:10 73:4,7,	
modifications 109:4	needed 22:23	objection 11:21,	11,14 75:1,7	pack 57:12 63:6
	45:6 90:17 97:3	24 12:3 28:9 33:5	76:10 84:7 97:14	package 66:24
modified 63:25	109:23	42:8 49:18 59:19 71:6 72:20 76:6	127:16 128:3	pages 8:10 56:17,22 57:17
Moines 5:13	nerd 49:12	106:14 113:1,20	opportunity 18:8,23 37:13	62:13,21,23
moment 24:12	Nice 93:18	objections	100:4 131:7	92:15,16
25:17 43:19 47:20	nods 13:17	11:16,19 20:9,21 37:13	oppose 24:21	painstaking
64:22 104:1	nonresponsive		opposed 26:11	27:23
118:10	32:3	objective 103:2 109:20	85:6 91:22 107:25	pandemic 88:11
Monday 119:9	noon 103:8,9 111:3 112:5	obligation 22:1	opposition 31:8	paper 8:10 9:23
monitors 121:7		32:18 127:4	optimal 46:17	paragraph 112:22
morning 10:22	normal 88:12	obligations	47:10 105:4	
motion 11:5	note 16:10 45:14 56:18 69:1 101:12	12:20 28:3	options 25:5	paralegal 7:5 15:25
motivation 32:7,	notes 69:12	104:12,14 108:18	order 10:4 11:6	parents 105:24
8	notice 123:19	obliquely 105:10	12:17,19 15:20 20:9 41:17 42:16	112:23
mouthful 21:7 49:1 136:9		observing 5:24	43:12 47:23 103:1	part 41:10 44:23
	number 5:6 15:19 17:4 20:21	odd 99:5	108:6 118:12 131:6 133:6	49:5 53:18 58:22,
move 33:1 128:7	23:16,19 28:25	offensive 36:14	ordered 19:23	23 77:24 79:13 81:16 116:15
moving 64:17	29:3 32:16 35:6,8 43:24 45:5,7,8,22	offer 22:1	22:19	125:4 135:19
multiple 73:13	46:17,19 47:11,15	office 8:2,15 9:7,	original 29:6	participants
Mundt 33:25 35:18,21 43:6	51:17 53:18 56:22	9 67:18,19 71:11 74:17 76:21 87:20	41:17 49:21	80:24 125:12
44:11 47:7 48:7	58:15 59:19 62:25 68:15,22 70:12	103:16 134:7	101:14	participate 22:2,
65:10 118:23	71:15 72:9 83:20	Officially 10:8	originally 12:23 50:15 128:20	12 52:11 73:12
119:21 120:6 129:23 136:2,7	84:4 89:13 91:16 97:1,4 109:21,23	offset 54:6	Orlando 89:11	participated 22:14 130:5
Mundt's 65:12	110:6,13,20	one's 62:17 80:12		participating
66:20,23 67:2,6	111:10,13 116:25	3.1.0 0 02.17 00.12	Orley 80:4	129:23

participation				
	25:17 34:10 41:13	116:17 122:3	17:19 113:12	85:21,22
19:12 69:18 particularly	performing 53:21,22,25	126:4 131:7 134:10,11	preference 137:14,15	pretty 15:20 122:18
20:10,25 101:25	period 93:21	planning 105:16	preferred 13:18	previous 16:3
102:5	periodically 14:1	plans 125:24	preliminaries	68:16 124:8
parties 10:3 59:7 133:9 134:16	permitted 73:24	126:10 128:6	7:22 8:12	primarily 132:9
parts 41:8 135:4	perpetuity	played 22:7	preliminarily	Princeton 78:9
party 135:2	128:16	players 97:5	14:20	print 7:17,20 8:1
past 68:20 71:17	person 35:21	plays 67:13	preliminary 131:3	9:7 30:16 118:14
81:14	38:3 72:2 77:22 78:24 113:14	point 34:6 39:25 46:22 63:15 64:20	preparation	printed 9:19,21 15:15 34:25 86:22
Paula 118:19	personal 33:20	65:12 68:25 76:17	41:20 60:9 87:3	
pause 15:12	73:9	82:21 101:19 103:5 107:9	prepare 38:13,18	printer 8:10,21 15:12
Paxson 5:2 6:2,	personally 42:21	108:21 117:20	prepared 39:21	printing 7:22
