


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA



THOMAS H. KRAKAUER,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
DISH NETWORK L.L.C.,)
)
Defendant.)

1:14-CV-333

VERDICT SHEET

1. Was SSN acting as Dish's agent when it made the telephone calls at issue from May 11, 2010, through August 1, 2011?

YES

NO

If Yes, continue to Question 2. If No, skip all other questions and sign the verdict sheet.

2. Did SSN make and class members receive at least two telephone solicitations to a residential number in any 12-month period by or on behalf of Dish, when their telephone numbers were listed on the National Do Not Call Registry?

YES as to Dr. Krakauer and all class members

If Yes, continue to Question 3 and skip the following questions.

YES as to Dr. Krakauer and all class members except the following, whose numbers plaintiff has not proven were residential:

Telephone numbers that LexisNexis always identifies as "unknown"

Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as residential before May 11, 2010 or after August 1, 2011

- Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as "unknown" in the May 2010 to August 2011 time period that the calls were made but identifies differently at other times
- Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as both residential and "unknown"
- Telephone numbers that LexisNexis always identifies as residential, including in the May 2010 to August 2011 time period that the calls were made
- Telephone numbers that LexisNexis identifies as cellular and possibly cellular

NO

If you answer Yes in whole or in part, also answer Question 3. If you answer No to Question 2, skip Question 3 and sign the verdict sheet.

3. What amount, up to \$500, do you award for each call made in violation of the TCPA?

\$ 400.00

Robert Jackson
Foreperson

01/19/2017
Date