19 7:10,24 9:5,22 10:17 11:1 13:6	90:11	pointed 58:25	44:11 93:6,10 116:2	8:12,13,14 9:2,15 17:1 30:17
20:1 24:3 30:12	persons 11:1	points 69:22	preseason	printout 16:23
32:16 33:16 35:14 37:3 38:5 48:1	perspective 110:21 135:16	policy 91:2	80:13,16	37:4
60:24 61:6,23 65:8 75:13 84:11	persuade 22:24	pop 103:24	presence 39:9 130:11	prior 13:9 70:24 74:15 80:1 95:20
86:22 88:19 113:4	pertain 91:4	portion 16:8 17:8 18:3,5 21:21 24:8	present 65:16	private 23:5 26:4,
00.22 00.19 110.4			DIESEIIL 00.10	private 23.3 20.4,
118:21 128:9	pertains 17:9	25:14 27:4 32:24	94:21 128:6	6 36:18
118:21 128:9 137:1	pertains 17:9 pestilent 24:16		-	•
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22	•	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1	6 36:18
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7	pestilent 24:16	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8,	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14,	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21,	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14,	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5,	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1 126:5 127:15 129:13 130:9,12,	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10 86:3 95:1	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18 posting 35:15	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15 30:3,12 32:15 33:16 34:25 35:14	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19 produce 54:22
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1 126:5 127:15 129:13 130:9,12, 15 134:19	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10 86:3 95:1 plague 23:8,10 plaintiff 13:4 plaintiffs 5:3,4,	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18 posting 35:15 posture 36:12 potentially 96:8 Powerpoint	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15 30:3,12 32:15 33:16 34:25 35:14 37:3 38:5 47:22	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1 126:5 127:15 129:13 130:9,12, 15 134:19 percentage 19:8,	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10 86:3 95:1 plague 23:8,10 plaintiff 13:4 plaintiffs 5:3,4, 17 10:24 73:18	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18 posting 35:15 posture 36:12 potentially 96:8	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15 30:3,12 32:15 33:16 34:25 35:14 37:3 38:5 47:22 48:1 60:24 61:6, 23 65:8 75:13	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19 produce 54:22 produced 80:5
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1 126:5 127:15 129:13 130:9,12, 15 134:19	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10 86:3 95:1 plague 23:8,10 plaintiff 13:4 plaintiffs 5:3,4,	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18 posting 35:15 posture 36:12 potentially 96:8 Powerpoint 60:3,17 62:10,14, 20 63:5 65:20 86:10 87:4,8	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15 30:3,12 32:15 33:16 34:25 35:14 37:3 38:5 47:22 48:1 60:24 61:6, 23 65:8 75:13 84:11 85:7 86:22	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19 produce 54:22 produced 80:5 99:8 123:25
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1 126:5 127:15 129:13 130:9,12, 15 134:19 percentage 19:8, 9,12,14 84:3	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10 86:3 95:1 plague 23:8,10 plaintiff 13:4 plaintiffs 5:3,4, 17 10:24 73:18 plaintiffs' 5:21,	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18 posting 35:15 posture 36:12 potentially 96:8 Powerpoint 60:3,17 62:10,14, 20 63:5 65:20 86:10 87:4,8 129:25	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15 30:3,12 32:15 33:16 34:25 35:14 37:3 38:5 47:22 48:1 60:24 61:6, 23 65:8 75:13 84:11 85:7 86:22 88:19 94:6 113:4 118:21 128:5,8	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19 produce 54:22 produced 80:5 99:8 123:25 product 39:4
118:21 128:9 137:1 Paxson's 47:22 PDF 7:7 PDFS 15:25 peers 24:25 27:24 28:4 127:8 pending 132:15 Penn 127:14 people 85:11 94:25 95:24 105:13 121:17,19 percent 28:16,17 68:8,23 70:8 74:7 105:18 123:1 126:5 127:15 129:13 130:9,12, 15 134:19 percentage 19:8, 9,12,14 84:3 107:6 125:3	pestilent 24:16 petition 25:18 Phd 126:18 phone 29:14,17 76:22 88:5 99:12 100:11 101:17 103:12,16 107:19, 25 pile 15:17 47:21, 23 56:24 61:11,17 86:22 118:9 place 25:2 34:10 86:3 95:1 plague 23:8,10 plaintiff 13:4 plaintiffs 5:3,4, 17 10:24 73:18 plaintiffs' 5:21, 23 126:5 133:7	25:14 27:4 32:24 33:13 36:3 104:5 portions 57:22 132:20 position 11:11 14:17 23:2 117:20 123:5 126:3,6,8, 10 127:21 possibility 119:2 possibly 70:4 post 15:9 21:13 43:22 64:23 118:7 129:18 posting 35:15 posture 36:12 potentially 96:8 Powerpoint 60:3,17 62:10,14, 20 63:5 65:20 86:10 87:4,8	94:21 128:6 presentation 89:16 90:7 92:1 98:3 135:25 136:5 presented 7:14 60:4,11,17,21,25 62:6,11,14 63:22 64:5 65:20 67:17 81:23 86:5,6,14, 18 87:1,11 94:22 124:12 129:24 130:2 president 6:1,5, 19 7:10,24 8:7 9:5,22 11:1,9,11 12:5,12 13:6,22 16:22 20:1 26:15 30:3,12 32:15 33:16 34:25 35:14 37:3 38:5 47:22 48:1 60:24 61:6, 23 65:8 75:13 84:11 85:7 86:22 88:19 94:6 113:4	6 36:18 privilege 75:15, 18,19 79:3 privileged 13:10 77:16 79:14 128:12 problem 9:1 27:19 41:13 56:23 proceedings 89:11 proceeds 50:7 process 20:15 52:12 106:1,6 107:9 133:3,18 135:19 produce 54:22 produced 80:5 99:8 123:25 production 42:9,

Index: participation..Professor

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
professorships	17 108:5	35:3 78:20 97:24	reasons 97:1 110:3,4	record 5:1,15 8:21 10:3 11:22
113.11	Providence	questions 13:7	110.5,4	
proforma 94:10	133:14	14:23,24 58:20	recall 15:6,8	16:8,11 18:5 23:1,
-		101:18 105:23	16:11 20:8 23:10	25 25:23 27:4
program 19:6	provides 135:2	111:4 112:8	38:20 48:3 49:16,	31:25 32:18,24
20:11 21:2,9	munidina 40.0			33:13 35:12 36:24
54:11 71:2,4 76:2	providing 18:8,	124:22	20 55:7 67:10,15,	37:1 43:6 56:18
81:5 82:2 90:1	23 21:23 22:21	quickly 25:21	16 92:9 94:16,24	58:3 59:4,16
91:12 92:6 98:9	public 15:6,8	quiotily 20.21	100:16,17 112:1,	61:24 62:9 70:14
		quorum 122:14,	17,20 129:23	77:4,6 78:25
104:22,24 105:16,	19:17 20:8,18,21	24		
20,22 107:8	22:9 23:5 31:6,7,9		receive 19:2 25:6	88:18 92:21 93:3
108:7,15 110:1	33:19,21 34:3		107:12 108:9	101:13 115:19,22
116:19 117:15	93:12 103:21	R	received 45:16	116:3,12 136:21,
119:15 120:9	104:15			24 137:23
123:16,25 124:1,		racial 120:21	93:4 133:3	
15 125:14 126:4	purports 35:17	1 aciai 120.21	receiving 101:1	record's 17:12
134:17,18	DUITE 000 00:10	raise 10:12	112:1	83:14
134.17,16	purpose 20:13	14.00	112.1	noonding 0.40
programs 40:21	70:1 105:19	raised 100:9	recess 36:25	recording 6:18,
83:8 121:2,22	106:12 121:24		77:5 116:10	19,22
125:25	128:21 136:5	raising 7:2	136:23	records 41:16
125.25	•	rancor 121:9,10	130.23	
progress 63:24	pursuant 11:18	141101 121.9,10	recognize 24:6	42:5,16,19 99:8
64:21	pursue 47:5	range 53:20	95:3 98:23 100:23	recruited 52:10
04.21	pursue 47.5	114:1 126:5	93.3 90.23 100.23	100141104 32.10
project 80:23	purview 67:25	114.1 120.5	recollect 34:5	recruiting 51:17,
	•	ratio 121:13	99:10,11	22 87:22
projected 70:12	put 8:9 36:11 45:8	126:15		
91:21	47:17 87:7 88:24		recollection	redact 132:12
	89:19 90:11,17,19	rationales 97:4	38:24 39:7,20	
promise 10:1	93:8,12 96:16	mattled 440.0	51:11 62:5 64:18	redacted 114:10,
115:9	105:12 116:14	rattled 119:6	89:6 94:24 99:10	11,12,20,22
promotes 19:4		reach 46:17	100:8 101:1 108:4	redaction 114:14
promotes 19.4	117:24 125:1	73:17 112:15		redaction 114.14
Promoting 18:6,	129:21	133:6 134:3	117:18 122:17	redactions
21	putting 42:18	133.0 134.3	124:2 131:23	112:19
	44:17 90:5,9,19	reaction 36:15	132:2	
prompted 41:14	44.17 90.5,9,19		rocommond	reduce 45:4,7,10,
		read 16:9 18:4,13	recommend	12 47:10,14 95:21
pronounce 78:7	Q	21:20 24:8 25:14	116:18 117:14	109:21,23 125:19
proper 35:11		27:1,5 32:9,22,25	120:7	128:3
proper 99.11		33:2,12,14 36:3	recommendatio	120.0
proportion 29:1	qualifications	40:6 48:10 93:15		reducing 97:1,4
82:7 84:7	53:10		n 55:10,12,15	110:5
	annelified 50.40	99:15 104:4	66:11,19 67:17	
proportional	qualified 52:13	119:19 131:13,14	81:25 82:4 86:3	reevaluating
22:1	question 6:17	133:16 135:4,5,6	88:4,6 92:4 94:22	106:10
	16:3,5 25:21	rooding 00.0	117:23 125:19	_
proportionality		reading 29:6		refer 21:9
28:13 67:20	26:17 27:1 31:19,	33:22 99:17	recommendatio	reference 46:19
127:5,11	20,21 32:1,4,10,	131:10 133:15	ns 44:25 65:13	
	22 33:3,6,12,17	ready 111:21	66:24 67:4 92:19	77:11 83:14,15
proposal 90:10	35:13 37:15,20,	ready 111.21	98:5	102:8
proposing 98:8	23,24 39:7 41:2,9,	realignment	30.3	referred 87:23
proposing 90.0	13 63:18 71:1	24:25	recommended	
protected 39:5	75:11 84:12,14	-	20:16 92:7	124:8
-	101:10 106:23	realized 87:20		referring 21:10
proud 29:25		waaaa 00 5	recommending	78:5,12 105:9
provide 00:44	107:1 115:23	reason 22:6	98:10	70.0,12 100.0
provide 20:14	questioning	54:12 59:13 74:20		refers 73:11
provided 39:14,	30:19,23,25 31:2	136:11	reconvene	102:6 114:7
	00.10,20,20 01.2		118:19 119:10	

Index: professorships..refers

119:17	remedial 131:6	requirements 74:19,23 79:18	return 103:20 111:19	137:8,9
reflect 59:4 62:9 71:11 88:19	remember 12:18 49:5,10 55:19	109:12 127:6	reversed 131:6	rosters 29:12 69:21 70:24 71:16
reflected 68:6	82:24 88:12 92:11 99:2 100:11,19	requires 28:7,11	review 38:16	80:13,16 105:6 109:4,11
refocus 47:2	107:20,22 108:20	reran 91:19	39:10,16 40:8,18, 20 41:6,16 42:3	rough 47:22
refresh 39:20	112:25 114:17,20 119:16,20,23	reread 16:2 40:10	111:24	rugby 29:25
100:8 131:23 132:2	122:6,16 129:20 135:4	reserve 97:23	reviewed 38:21,	rules 27:18 87:24
refreshed 34:7	remembered	reserved 11:19 resolution 92:10	25 39:2,6,20 41:4 124:23	90:25 91:3 123:19
38:24 39:6	92:17	94:9 123:15	reviewing 40:2	runners 73:6
regretted 106:4	remind 37:9	resolve 78:1	61:6	running 9:16
regular 6:20	remote 5:11	respect 79:2 81:4	revision 61:23	Russell 122:5
regulations 22:4	remotely 8:22	113:8 137:2	revisit 123:25	Ruth 95:21
reinsert 88:2 reinstate 116:18	10:5,6 remove 51:19	respond 20:9	Rhode 5:6 10:4 11:18	S
125:14	118:6	responded 25:11 33:10 100:17	Rich 112:8,9	
reinstated 20:12	removed 40:25	112:4	rid 23:9	sadly 51:14 126:17
120:9	41:5,10 46:14,16 83:23 87:14 96:21	response 24:5 26:10 41:14 48:5,	Rights 74:18	safe 47:18
reinstatement 117:14	rephrase 42:14	15,16	rile 36:11	sail 81:11
reinstating 36:7	replaced 62:15	responses 120:18	ringing 103:13	sailing 66:16
110:1 119:2,14 123:15 124:1	report 40:8 72:15	responsibilities	rings 103:17	70:13,21 71:2,4,8 9,11,16 72:13,22
125:10	80:4,5,8 81:23 114:5 125:3 136:1	127:5	risk 134:9	74:24 75:6,23 76:1,2 79:16,19
related 50:11	reporter 6:18	responsibility	road 125:17	81:4,8,9,15 82:2
relates 114:15	10:10,12 16:6 18:12,15,17 32:9	100:2	Robbie 11:17 38:10 75:9 76:24	92:6 97:9,20,21
relation 19:9	37:10	responsive 32:4, 17,20 33:2,5,7	77:21	Sam 36:5
relationship 14:7	reporting 10:10	rest 37:18 57:19	Robert 6:6,8	same 21:12 23:4 25:2 52:4,6 53:9
relative 84:3	73:12	59:13 97:23 115:14	Roberta 5:25	63:8,12 72:2,7 73:5 75:24 76:3,5
relayed 137:13	reports 107:12 representation	restoration	rock-solid 36:13	81:10,16 82:2
relaying 13:8	129:2	108:7	role 12:5 22:7 65:23 66:1 67:13	97:13 101:7,13,2 ⁻¹ 103:19 109:18
relevant 31:17,23	represented	restore 25:19 104:8 105:4,16,20	87:3,6	112:4 114:11 124:22
32:6,8 33:21 123:7 127:19	29:1	125:24	room 6:12,14 11:1,3 37:19 94:7	Samuel 24:4
relied 80:23	representing 6:1	restored 104:9,	125:13	35:17,18
remain 25:20	requested 5:2	21,24 108:15 124:15 125:6	roster 19:11	satisfied 86:17
29:13 124:5 133:7	requested 5:2 16:8 27:4 32:24	result 12:17,20	24:25 27:23 28:7, 17 29:22 46:15	saved 124:21
remainder 97:17	33:13 93:23	86:2 98:3 109:18	51:6,16 68:1,12 70:2 105:6,10,11	scenario 56:11
remaining 68:16, 21 102:16 125:4	requests 104:7	retained 77:11 78:3	106:15 107:3,17	59:25 60:5 62:25 scenarios 56:1,
remains 19:6	required 54:22 74:14 125:9 134:3	retaining 25:1	108:23 109:3,8 110:19 124:18	4,6 60:21 63:15,
		5	-	20,23,24 64:3,19

Index: reflect..scenarios

school 53:4,7	sentence 12:4	shifted 137:13	67:21,23 87:22,23	91:8
schools 74:25	20:1	short 37:3 53:2,3	88:23,25 89:1,2 90:16 91:1,3	sports 21:4 24:18
75:5,6 91:6	sentences 35:10	shorthand 10:10	99:18,24 101:8	28:13 40:18,23,24
scores 53:6	separate 40:22	shortly 12:11	102:8	41:3,4,7,10 44:24, 25 45:5,7,10,12
screen 6:24 7:19	55:3 70:24 73:7 74:19 75:1 76:9	60:8 87:18 113:22	slow 18:12	46:16 53:15 54:16
18:18 34:16,18 35:15 46:5 47:25	83:18,23 134:18	show 23:11 52:17	slowly 9:17	55:2,4 82:1 83:4, 18,21,23 88:2,3
48:21 51:14 63:3	separately 37:11	91:1 124:13	18:13,21 116:20	91:4 92:10,18
86:16 98:19	71:4 96:18 112:15	showed 51:15	small 46:6 109:11	94:8,18 95:21
129:21	sequence 58:14	showing 23:19	smaller 23:18	102:16 104:9 105:25 113:23
scroll 9:17 23:18 24:1 44:9,15 46:7,	serve 133:8	24:4	40:22 46:21 47:2 83:2 110:23	121:2,21 122:4
12	served 128:20	shown 6:25 51:3 52:19	122:10	125:13 126:13
scrolling 18:3	serves 112:10	shows 101:13,20	smarter 37:18	spot 45:9 115:13
sealed 93:22	Services 5:13	•	socialized	spreadsheet
search 42:4	session 94:5,20,	sic 24:16 84:5	120:14	49:7,15,21 50:9 51:9,12,15,18
season 28:14,15	23	side 105:7	solemnly 10:13	108:21
80:18 81:5	sessions 86:1	sides 95:8	someone's	spreadsheets
second 56:19,24	set 40:22 53:7,14	signaling 117:20	101:16	102:6 107:10
61:16 75:10 88:15	54:14 55:1,4	signals 32:11	son 112:23	spring 126:14,23
102:5	102:23 110:15 111:4,24 117:19	significant 102:5	Sonya 8:22 10:9	squad 69:13
secretary 94:7 112:11	137:5	Simmons 95:21	sort 41:6 70:4	105:3
section 116:6	sets 18:10,25	simple 59:14	101:16	squash 24:13 66:14 91:10
seek 73:15 74:16	54:21	simply 45:12	Sounds 114:4	staff 66:2 87:7
75:9	settle 30:6	sit 85:25 124:21	source 42:25	107:5 122:6
seeking 25:1	settled 66:24	125:23 128:17	space 36:12	stage 137:6
119:12	128:25	sitting 108:3	speak 72:14	stamp 47:23 63:9
selected 39:4	settlement 12:20 19:7 22:4 104:12,	113:13 114:21 135:7	89:11	66:4 101:17
94:18	21 108:18		specific 34:6 46:19 52:11 92:18	stamps 61:7
selection 106:17,	settling 22:4	situation 129:1	108:9,20 122:4	101:21
21	seven 115:7	size 24:25 70:2 105:11 106:15	124:2 125:7	stand 33:4 72:18
send 18:16 35:25 36:6,16	several 17:5 21:4	110:7	specifically	standard 47:5
sending 48:6	46:25 55:25 56:6	sizes 51:6,16	39:25 94:25	52:12 71:20 72:11 74:7 82:17 83:24
sense 39:23 48:9	shakes 13:17	68:2,12 69:13 105:3 108:23	specifics 14:21 40:5,7	126:7,11
56:6 73:8 106:4	share 34:2 50:25	109:3,15	•	standards 18:10
111:20 119:18	51:14	skiing 66:13	speed 120:3	19:1 22:6 129:5
120:13 122:12 127:20 133:23	shared 6:25	97:21,22	spelled 43:7	standing 85:3
sensitive 95:24	44:11	skipping 45:19	spoke 25:15 88:4 89:13	start 7:20 18:20
sent 9:4,8 34:22	sharing 35:15 112:12	slide 131:1,4	sport 48:18 52:11	30:15 48:10 59:1
36:20 61:17 69:25	She'd 34:14,15	slightly 101:5	53:16 54:3,6 56:2	started 9:13,15
112:3 118:17		slots 51:17,22,24,	75:7 79:20 81:8	starting 17:1,22
119:9	sheet 132:12	25 52:2 59:18	88:7 90:20,23	starts 56:16,25

Index: school..starts

58:12 80:18 86:24 student-athletes **thinking** 90:4,9 105:8 119:1 21 110:6,7,13,15, 52:9 53:8 122:12 128:9 21 120:25 125:5, 117:13 129:1 **state** 37:13 11,17 126:1 students 12:23 talked 88:4 third 62:15 stated 16:3 129:11 130:9 19:10 36:6 72:6 99:22,23 100:12 thought 20:3 statement 14:11 73:12 85:23,24 122:4 137:9 technical 46:3 22:23 33:20 90:13 15:6,8,10 16:12, 95:2,14 96:4,5,9 talking 25:16 telling 32:17 70:1 92:17 103:13 102:24 105:24 15,16 17:9 18:5 51:8,23 57:12 112:19 117:22 133:12,23 20:8,18 21:14,21 70:15,17 75:5 **study** 40:22 22:21 24:9 31:6,7, template 49:8,14, thousand 114:2 80:7,10 84:20 22 33:9 79:12,22 subject 24:23 24 thread 24:4.6 88:23 105:14 103:21 104:15 98:1 112:22 116:13 tennis 66:15 47:25 112:2 subjected 74:12, 120:4 132:14 statements 87:13 88:7,8 **three** 9:14 35:9 135:9,11 19:17,20 22:10, 89:12,14,20,25 50:1 56:17 68:11 13,16 23:5 26:5,6, 90:5,10 substance 77:15 target 54:4,25 69:2 83:11,12,23 8 36:19 55:1 74:1,2,5,6 79:7 tentative 45:8 three-page 59:23 States 5:5 102:22,25 subtracting term 43:15 51:23 three-part **status** 17:5,6 29:11 targets 53:14 52:23 71:24 80:15 135:12 136:2 54:13 102:15 113:25 20:22 40:25 41:5, suggested 32:3 125:22 threshold 123:10 11 45:1 46:16 terminate 128:7 88:1 91:16 92:6 95:3 task 135:20 time 5:9 11:2,21 102:14 suggesting 25:3 terms 6:18 53:10 15:7 20:20 23:4 team 12:24 19:5 85:2 107:3 stay 7:21 28:18 **summer** 126:11 30:6 40:17 44:20 27:15,16 28:24 44:22 Terrific 36:23 54:14 66:22 72:7, Sunday 112:2,3 29:24 49:25 50:5 12 74:4 75:24 **stayed** 97:12 51:6 59:18 68:10 test 53:6 135:12 super 13:22 76:5,21,23 77:9 71:2,8,9 72:13,22, 136:3 stenographer 17:20 58:19 87:2 81:16 82:2,4 88:9, 25 73:20 75:24 testified 10:19 27:2 133:1 12 89:15 90:3,8 76:4,14 80:18 93:21,25 94:21 **stick** 128:5 81:15,16 91:7 testifying 32:15 support 27:19 99:6,13 101:5,16, 106:10,14,18 51:24,25 95:1,10 testimony 10:14 stipulate 10:2 21 103:13,17 107:2,22 109:19 120:17 26:21 102:18 104:17 105:15 112:24 125:20 stipulated 11:18 107:24 108:19 supported 50:6 137:16,17 theoretical 109:5 110:4 stipulation 11:16 67:6 125:21 teams 12:24 14:4 115:5,20 116:12, stop 35:4 supportive 90:1 15:5 17:4,6 19:11 15 117:12 120:2 **thing** 9:7 13:16 20:23 28:21,23 123:15 124:6,11 stopped 67:22 supposed 30:15 18:14 19:24 21:25 29:3,10,11,12 128:6 130:12 22:20,22 24:17 strategies 30:1, **Susan** 10:10 46:20,21 47:3,11, 131:2,12,13 133:9 52:4,6 57:7 60:2 2,3 15 48:17 50:11, 137:2,6 Swarthmore 61:24 64:6 65:6 18,22 51:16,20 strategy 25:4 76:15 82:15 95:16 95:19 times 73:13 134:1 52:16 54:5,10,13, 101:12 106:5 36:13 swear 10:13 19,21,23 64:16 **timing** 48:8 120:22 121:12 stray 29:14 67:7 68:15,22 101:18 128:16 129:3 sworn 10:6,18 69:18 70:5,21 **stresses** 131:16 135:6 137:11,12 **Title** 18:9,24 19:4 71:9,12 72:7 22:3 25:21 73:10 **things** 8:1 9:23 strike 33:1 Т 73:13,23 74:15, 74:19 77:13 19:20 22:16 27:12 18,19 83:9 91:22 **strong** 18:7,23 78:16,23 127:5,9, 29:24 40:1,2,6 table 69:1 82:10 92:5 95:7,14,25 45:6 95:24 18 129:11,25 41:15 55:25 61:1 96:19,21,24 97:1, tables 124:16 130:8,22 131:25 strongly 89:25 67:20 83:3 94:6 4,11,15,17 98:8 132:15 135:14 95:1 96:15,16 96:1 100:5 102:13,14, **taking** 14:22 99:21 117:25 23 103:1 106:21 today 14:25 38:2 **student** 19:15 talk 33:8 37:11 137:13 108:24 109:12,14, 70:25 79:15 108:3 69:18 85:18 45:16 75:10,16

Index: state..today

115:5 124:21	virtual 107:24 virtually 38:3 55:6 volleyball 12:24 13:2 volume 119:8
71:23 79:3,13 104:20 107:15,21, 22 top 86:22 104:5 total 45:5 59:6,19 68:22 70:12 71:15 115:5 truth 10:15 102:17,16 103:13 106:23 107:1 varies 96:19 variety 30:1 49:8 variety 30:1 49:8 variety 30:1 49:8 variety 94:18 96:23 variety 94:18 96:23 variety 94:18 96:23 variety 14:4 15:5 variety 30:1 49:8 115:5	vote 67:8 100:9 122:8,13 123:14, 17 voted 89:3 92:3 94:9 voting 122:10 W vait 9:2 34:20 111:18
touch 119:3 100:2 120:19 19:8,11,12 20:22, with the control of the	waiting 8:20 waive 75:18 wanted 16:10 22:24 23:1 29:8 36:5,8 45:4,10 50:16 59:22 82:14 90:11 93:17 94:25 95:9 101:19 106:20 109:24 115:23 116:20 117:19 119:1 waved 89:24 ways 29:5,21 39:9 42:20 50:24 68:11 82:11,25 84:6 127:18 133:7 134:14
trainers 96:13,22 typo 57:3,4 61:3 72:24 93:14 95:17 96:1,3 110:16 versus 5:4 12:8 Versus Versus 5:4 12:8 <	Weather 24:22 Wednesday 119:24 Weeds 105:13 Weighing 110:9 Well- orchestrated 21:1,3 West 5:13 White 132:12 Winter 126:14,23

Index: today's..winter

wishes 113:8 wound 103:19 109:25 withdraw 56:9 Wright 10:9 109:7 withdrawn 59:8 write 119:18 71:14 73:15 91:24 121:18,20 92:21 98:22 99:22 writing 46:6 109:5 121:22 105:1,19 107:19 **woman** 81:14 **written** 30:13 **women** 11:5 126:9 18:8,24 19:9,10, wrong 43:12 13,14 21:23,24 61:24 118:6 22:2 27:7,10,17 28:1,25 51:21 wrote 26:9 27:9 55:2 66:17 68:9, 105:15,23 117:12 23 69:4,5 71:3,8, 121:11 9,16,17 73:8,11 75:23 76:3,10,12, Υ 14 81:10 82:7 83:22 84:3,8 year 14:1,15 41:5 107:6 109:14 126:22 127:1 125:3,12 126:4,6, 134:23 24 127:15,16 128:3 129:1 years 27:22 29:23 130:10 30:1 31:14 68:16, 20 69:16 70:22 **women's** 15:5 71:17 74:1,4,9 27:16 28:13,21, 93:20 95:10 23,24 29:10,24 108:12 124:19 51:15 66:12,13, 129:4 134:1,8,15 14,15 69:21 135:15 70:13,21,24 71:3, 4 75:24 76:4 81:8, yesterday 11:17 11,15 87:13 88:2, 17:18 8 89:12,20 90:21 108:24 109:19 Ζ 120:24 123:25 125:11,25 129:11 130:9 zero 126:17 word 12:2 55:8, **Zoom** 5:10 6:15 18 136:7 17:25 51:13 107:25 122:17 words 26:25 130:5 work 36:12 39:4 64:21 107:10,15, 17 115:14 124:9. 19,24 137:4,7,10 working 14:7 63:12 64:20 works 94:5 129:8 world 36:11 worth 25:4

Index: wishes..Zoom